California. Dept. of Fish and Game. Biennial Report 1942-1944.

c.l

California. Dept. of Fish and Game. Biennial Report 1942-1944.

(bound volume)			c.l			
		DATE DUE				
St.	leemin I.	U MA				
	California. Dept. of Fish and Game. Biennial Report 1942-1944.					
	(bound vo	c.1				
	DATE	ISSUED TO				
	2 197	H. Thomas 4	-3630			
	egenderstatensergergerenderstate chil (schwilige) verviet, sowe wat	dfg-less				
	1	R. Hurs Pu	-739			
	1-4-99	Lee Delamare	^			

California Resources Agency Library 1416 9th Street, Room 117 Sacramento, California 95814

APR 19 1991

CALFORNIA RESOURCES AGENCY BRARY
Resources Building, Room/117
1416 - 9th Sweet
Sucremento, Culifornia
93814







# STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

WARREN T. HANNUM, DIRECTOR

# THIRTY-EIGHTH BIENNIAL REPORT

OF THE DIVISION OF

# FISH AND GAME

FOR THE YEARS 1942-1944





# CONTENTS

ETT	ER OF TRANSMITTAL	F	Page 5
REPO	DRTS		
ь	Fish and Game Commission		7
I	Library		8
F	Fish Conservation	By A. C. Taft	9
G	Game ConservationB	y J. S. Hunter	15
	Waterfowl Public Shooting Grounds in California		16
G	Game FarmsBy	August Bade	27
F	Patrol and Law Enforcement $By$	L. F. Chappell	30
N	Marine FisheriesBy Rich	ard Van Cleve	33
E	EngineeringBy	$John\ Spencer$	42
I	License DistributionBy	H. R. Dunbar	45
S	Sardine Canning and Reduction PlantsBy S. H.	Dado 86 and	100
TATI	ISTICAL REPORTS		
L	License Sales		46
F	Fish Distribution and Rescue		59
F	Predatory Animal Take		74
A	Arrests and Convictions		75
s	Seizures of Fish and Game		76
B	Record of Deer Kill	Between 80 and	d 81
R	Record of Mountain Lion Bounties	Between 80 and	d 81
C	California Fresh Fishery Products		81

# In Memoriam

It is with much regret the Division reports the following deaths and retirements of members of its staff during the biennium and wishes at this time again to give recognition to the faithful and efficient service rendered by these employees.

Entered service	Died
8/ 1/27	8/8/42
1917	8/ 3/42
1925	6/ 5/43
1926	4/26/43
1936	7/21/43
1929	8/11/43
-	July, 1943
Entered service	Retired
8/27/07	9/30/42
1922	9/30/42
_ 1917	10/31/42
	11/30/42
	8/ 1/27 1917 1925 1926 1936 1929  Entered service 8/27/07 1922

# LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

July 1, 1944


To His Excellency, Earl Warren, Governor, State of California, Sacramento

Sir: We, the members of the Fish and Game Commission, are happy to submit our biennial report covering the period July 1, 1942, to June 30, 1944.

The following report covers the activities of the various functions within the division.

Respectfully submitted.

NATE F. MILNOR, President DOM A. CIVITELLO, Commissioner LEE F. PAYNE, Commissioner H. L. RICKS, Commissioner W. B. WILLIAMS, Commissioner



# REPORT OF THE FISH AND GAME COMMISSION

During the past biennium, appointments made to the Fish and Game Commission under the constitutional amendment adopted November, 1940, resulted in the following changes in personnel in the commission:

H. L. Rieks appointed March 6, 1944; vice Edwin L. Carty, term expired January 15, 1943.

Dom A. Civitello appointed March 15, 1944; vice Germain Bulcke, term expired January 15, 1944.

Due to the war, unusual problems were presented to the Fish and Game Commission for its action. They shall be itemized briefly here as more detail is contained in the reports of the bureau chiefs which follow.

Recommended closing of large portion of the State in Southern California to deer hunting. This originated by a request of the General of the Western Defense Command to the Governor requesting such closures due to fire hazard.

In 1943, a portion of Lassen and Modoc Counties was opened to antelope hunting for the period September 11th to September 20th and

in 1944 from September 8th to September 17th.

In 1943, due to the closure of a large portion of the State to deer hunting due to fire hazard, and finding that deer had increased in certain areas to such an extent that a surplus thereof existed, a special hunting season for deer was declared for the period December 11, 1943, to December 31, 1943.

Also due to the stress of the times, it was found necessary for the Fish and Game Commission to enact regulations controlling the sardine fishing fleet during 1943. The ensuing year, this work was conducted by the office of the Coordinator of Fisheries of the Federal Government, created by an Executive Order of the President of the United States.

The work of the Fish and Game Commission was greatly handicapped by lack of personnel, but despite this shortcoming, we are proud to report that more fish were produced in the hatcheries and more game birds

reared at the State game farms than in previous years.

## LIBRARY

By Bessie W. Kibbe, Departmental Librarian

Despite the war's effect on the personnel of our division, the utilization of the Library's facilities has not diminished; the requests by those who are carrying on definite fish and game studies and projects grow daily.

There has been a reduction in the number of students and other visitors from the outside, due to preoccupation with the war, although officers and men of the Army and Navy have called upon our Library frequently for information about the natural history—especially fish—of the Pacific theatre of war.

The war has continued to prevent the receipt of most foreign periodicals, although those from Australia, New Zealand and England have come through regularly.

The Library has contributed its revised holdings to the *Union List* of Serials of the San Francisco Bay Region, Supplement, one of the important tools in libraries in this territory.

The rehabilitation of some of the Library's much-used volumes, and the binding of periodicals deemed worthy of permanent retention, was carried on.

We were able to purchase the very much desired back numbers of *Biological Abstracts*, thus completing our file of this important library tool.

The Library's shelving and floor space is gradually becoming more and more crowded.

The duties connected with the properly functioning of our division's Library, which cover so many phases and sections of any general or branch library: reference, catalog, laws and legislation (fish and game laws and reports of all States and foreign countries) and order section, have so materially increased with the steady natural growth of our Library, that it is becoming somewhat difficult for one person to carry on, in an adequate and completely satisfactory manner, all the attendant details that the management of such a Library entails. It is hoped that when more normal times return, additional facilities, both in space and assistance, may be given this Library.

The policy of the division's Library, with restricted funds permitting, is to furnish books and material helpful to our force in the studies and work pertinent to fish and game and conservation thereof. To this end, during the thirty-eighth biennial period, we have added 336 books; by purchase amounting to \$1,243.14; by gift \$188.35. The total number of bound volumes on file is 3250, with a value of \$11,772.18.

Scientific pamphlets added during this period were 718, being \$59.11 by gift; \$103.64 by purchase. Total number of pamphlets catalogued and filed are 7997, with an appraised and/or known value of \$1,385.53. Books and pamphlets represent \$13,157.71.

A microfilm reader and certain special films were added to the other property holdings of this Library, but the value of all such property is not included in this report.

## REPORT OF THE BUREAU OF FISH CONSERVATION

By A. C. TAFT, Chief

During the biennial period work has centered around an effort to maintain a normal program so far as wartime limitations would permit. Differences between this and the previous biennium are thus largely

those brought about by the war.

The year 1941, just prior to the war, was the all time high in the sale of angling licenses, 458,177 having been issued. On the basis of experience in the previous World War it was expected that license sales would fall off rather severely in 1942 and 1943. This did not occur and 433,431 were issued during the first year of the period and 439,860 during the second. Even during 1944 under drastic gasoline rationing both for boats and cars it appears that the number of anglers will be

within 6½ per cent of the high figure for 1941.

With angling effort remaining at a high level the problem of maintaining the supplies of fish under definite limitations as to manpower and equipment became difficult. Almost all assistant wardens, both permanent and seasonal, left the division and only 30 permanent fish hatchery assistants remained out of a normal quota of 50. Even with such temporary replacements as could be made the total manpower remained from 12 to 15 per cent below normal. In a number of instances the wives of hatchery employees and other women were hired for seasonal or part time work, which made it possible to carry on work at stations which would have otherwise been closed.

Little new equipment has been available and inability to make replacements has been most severely felt in heavy trucks, gasoline motors for fish planting equipment and tires. No new tires have been purchased since 1941 and only careful management and the utmost economy in mileage has hade it possible at the same time to plant an increasing

amount of fish.

In 1940 there were 133,949 pounds of trout planted and in 1941 the total was 167,647. In spite of the many problems of operation brought about by the war the poundage was increased to 238,279 in 1942 and to 275,401 in 1943. The 1943 planting was thus an increase of 12 per cent over 1942. The point has now been reached where more than a pound of trout is being planted for each licensed angler who fishes for trout. It is not to be expected that this total can be very much increased with present facilities of manpower and equipment.

The following table shows the hatcheries and egg taking stations that were operated during the biennium. Where operations were

temporarily or permanently discontinued that is also indicated.

#### TABLE 1

# Hatcheries and Egg Collecting Stations Operated 1942-43

### Hatcheries

Alpine, temporarily closed in 1943 Arrowhead, closed in 1943 Basin Creek Black Rock, opened in 1942 Brookdale

Burney Creek Central Valleys Coy Flat (seasonal), opened in 1942 Fall Creek

Feather River Fern Creek, closed in 1942 Fillmore, enlarged in 1942 Fort Seward, closed in 1943

Hat Creek Huntington Lake (seasonal), tempo-

rarily closed in 1943

Kaweah Kern Kings River Lake Almanor

Madera (seasonal), temporarily closed in 1943

Mount Shasta Mount Whitney Prairie Creek

Rearing Reservoirs, closed in 1943

Sequoia Tahoe Tallac Yosemite Yuba River

# Egg Collecting Stations

Fall Creek (Steelhead and salmon) Little Walker Lake (Eastern brook) Prairie Creek and Lost Man Creek (Silver salmon) Rush Creek (Loch Leven) San Lorenzo River (Steelhead)

Snow Mountain (Steelhead)

Heenan Lake (Black spotted), closed in

Kirman Lake (Eastern brook), closed in

Klamathon (King salmon), temporarily closed 1943

Lake Eleanor (Rainbow), closed in 1943 Shasta River (Steelhead), closed in 1943

At the end of the biennium only four of the seven members who composed the regular biological staff at the start of the war remained on duty -Brian Curtis, Supervising Fisheries Biologist, and Joseph H. Wales, Leo Shapovalov and William A. Dill, District Biologist. The three junior aquatic biologists were on military leave, Earl Herald having left before the beginning of the biennium and Elden Vestal and Chester Woodhull at the end of 1942. Six men were employed at various times on seasonal or part-time basis, A. B. Murphy, Alex E. Culbertson, J. C. Marr, Garth Murphy, Warren R. Cheney and William H. Davenport.

The accomplishments of the staff are to some extent reflected in the list of reports and publications which follows. Routine activities were carried on such as lake and stream surveys and recommendations for stocking, for stream closures and for other forms of regulation. Projects of special interest are described below.

The life history of the steelhead and, incidentally, of the silver salmon, has been under study at Waddell Creek since 1932. Mr. Leo Shapovalov has practically completed writing up this work which will be published shortly and which will be an outstanding contribution to the knowledge of these species.

That part of the Colorado River which borders California was surveyed by William A. Dill and Chester Woodhull in 1942. The resulting report, published in July of 1944 after an exhaustive study of the data obtained, presents information about this area never before brought together under one cover and offers concrete proposals for the management and regulation of the fishery.

Experiments on the electric fish screen were carried on by J. II. Wales at Hat Creek in Shasta County where the Pacific Gas and Electric Company installed one of these devices at their Hat No. 2 Power House and made it available to us for this purpose. Here the discovery was made that if, instead of placing the live electrodes downstream from the ground as has been done in the past, they were placed upstream, there would result an electric field extending upstream from the electrodes with gradually diminishing strength. It is possible that this system will prove better adapted to the repulsion of fish of all sizes than the previously used design, where the field extended with quite uniform strength from the electrodes upstream to the ground and then ended rather abruptly. As a result of these experiments the desirability of further knowledge of the water velocities which trout of various sizes can overcome became apparent and accordingly tests on their swimming speed

are now underway at Mt. Shasta Hatchery.

Lake fisheries have received much attention during the biennium. June and Gull Lakes in Mono County were under close observation by Elden Vestal prior to his induction into the Army in December 1942, and here it was shown that planting of sized rainbow from the Hat Creek fall spawning stock at the opening of the fishing season would provide a yield of 50 per cent to the anglers' creeks. Intensive studies by J. H. Wales of Castle Lake in Siskiyou County dealt with the yield obtained by planting fingerling trout of various species and showed that on the average about 5 per cent of the number planted would reach the angler's creel. The latest step here has been the introduction of sov bean meal to see if fertilization of a natural lake of this size can be a practical means of improving its fishery. Clear Lake was investigated at the request of the Lake County Board of Supervisors with respect to the effect on the sport fishery of commercial netting of rough fish and regulations were proposed under which the latter is now carried on. A preliminary survey of Millerton Lake above Friant Dam was completed and one of the Shasta Reservoir initiated. Creel counts of a less extensive nature were carried on at Stevens Creek Reservoir in Santa Clara County and at Frog Lake in Nevada County. A project for the survey of barren lakes has been carried on each summer by Mr. Dill in cooperation with the Fresno County Sportsmen's Club for the purpose of assuring proper management of these lakes from the start.

The control of rough fish in lakes through rotenone poisoning has been difficult due to the shortage of this material, but Ballard Reservoir in Modoc County has been successfully treated and brought back into production, as well as two smaller lakes in this same region, Cave and Lily.

The whole question of stream flow maintenance has received much attention, from high altitude check dams for the improvement of mountain streams to amounts of water needed for release, from dams built and to be built on our large rivers, for preservation of fish and fishing.

The Salton Sea mullet fishery was briefly investigated by Dill and Woodhull and recommendations made on which the present regulation of the commercial catch is based.

At the Central Valleys Hatchery at Elk Grove experiments were initiated which have to do not only with the production of spiny rayed fishes for stocking but also with desirable species combinations for use

in farm ponds. Interest in the latter is apparently on the increase in California.

The striped bass investigation has suffered from lack of competent personnel. A trained biologist, Garth Murphy, was employed November 15, 1943, on a part-time basis but was inducted into military service in March, 1944. During that period his work consisted principally of sampling the sportsman's catch with reference to the concentrations of fish and to their growth, food, spawning habits, etc. In an effort to get some idea of the number of striped bass taken by commercial nets, three salmon fishermen were inducted to keep records during May and part of June. These indicated one bass to every 10 to 15 salmon.

An experiment started in 1941 bore fruit in 1943. The "Kokanee," a landlocked form of the sockeye salmon very popular with fishermen in Washington and Oregon, but not found in California, was introduced into Salt Springs Reservoir on the Mokelumne River in July, 1941. These fish throve and reached maturity in the autumn of 1943 when eggs were taken for transplanting. To the eggs thus obtained were added others purchased outside the State and the experiment is now being extended by planting these fish in several other lakes in different parts

of the State.

Statistics on the angling catch were gathered as usual throughout the biennium but the 1943 report is not yet ready due to delay in processing of the material by the organization which contracted for this work when it was given up by the division's statistical department at Terminal Island because of reduced personnel. The 1942 figures showed a trout catch of 16,400,000 by 234,000 anglers as against a catch of 15,-700,000 by 238,000 anglers in 1941, with an increase in the average catch from 66 to 70. The striped bass catch in 1942 was 1,760,000 by 93,000 anglers as against 2,035,000 by 111,400 anglers in 1941, the average catch remaining unchanged at 18 fish per angler for the season.

The editorship of the quarterly magazine California Fish and GAME was assigned to Brian Curtis when Richard Croker went into the

Army at the beginning of the biennium.

Following is a list of the publications and administrative reports prepared during the biennium.

#### **PUBLICATIONS**

Reclamation with Rotenone of Crystal Lake, Los Angeles County, California, Elden H. Vestal. Vol. 28, No. 3, C. F. and G.

A game fish for the Salton Sea, the ten-pounder, Elops affinis, William A. Dill and Chester Woodhull. Vol. 28, No. 4, C. F. and G.

Resources of the Sea in Wartime. Brian Curtis. Vol. 28, No. 4, C. F. and G.

Twenty-five years ago in California Fish and Game, Brian Curtis. Vol. 28, No. 4, C. F. and G.

Twenty-five years ago in California Fish and Game, Brian Curtis. Vol. 29, No. 1, C. F. and G.

Twenty-five years ago in California Fish and Game, Brian Curtis. Vol. 29, No. 2, C. F. and G.

Twenty-five years ago in California Fish and Game, Brian Curtis. Vol. 29, No. 3, C. F. and G.

Twenty-five years ago in California Fish and Game, Brian Curtis. Vol. 29, No. 4, C. F. and G.

Twenty-five years ago in California Fish and Game, Brian Curtis. Vol. 30, No. 1, C. F. and G.

Creel Returns From Hatchery Trout in June Lake, California, Elden H. Vestal. Vol. 29, No. 2, C. F. and G.

Rehabilitation of a Modoc County Reservoir for Trout Fishing, J. H. Wales. Vol. 29, No. 2, C. F. and G.

Fish Rescue in California, Chester Woodull. Vol. 29, No. 3, C. F. and G.

Mussel Poisoning Twenty-five Years Ago and Today, Brian Curtis. Vol. 29, No. 3, C. F. and G.

James Moffitt, 1900-1943, Brian Curtis. Vol. 29, No. 4, C. F. and G.

\*Black Spotted Trout in Blue Lake, California, A. J. Calhoun. Vol. 30, No. 1, C. F. and G.

Fresh Ocean Fish as a Trout Diet, J. H. Wales. Vol. 30, No. 1, C. F. and G.

The Tench in California, Leo Shapovalov. Vol. 30, No. 1, C. F. and G.

Trout Fishing Restored to Modoc County Reservoir, J. H. Wales. Vol. 30, No. 1, C. F. and G.

\*Hesperoleucus symmetricus Reported From Clear Lake, Lake County, California, Garth Murphy. Vol. 30, No. 1, C. F. and G.

\*The Food of the Black-spotted Trout (Salmo clarkii henshawi) in Two Sierra Nevada Lakes, A. J. Calhoun. Vol. 30, No. 2, C. F. and G.

\*The Bottom Fauna of Blue Lake, California, A. J. Calhoun. Vol. 30, No. 2, C. F. and G.

The Fishery of the Lower Colorado River, William A. Dill. Vol. 30, No. 3, C. F. and G. (In press at end of biennium).

The following were published by members of the Bureau of Fish Conservation other than the biological staff:

Diamond-back Terrapin Introduced into California, A. C. Taft. Vol. 30, No. 2, C. F. and G.

In Memoriam, Richard de Large, A. C. Taft. Vol. 30, No. 2, C. F. and G.

John Otterbein Snyder, A. C. Taft. Vol. 30, No. 1, C. F. and G.

Selective Breeding of Rainbow Trout at Hot Creek Hatchery, R. C. Lewis. Vol. 30, No. 2, C. F. and G.

Golden Trout Propagation in California, George McCloud. Vol. 29, No. 4, C. F. and G.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS

#### Brian Curtis

Angling Catch Records, 1942. Submitted April 24, 1944. Angling Catch Records, 1941. Submitted July 10, 1942.

The Frog Lake (Nevada County) Fishery in 1943. Submitted March 1, 1944.

The Frog Lake (Nevada County) Fishery in 1942. Submitted January 12, 1943. Fisheries Aspects of South Fork of Stanislaus Stream Flow Maintenance Surveys.

Submitted September 14, 1943.

Report on Check Dam Reconnaissance in Silver Lake, Amador County Area.

Submitted September 16, 1942.

## Joseph H. Wales

Poisoning of Cave and Lily Lakes, Modoc County, October 18-19, 1943. Submitted November 30, 1943.

General Report on Lake Almanor, Plumas County. Submitted December 10, 1943.

Castle Lake Report for 1943. Submitted March 17, 1944.

Castle Lake Report for 1942. Submitted January 13, 1942.

Report of Investigations on Clear Lake, Lake County, California. Submitted April 10, 1943.

Report of Tests Made at the Hat No. 2 Electric Fish Screen, June-July, 1943. Submitted August 12, 1943.

Diversion of Water From the Pit River to the Pacific Gas and Electric Pit 5 Power House. Submitted March 12, 1944.

Summary of Weekly Disease Reports for 1943. Submitted March 15, 1944.

Summary of Weekly Disease Reports for 1942. Submitted March 2, 1943. Shasta Valley Fish Screens. Submitted January 14, 1944.

## William A. Dill

Tule Indian Reservation Project, Report on 1942 Fish Marking and Planting. Submitted October 14, 1942.

<sup>\*</sup> Publications based on work performed by the author while employed by the Division of Fish and Game.

The Inland Mullet Fishery of California, Report No. 2. Submitted November 10, 1942.

A Preliminary Report on the Potential Fishery of Millerton Lake With Suggestions for its Management. Submitted April 9, 1943.

A Fisheries Survey of the Upper Bear Creek Drainage, Fresno County, California. Book 1, General Account; Book II, Detailed Surveys of Individual Waters. Submitted May 28, 1943.

Tule Indian Reservation Project, Report on 1943 Fish Marking and Planting. Submitted October 1, 1943.

Stream Closure in Tulare County: Boulder Creek. Submitted June 5, 1944.

Preliminary Suggestions for Postwar Projects, Fresno Biological District. Submitted June 15, 1944.

The Colorado River Survey, 1942. Book I, Dams, Diversions, Pumps. Submitted June 26, 1944.

### William A. Dill and Chester Woodhull

The Tule River Indian Reservation Creel Count, 1942. Submitted August 21, 1942. The Possibilities of Increasing and Maintaining a Run of Salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) in the Kings River, California. Submitted September 10, 1942.

#### William A. Dill and G. S. Gunderson

Hatchery Sites, Tulare County: Moorehouse Creek Spring. Submitted September 30, 1943.

## REPORT OF BUREAU OF GAME CONSERVATION

By J. S. HUNTER, Chief

The need for a better understanding of the problems of conservation by all interested has been very apparent during the past biennium. With the need to produce more food it has been necessary to give consideration to all those causes that result in less production, and with the higher value of agricultural crops the loss of any portion of the crop has been more apparent to the producer. Wildlife that reduces crop production is regarded by the extremist the same as insect pests and should be destroyed. Fortunately such people do not predominate and wildlife, generally, is regarded both for its intrinsic and aesthetic value. It is, however, necessary for the conservationist to give consideration to the damage that is or may be caused and to develop protective measures that will keep the loss to an inconsequential minimum. Under normal conditions this is a difficult problem but under conditions that have prevailed during this biennium it has been stupendous.

There are several areas in the State where deer have caused many complaints. In the Stonyford area, in the Sacramento Valley, a study was made of the situation and it was agreed by all interested parties that if a brush area were burned in the area adjacent to the farming section, it would relieve the situation but after consent had been secured from the State Department of Forestry, the permit allowing burning was canceled

and we were unable to carry out the experiment.

In Modoc County there have been complaints from stock interests that deer were destroying browse and feed and it was no longer possible to carry the same number of cattle as had been grazed in previous years. The deer that caused the damage moved into California during heavy winters from Oregon and in order to relieve the situation the Oregon commission has had an open season on does since 1941. During that time some 4,574 antlerless deer have been taken. From recent studies we find this has greatly relieved the situation.

In the Doyle area of southern Lassen County a study was carried on by the staff of the Bureau of Game Conservation to determine the extent of damage to farmers by Rocky Mountain mule deer and the approximate number of deer involved. This problem is still being studied with a number of proposals being considered to alleviate the damage. Land acquisition, herding and herd reduction have all been proposed, and all of these may be used in part to remedy the situation.

The increase of waterfowl during the past several years has brought about many complaints from certain agricultural areas, particularly in the rice fields of the Sacramento Valley and the rice and other fields in the Imperial Valley. Progress has been made in reducing this problem

and we hope to be able to solve it.

Botulinus has been prevalent at Tulare Lake since the area was flooded in 1937. Mr. D. D. McLean has carried on investigations in that region and reports as follows: Botulinus or duck disease was active at

Tulare Lake in 1942 but to a lesser degree than in previous years. The 1943 infection was about the same as in 1942.

1942—461 ducks picked up—388 banded and released—73 lost 1943—576 ducks picked up—484 banded and released—92 lost 15.6 per cent loss in 1942 16.2 per cent loss in 1943

For comparison the 1941 figures show: 5,711 ducks picked up; 4,912 banded and released; 799 lost, 13.9 per cent loss. The radical difference in the number of sick birds was evidently due to the change made by the farmers in the management of their irrigation water during the late summer and fall. They began the new system in 1942, whereby the water was kept moving from one field to the next lower one as soon as the first field was flooded and soaked. The intervening levee is cut and the water kept moving from one field to the next until the irrigation is completed. On completion of the irrigation, water is pumped back into the lake. This system keeps the water moving and does not give the vegetation time to start decomposing in the warm standing water. The old system of allowing fields to remain flooded for weeks at a time found the water temperatures rising and heavy decomposition with a corresponding decrease of oxygen content in the water. The botulinus bacillus thrived in such a medium. Under the new system most of the duck disease was found in low, undrained spots on the fields and in barrow pits.

The fall duck population has increased steadily in the Tulare Lake region each year since 1938. The 1942 and 1943 peak populations were not radically different. The 1942 peak was on or about October 8th, when 3,500,000 were estimated to be in the area, and the 1943 peak was

on October 10th, with 4,000,000 birds estimated to be present.

Water surface temperature, bottom temperatures, sun temperatures, shade temperatures, wind direction and velocity, and general weather conditions were noted and correlated with the severity of the disease.

Intensive study has been given the problem of providing shooting areas for unattached duck hunters. To R. E. Curtis, a member of the staff, was given the task of working plans of procedure and operation. His approved report follows:

# WATERFOWL PUBLIC SHOOTING GROUNDS IN CALIFORNIA Suggested Management Practices and Estimated Costs

Objectives

Waterfowl management in California presents problems that are probably unique in the United States. Reclamation has reduced and agriculture has encroached upon the natural waterfowl habitat to an extreme extent.

Public shooting grounds in this State will have two principal objectives: (1) To provide the unattached hunting license holder with a place to shoot at reasonable cost. (2) To control and minimize water-

fowl depredation on agriculture.

To accomplish the first purpose it will be necessary to bring under control of the Division of Fish and Game, by purchase, lease, or otherwise, large tracts of strategically located, suitable land, and to so administer these areas that the greatest possible number of hunters may be accommodated with reasonably good shooting.

The second objective can be secured by: (a) Providing on the shooting grounds food and habitat that will successfully compete with the surrounding agricultural lands. (b) Maintaining, through hunting, a waterfowl population level commensurate with the available food and habitat.

#### Methods

Methods of land acquisition do not require discussion here.

Plans for operation and administration of public shooting areas must be carefully considered. There is little practical experience from which to draw information. Public shooting grounds operated by the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service are, in the main, not comparable to conditions that must be met in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Valleys. Large commercial clubs furnish the most comparable conditions, but since their objectives are confined to furnishing reasonably good shooting and making a profit, much of their methods are not applicable.

Subsequent to acquisition of suitable lands the operations to be considered are: (1) Engineering development; (2) Food crop planning, planting, and management; (3) Administration of the hunting.

# Engineering Development

A reasonably detailed topographic survey is the first requirement. From this data the plan of ditches, dams, and other water control structures can be formulated. In the completed development, water area should approximate one-third of the total.

Under California conditions it is doubtful that all water areas can be maintained continuously. However, as much water area as possible should be maintained at constant level in order to promote aquatic vege-

tation and minimize the possibility of botulism epidemics.

Water distribution and control structures should be simple to operate and of durable construction. In times of water shortage it should be possible to drain higher ponds, successively into lower ones, in order to

retain optiumum conditions in at least the lowest structures.

Engineering and development costs will vary with the terrain and it will not be possible to obtain the optimum balance of one-third water, two-thirds land for reasonable cost at all points. On the most suitable terrain these costs should not exceed \$10 per acre, but over all it will be safer to allot a cost of \$15 per acre for this purpose.

# Water Supply and Cost

Adequate water supply is the primary necessity for waterfowl developments. In certain localities water rights appurtenant to the lands or agricultural drainage waters may provide this necessity at little or no expense. In considering the over-all plan, it will be more practical to assume that all water must be purchased at prevailing agricultural rates.

Rice growers commonly obtain water for \$1.25 per acre-foot, and this figure is here accepted as the probable average cost for the entire project. It is estimated that in order to provide irrigation, to offset evaporation, seepage, transpiration, and other losses, enough water should be provided to cover the entire area three feet deep each year. Since only one-third of the entire area is to be submerged, this three feet over-all would provide nine feet per year for the pond area. This amount

should be adequate to offset losses from the submerged area and to

provide crop irrigation on the rest.

The water cost would thus be \$3.75 per acre per year for the entire area. This is believed to approximate the maximum cost for gravity water. If it is necessary to resort to pumping of subsurface water, this figure will probably be exceeded.

## Crops

In order to relieve crop depredation during the entire time waterfowl are in the State in numbers, and to hold them on the shooting grounds during the hunting season, it will be necessary to grow as much food as possible on these areas. Artificial feeding is barred by Federal regulation on the shooting grounds.

Suitable food crops include rice, wheat, barley, oats, rye, millets, watergrass, nonsaccharine sorghums, corn alfalfa, clovers, and aquatic

plants.

Numerous rice growers have expressed doubt of the ability of any other grain crop to compete with rice as a waterfowl attractant. This remains to be proven. The high cost of producing a rice crop argues against its use on public shooting grounds, unless other and cheaper crops fail to produce the desired result. It is believed that the common small grains, particularly wheat and barley, properly handled, will serve the desired purpose adequately. The millets (watergrass) are very attractive to waterfowl and are commonly used by blackbirds.

The small growing, nonsaccharine sorghums, such as doubledwarf mile, are readily taken by waterfowl, are economical to produce, and

should be used, especially where late planting is necessary.

Along the Mississippi flyway field corn constitutes one of the important waterfowl foods. It should be experimented with here in suitable locations.

In certain locations on the areas under consideration it is reported that "goose-grazing" effectively prevents the production of small grains. In such locations alfalfa, Ladino clover, or perennial burnet should pro-

vide a solution to the food problem.

Aquatic plants provide a considerable part of the food of many waterfowl species. Much remains to be learned regarding the successful management of aquatics under California conditions. Most of the native varieties will appear naturally if water conditions are favorable. In new water areas artificial planting will probably be justified. Fertilization of pond waters is a new development and should be studied in this connection. Exotic aquatic species should be tried on an experimental basis. To date, the most favored eastern species, wild rice and "wild celery," have not proved successful in this State.

# Cropping Methods and Costs

Three means of producing crops on the state-owned lands have been suggested: (1) Share-cropping; (2) Contract planting; (3) Use of

State personnel and equipment.

Share-cropping in this case does not appear to be a practical solution since the primary object in producing the crop is to feed waterfowl, not humans or domestic stock. It would defeat the purpose of the project to drive waterfowl away from the crop. The landowner's share is commonly only one-third.

Crop planting by contractors affords arguments both pro and con. Utilization of this method will relieve the State of the necessity of purchasing and maintaining large quantities of farm machinery. Labor personnel problems will be simplified. Seed furnished by the

contractor will eliminate a purchase problem.

On the other hand, the contractor will expect to make a profit on the operation, thus increasing cost. The slow, cumbersome process of awarding State contracts and delay in payments will deter many bidders. Those best fitted to do the work, the local agriculturalists, will do their own planting at the most advantageous time and plant State crops either early or late.

Crop production with State personnel and equipment should be tried on a small scale and expanded if results are satisfactory. Some suitable equipment must be owned by the project for maintenance and

its utilization in crop production will be economical.

# Crop Production Costs

Small grains are expected to form the backbone of the project program. Seed bed preparation, seed, and seeding should not exceed \$5.25 per acre under any foreseeable circumstances, and under normal conditions should be less. Itemization follows:

Plowing		\$2.00	per	aere	
Discing		.50	per	acre	
Drilling		.50	per	acre	
Seed		2.25	per	aere	(90 = at \$2.50 cwt.)
Tot	tal	\$5.25			

It is estimated that not over 50 per cent of the entire area will be planted in any one season, the remainder being 33\frac{1}{3} per cent water, 16\frac{2}{3} per cent waste and grassland. Thus, an average cost of \$2.625 per acre is assignable for the entire tract.

# Utilization of Crops-Grazing

A large part of the lands proposed for acquisition are essentially pasture land at present. Minor portions are cropped to barley, rice, milo, and beans. Under management as public shooting grounds the most practical economic agricultural by-product of these lands will be pasturage. The cash return from this source under present usage averages \$3.50 per acre annually. Under game management the grazing intensity and season will be restricted, but the quality and quantity of forage will be increased. Allowable grazing should bring a minimum cash return of \$2 per acre per year.

In normal years a short period of spring grazing (when land is dry enough) can be permitted. This procedure is generally beneficial to grain crops. As soon as grain is matured, pasturage will

again be permissible.

It has been found in similar operations at Honey Lake (Lassen County) that grazing cattle on matured grain serves to shatter a large part of the grain onto the ground where it becomes available to waterfowl and pheasants. If grazing is not practiced, it is neces sary to drag down the standing stalks or to flood the land to make the grain available to waterfowl.

Pasture cattle should be removed before the shooting season unless

owners sign waivers for damage and loss.

Pasturage by sheep should not be allowed in most cases. Their use may be permissible under special conditions as where it is desirable to remove weed growth.

# Payments to Counties in Lieu of Taxes

It is anticipated that strong objection to State acquisition of large tracts of lands will arise unless some means is devised to compensate the respective counties for loss of tax revenue. Legislative action will be required before this is possible. Such payment should be limited to the amount of taxes levied at the time of acquisition. The type of lands being considered are largely marginal so far as agriculture is concerned. On the basis of current land values and tax rates, the charge for this item should not exceed 50 cents per acre annually.

# Hunting Management

This will unquestionably be the most difficult phase of the entire program. The term "public shooting ground" will convey to many the idea of free and unrestricted use by any or all individuals. This will not be possible. All applicants can not be accommodated at any one time. Some system of accepting and acknowledging applications must be devised. Priority of requests appear to be the only fair basis of assigning hunting privilege. To produce a workable system will require much thought, effort, and experience.

Cost of permits must be kept substantially lower than charges by commercial clubs if the plan is to benefit the hunting public. Charges for the first season must be estimated as closely as possible; thereafter charges should be modified annually in conformity with

the previous year's actual costs.

What expense shall be considered in determining permit charges? If initial cost of the land is met from accumulated license fees, paid in part for this specific purpose, then no attempt to recover cost of the land through permit fees is justifiable. Development, maintenance, and operating costs can and should be met by permit fees.

Development costs should be amortized over a considerable period of years, not less than 10, and preferably 20. Over a 20-year period the permit fee attributable to this source would be 75 cents per acre per year. Other costs to be added to the permit fee are

outlined and summarized below.

It is believed that a minimum of 20 acres should be allotted to each double blind, or 10 acres per permittee. In addition, one-third of the entire area should be closed to shooting each season to provide unmolested feeding and loafing space. This will avoid driving the birds from the tract when the season opens. Thus 15 acres becomes the actual minimum for each hunter and is the unit on which the fee per shooter day must be calculated.

If salaries of employed personnel are to be charged to the hunter,

they can be estimated as follows:

Two permanent employees for each 5,000 acres	\$4,800	per	year
Extra seasonal labor for each 5,000 acres	2,700	per	year

Total \_\_\_\_\_ \$7,500 per year

This amounts to a charge of \$1.50 per acre yearly.

Maintenance costs (structural repairs, weed control, etc.) should not exceed \$1.50 per acre.

## Summarization of Annual Costs

	Cost	Cost for
Item	per acre	15-acre Unit
Development (20-year amortization)	\$.75	\$11.25
Planting (one-half of entire area)	2.63	39.45
Personnel cost	1.50	22.50
Water		56.25
Payment in lieu of taxes	.50	7.50
Maintenance	1.50	22.50
Total yearly cost	\$10.63	\$159.45
Less income (pasturage)	2.00	30,00
Nat annual cost	\$10,000	2100 (*
Net annual cost	\$5.65	\$129.45

Under the present season there is an average of 35 shooting days per year (three per week plus opening, closing, and holidays). The cost per man per day thus becomes \$3.70 (\$129.45 ÷ 35 permits). It is reasonable to assume that a small percentage of hunters will not take up their reservations. If this is assumed to be one in seven, the charge

becomes \$4.32 for each permit (\$129.45  $\div$  30).

It has been suggested that the guide plan used by the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service on their large public shooting ground in the Dakotas be followed. Here, we understand, a guide is required for each double blind, the occupants furnishing his wages of \$7 per day as part of their permit fees. It is believed that conditions in Dakota are quite different from those that would prevail on California shooting grounds. Travel to and from blinds is probably by boat, making it praetical for a guide to serve only one blind. If the guide system is adopted in this State, it may be practical for a guide to serve as many as four blinds, thus reducing the guide cost per shooter to \$1 per day, wage being assumed to be \$8 per day.

### Pheasant Shooting

Under the management plans outlined above, a heavy pheasant population may be expected to develop on the shooting grounds intended primarily for waterfowl. The pheasant hunting season comes within the waterfowl season, but if pheasant hunters were allowed to operate at the time waterfowl blinds are occupied, waterfowl shooting would be spoiled. It is suggested, therefore, that all pheasant hunting on these lands be limited to regular waterfowl shooting days, that the one permit fee pay for both types of hunting, and that pheasant hunting be limited to the period between 10 a.m. and 3 p.m.; before and after these hours all hunting to be restricted to occupants of blinds.

#### DEER, ELK AND ANTELOPE

Regulations promulgated by the Fish and Game Commission at the request of the War Department restricted the deer season in 1942 to 21 days in the counties on the coast from Santa Cruz to Mendocino, inclusive, and prohibited the hunting of deer in Southern California, including San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara and Ventura Counties. In the Sierra

region hunting was prohibited below Ponderosa Way, approximately 2,500 feet elevation. This prohibition reduced the kill to 25,902 as against 43,493 for the year previous. In 1943 deer hunting was prohibited by the War Department in the coast counties and in Southern California. The take in the counties opening September 16th totaled 21,882. In view of the fact that there had been no open season in Southern California for two years, the commission declared an open season in the southern counties and in Monterey, San Benito, San Mateo, Santa Cruz, and the western portion of Santa Clara, Merced and Fresno Counties, from December 11th to December 31st. Three thousand three hundred twelve deer were taken during this season.

Questionnaires were sent to nearly 3,000 successful hunters in which they were asked pertinent questions. Only in Los Angeles and San Bernardino Counties were the hunters in favor of a winter season. Few hunters reported fat deer and the general opinion was that the animals were from 25 per cent to 30 per cent underweight. In many instances, antlers were loose and in some localities bucks had already dropped their antlers. Those that favored a winter season did so on account of the cooler weather and less fire hazard. Those against, on account of the

condition of the animals.

Acting under the authority of Section 39.1 of the Fish and Game Code, the commission in 1943 had an open season on elk in the Owens Valley. Hunting was permitted from December 4th to 11th. Seventy-five permits issued allowing the taking of one bull each. Forty-three animals were taken. The largest with the viscera removed weighed 490 lbs. Following are the measurements: Antlers, right 38.5"; left 36"; spread 43"; tip to tip 40.12"; base, right 9.12"; left 9.6"; number of points, right 6; left 6.

In 1943 the commission again opened the season on antelope, fixing the dates September 8th to 20th, inclusive. The same procedure in issuing permits was followed as had been used in the 1942 season. Five hundred permits were issued. Eighty-seven per cent of those who

hunted were successful and took 362 antelope.

The antelope census was carried on by airplane as in the past with a favorable increase in numbers being indicated. In 1943 the count was 5,338 and in 1944, 6,147. The 1942 count was 3,752. These counts were made in Lassen, Modoc and Siskiyou Counties on the known winter ranges. One band of 171 was found in Shasta County near Fall River Mills, in 1944.

Study of parasites and diseases of game has been continued by Dr. Carlton M. Herman. His report follows.

#### PARASITES AND DISEASES

During the period covered by this report there have been many cases of evidence of disease causing loss in deer populations, primarily in the coastal counties and particularly in Mendocino and Sonoma Counties, although the same condition occurs more or less from the southern part of the State to Humboldt County, as well as in Lake and Trinity Counties.

Examination of a number of animals seems to point to the roundworm infections of the upper digestive tract as the most frequently occurring disease condition, and to such an extent that much of the losses could be attributed to this infection. A number of species of roundworms occur, all causing the same general pathology and all having similar direct life cycles. Most of them are common parasites of sheep and cattle and were undoubtedly introduced into this country with the domestic flocks and herds.

The extreme irritation to the intestinal tract usually causes a diarrhetic condition referred to as scours. It is usually more evident in the younger animals. The developing eggs of the worms pass out of the intestinal tract with the deer's droppings and the larval worms hatch out in the soil. After a period of development they become ripe for infection and migrate up moist blades of grass. Deer (or cattle and sheep) become

infected when they eat such contaminated grass,

Many factors are involved in the ultimate condition of deer as a result of these parasites. Some of these are temperature, moisture, the extent of use of the pasture by infected deer or livestock, and the amount of grazing done by the deer on such infected areas. In point of sequence this last factor seems to be the most important in the health of the deer population. Where these infections are the only ones involved in reductions of deer, a direct proportion can be hypothesized between the amount of grazing and the extent of the losses. The more browse available, the less infection with these worms. It is mainly in areas where deer would be most likely to live chiefly on a grass diet that this condition becomes severe. In areas where it has not been observed, or where the severity was of little significance, the stomach contents of the deer examined showed a predominance of browse.

In March, 1944, in collaboration with the U. S. Park Service and U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, an investigation was made of deer conditions in the Sequoia National Park. Here, again, these intestinal worms were found to be of much importance. In this area, too, deer are suffering from intensive eyeworm infections. All deer infected with these small worms in the eye at Sequoia have upwards of 30 worms per eye, whereas when we have observed the same infection in deer in other

parts of the State, very few worms have been present.

Our program on the occurrence of blood parasites of quail has been continued, although reduction of field personnel has greatly reduced the number of samples. With the cooperation of the Associated Sportsmen of California and other hunters, we have obtained many samples of blood and hearts in an effort to determine the distribution of these diseases. This program shall be continued and expanded. As a result of our studies on quail we have uncovered at least three important parasites new to science. As a part of this program we are studying the parasites of other species of birds to determine what diseases are common to all birds as well as those that occur only in our game species.

Two winter outbreaks of botulism were observed in ducks and coots: (1) Gridley, with varying intensity during winter 1943-44; (2) Mt. Eden,

January, 1944.

Preliminary investigations were made on the source of so-called "iodine" ducks in the lower San Francisco Bay area during the 1943 duck season and plans have been formulated to make an intensive investigation of this condition during the 1944 season.

Numerous other parasites and diseases were diagnosed in the wildlife of the State and investigations on the epidemiology of many of the parasites are in progress. A total of 614 birds and 149 mammals were autopsied.

The following papers were published:

#### 1942

Reducing Coccidiosis in California Quail During Captivity. California Fish

and Game 28:148-149.

The Protozoan Blood Parasite Haemoproteus lophortyx O'Roke in Quail at the San Joaquin Experimental Range, California. California Fish and Game 28:150-153.

Coccidiosis in California Quail. Condor 44:168-171.

#### 1943

The Occurrence of Blood Parasites in Birds From Southwestern United States. Jour. Parasitology 29:187-196.

Food Habits and Intensity of Coccidian Infection in Native Valley Quail in

California. Jour. Parasitology 29:206-208.

Fungus Disease in a Glaucous-winged Gull. Condor 45:160-161. A parasite in the muscles of ducks in California. California Fish and Game 29:148-149. Epidemiological Studies on Coccidiosis of California Quail. I. Occurrence of

Eimeria in Wild Quail. California Fish and Game 29:168-179.

An Outbreak of Mycotic Pneumonia in Mallards. California Fish and Game

29:204.

Parasites of Cottontail Rabbits on the San Joaquin Experimental Range, California. Journal Wildlife Management 7:395-400.

Giardia in the Blood of a Kangaroo Rat. Journal Parasitology 29:423.

## 1944

Eyeworm (Thelazia californiensis) Infection in Deer in California. California Fish and Game 30:58-60.

A parasite from antelope in California. Transactions American Microscopical

Society 63:27-29.

Notes on the pupal development of Stilbometopa impressa (Diptera Hippoboscidae). Journal Parasitology 30:112-118.

Preliminary arrangements have been made to obtain more adequate quarters to house the laboratory on the Berkeley Campus of the University of California. The achievement of this move will also afford better library facilities and cooperation with various specialists on the University faculty.

## PITTMAN-ROBERTSON

As a direct result of the war the appropriations made by Congress under the Pittman-Robertson Act were considerably reduced during the biennium. This action on the part of Congress generally met with the approval of the States who were fearful that the manpower shortage, the lack of essential materials, and soaring land prices would make it impossible to spend normal appropriations.

As it was, California received allotments totaling \$91,717.86, which brought the total of Pittman-Robertson money allotted to California since the inception of the program to \$398,233.28. Since, according to the terms of the act, a participating State must contribute an amount equal to one-third of the Federal apportionment, the amount that has actually been made available for wildlife restoration in California is now more than one-half million dollars. Of this sum, \$457,570.72 had been obligated at the close of the biennium.

Seventeen projects have been undertaken up to the present time. Eleven of these were begun during the 1940-42 biennium; six were completed during that period, and five were continued after July 1, 1942. Four new projects were begun during 1942-44, bringing to nine the total number of projects on which work was done during the period covered by this report. Three of these projects came under the heading of surveys and investigations, four were development projects, and two involved the acquisition of lands.

Following is an account of the wildlife restoration work accom-

plished under each of the three project categories.

# Surveys and Investigations

A five-year study of California's fur resources, Project 5-R, first undertaken early in the spring of 1941, was continued through this biennium. Particular emphasis was placed on the accumulation and analysis of both quantitative and qualitative data pertaining to California's annual fur crop. Although the shortage of manpower necessitated the discontinuance of intensive studies of the biology and management requirements of all of the various kinds of fur bearers in the State, it was possible to give some attention to two of the most important species, the beaver and the muskrat. The experimental planting of beaver has been generally so successful that plans are being made for an intensive beaver management program in the immediate future.

The investigation of methods that can be used in connection with valley quail management, Project 6-R, is another five-year study that was continued during the biennium. The general survey of the study

was continued during the biennium. The general survey of the study area, California's south coast counties, was concluded early in this investigation and during the past two years emphasis was placed on the application of specific management tools such as water development, predator control, artificial feeding, and the like. The results of some of these studies have already been published and at the close of the biennium the manuscript of a well illustrated handbook entitled "How to Increase Valley Quail in California" was nearing completion. This promises to

be an important contribution to game management literature.

Arguments, pro and con, over a winter deer season in the south coast and southern regions of the State in 1943 and minor though nonetheless heated contentions over the proper season for taking antelope have emphasized the lack of technical knowledge of the condition of the meat of big game at various times of the year. As a result, a scientific study of deer and antelope meat, Project 15-R, was begun early in 1944. So far, the investigation has been confined to deer from the north coast, Marin County, and the south coast. Ventura and Santa Barbara Counties. The study is being conducted in cooperation with the Department of Home Economics of the University of California. The university is responsible for the analyses of the meat samples and the division for the collection of specimeus and recording of field data. Samples of the stomach contents of all deer taken are being analyzed by the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

### Development Projects

The restoration of sagehen habitat in Lassen and Modoc Counties through the development of springs and the creation of fenced meadows, Project 1-D, was begun in 1940 and was terminated during the fall of 1942. Nineteen units were completed, some of them in cooperation with the Division of Grazing, the A. A. A., or private landowners who contributed money, labor, or materials.

Project 7-D, providing for resurveying and posting the boundaries of legislative game refuges, operated for almost a year before the shortage of personnel occasioned by the war made it necessary to suspend operations for the duration.

The construction of new levees and the improvement of old, together with the installation of spillways and gates on the Gray Lodge Waterfowl Refuge near Gridley was made possible by the approval of Project 13-D. Two hundred twelve thousand, four hundred and ninety cubic yards of earth were placed on 12½ miles of levee. Over 1,000 feet of concrete culvert of varying diameters, 18-inch to 36-inch, were placed and 135.43 cubic yards of concrete were used in the construction of headwalls.

The construction of a drift fence approximately five miles long on the western boundary of the Tehama Deer Winter Range, Project 14-D, was begun in the spring of 1944 and was still under way at the close of the biennium. The purpose of the fence is to control trespass by domestic

livestock.

# Land Acquisition Projects

Both Project 10-L, Tehama Deer Winter Range, and Project 11-L, Honey Lake Valley Waterfowl Management Area, were continued through the biennium. Twelve hundred acres were added to the Tehama Range and three parcels of land totaling 1,447 acres have been added to the Honey Lake area.

# BUREAU OF GAME FARMS

By August Bade, Chief

There are two very good reasons for the decline of 12 per cent in production and distribution of game birds for the biennium.

(1) Labor was not only scarce, but the quality of what was available was far below the level of ordinary years. In order to carry on in a comparable way to former seasons, women and high school students were employed as well as men well along in years.

(2) Early in 1942 it became apparent that the supply of protein would be cut about 50 per cent and that meant that all poultry and stock feeds would be affected. Since game birds are insect eaters their food, under domestic handling, must contain a high per cent of protein. With the lowering of the protein content of all commercial feeds, plus the inexperience of available help, the problem of producing game birds became involved.

# USE OF MODERN EQUIPMENT

The introduction of more modern equipment such as the battery brooder went a long way in compensating for the food and labor situation. For the past two years we had been working with the battery brooder in an effort to utilize this type of equipment in the production of game birds. The conditions imposed by the war, labor and food, helped to make the development of the battery brooder an

actuality in the rearing of all upland game birds.

Not only does the battery brooder multiply labor, but it increases both production and the quality of the birds. And the use of the battery does not mean that we are discarding the former radiant type brooder that has been developed here in California and is now used by many States, but it does mean that the battery supplements the work of the older brooder and makes it even more efficient. With the introduction of the battery brooder all radiant type brooders now in use will be kept and their work merely increased and improved.

# THE REARING PEN PROGRAM

Even under war conditions the rearing pen program continues to meet with general approval and, while a few units quit for the duration other new units of pens were built and operated. At the beginning of the biennium we were serving 129 units and at the close that number had increased to 140. These cooperative efforts tend to increase interest in community affairs and lessen game law violations. The rearing pen program is educational as well as productive.

# A TRAINING SCHOOL FOR GAME BREEDERS

The two-year course in game management at Humboldt State College, Arcata, continues, but the armed services requirements of

manpower has reduced the number of students who are able to take advantage of this course. As a substitute classes have been organized at the Yountville farm for the training of new employees as well as the older men who are left on the job.

Classwork at the Yountville farm includes lectures supplemented by moving pictures and general discussion. These classes are held at night and are available to all employees and others interested in con-

servation.

## GAME MANAGEMENT AREA PROGRAM

With four years of experience behind us the game management program continues for the man who can afford a longer shooting season and is willing to pay for the privilege. The commercial game breeder has been provided with a market for his product at a price that shows

him a profit.

Field trials are now held on these areas where actual hunting conditions may be emulated for all types of hunting dogs. During the four years that game management has been practiced a total of 27,547 game birds have been released (and all these birds came from commercial breeders), while only 12,980 birds have been retaken, or less than 50 per cent. Game management has given to the unattached sportsman 14,567 birds that did not cost him a single penny. A check on territories adjacent to game managed areas shows a decided increase in game birds. In some instances banded birds that were released on game managed areas have been taken by hunters during the regular shooting season as far as 18 miles from the point of release.

#### FIELD DOG TRIALS

The sport of field trials has to some extent suffered by war restrictions and the number of trials held during a normal year has decreased, but in many cases clubs have functioned regardless of these handicaps. Some clubs have built their own pens for the rearing of birds for field trials. This is a good indication of the growth of this sport in California. There is also a decided increase in the use of hunting dogs, which is a real conservation measure.

## QUAIL TRAPPING PROGRAM

As far as manpower and equipment is available the quail trapping program goes ahead and in another year or so we will know more about this sort of work and its place in the general scheme of more upland game.

## THE GENERAL PHEASANT SITUATION

The 1943 season brought complaints from many hunters that pheasants were not as numerous as in previous years. Various reasons were advanced for this condition, especially in the Sacramento Valley areas. Some thought it was due to a poor hatching season. Others were sure it came about because too many male birds were taken. A few were of the opinion that the opening of the quail season in advance of the regular pheasant season had resulted in much illegal shooting.

During the spring and early part of 1944 regular checks have been made all over the Sacramento Valley and other areas where there have been a concentration of hunters the year before and there is a very definite decline in the number of birds.

	Pheasants	Partridges	Quail	Turkeys
Birds distributed	. 94,064	21,792	5,680	311
Birds hatched	. 100,306	25,500	7,503	637
Eggs laid	159,558	33,378	$15,\!592$	1,461
Eggs set	131,702	32.123	10,999	1,305
Eggs distributed		155	1,103	

Wardens

# BUREAU OF PATROL AND LAW ENFORCEMENT

By L. F. CHAPPELL, Chief

The operations of the Bureau of Patrol during the past biennium were greatly curtailed due to the loss of manpower, rationing of gasoline and rubber incident to the war activities. This was to be expected. No replacement of mobile equipment was possible and no new tires have been available for our use since 1941. This has necessitated very careful handling of equipment and a great deal of credit is due to the personnel of the bureau in carrying on the work as well as they have under these circumstances.

In addition to the wardens and assistant wardens which were on military leave and which were reported in the last biennium, the following employees in the bureau have taken military leave of absence during this biennium:

Day Davison	~ / 4 /44
Don Davison	
Don Chipman	5/26/44
Harold Erwick	11/ 4/43
Owen Mello	9/14/44
H. S. Vary	
Walter Greenwald	4/20/43
Larry Werder	3/ 4/43
Jay Cox	
George Shockley	
John Spicer	
W. S. Talbott	1/29/43
A. L. Stager	
John Hurley	12/ 7/42
Karl Lund	12/10/42
Walter Shannon	12/15/42
Leo Rossier	12/14/42
George Seymour	11/ 4/42
William La Marr	10/ 2/42
Chester Ramsey	10/20/42
John Barry	
Carmi Savage	9/ 5/42
Garrie Heryford	
Charles Towers	
N. J. Millen	
Howard Shebley	
Alonard Man,	, -,
Captains	
L. J. Weseth	9/ 2/43
Ralph Classic	7/30/43
	1/00/10
Assistant Wardens .	
R. J. O'Brien	5/ 3/44
Robert Fraser	4/20/44
Frank Burns	4/11/44
Will Payne	
Frank Felton	
Glen Whitesell	
Robert Hart	10/19/42
James Wade	
Bolton Hall	
Robert Kaneen	
C. E. Whaley	
U. 17. Whatey	1/11/42

Deckhands	
Robert McDonald	3/ 9/43
Walter Scrimsher	11/ 1/42
Harry Peters	S/ 3/42
Diesel Engineman	
Ralph Dale	10/20/42
Stenographer/Clerk	
Ruth Smith	11/ 8/43

In addition to the above persons, the following members of the service resigned to enter other lines of work:

Resignations
Wardens
Ed Clements 1/25/44
E. L. Walker3/14/44
R. J. Bullard11/15/43
L. G. Van Vorhis10/18/43
Kenneth Langford 4/29/43
Chester Parker 3/27/43
George Johnson 7/ 7/42
R. W. Remley 7/ 5/42
Assistant Wardens
Allen Swenson 8/ 2/42
Deckhand
M. G. Stewart 9/29/43

Ray O'Connor was placed on disability retirement in August of 1943 after 33 years of service with the division and E. A. Chan, former warden and later information clerk, went on disability retirement August 17, 1942 and passed away in April 1943.

Our ranks were further reduced by the deaths of the following wardens which occurred during the biennium:

Deaths	
Alvin Granstrom	7/21/43
Charles Love	8/11/43
C. L. Bundock	6/5/43
A. R. Ainsworth	8/8/42

The patrol boat "Sturgeon" based at Monterey, and the patrol boats "Perch" and "Quinnatt III" based in the San Francisco Bay District were requisitioned by the United States Coast Guard in September, 1942. This equipment was sold outright to the War Shipping Administration after rather lengthy negotiations.

The patrol boats "Tuna," "Yellowtail" and "Broadbill" were chartered to the Coast Guard in August of 1942. Under the terms of this charter, we expect this equipment to be returned.

The only remaining patrol boat, the "Bonito" is operating in southern California waters.

To supplement the marine patrol in the bay area a 19-foot open launch was purchased in February of 1943, this boat being of shallow draft, makes it possible to cover more of the restricted waters in the bay area.

With the completion of the Shasta Dam and the storage of water at that point, it became evident that some method of patrol on this lake would be necessary as it opened up a great virgin country which heretofore had been inaccessible as there were very few trails and no roads leading into it. Accordingly the commission approved the purchase of a small launch for use on this reservoir. The purchase was completed in June of 1944.

A boat has been chartered for patrol work in Monterey Bay after it became evident that a more intensive coverage of these waters would

be necessary.

Airplanes were used to some extent in northern California where military restrictions did not prevent their operation. During the hunting seasons for antelope and deer in Modoc and Lassen Counties, planes were used to a great extent and with very satisfactory results. This equipment will be a necessary and important part of the patrol activity after the war.

A summary and recapitulation of arrests and convictions will be

found in the appendix on page 75.

## REPORT OF THE BUREAU OF MARINE FISHERIES

By RICHARD VAN CLEVE, Chief

Total landings of fish, in pounds, for the State of California for the years 1942 and 1943 are shown in Table I, with the production of canned fish, fish meal and fish oil.

#### TABLE I

	1942	1943	Total
Total landings, pounds	1,171,514,793	1,229,754,615	2,401,269,408
Cases of canned fish	6,941,643	6,698,134	13,639,777
Tons of fish meal produced	79,003	86,151	165,154
Gallons of fish oil produced	12,686,640	14,016,179	26,702,819
Value of canned and processed			. ,
fishery products	\$67,432,689	\$70,496,100	\$137,928,789

The total landings in these two years were 416,172,000 pounds behind the landings in the previous biennium, but the total value of the fishery products produced was the highest ever recorded for this State. Shortage of labor resulted in a decrease of 29 per cent in the production of canned fish.

The value of the principal species of fish to the fishermen in the two years is shown in Table II.

TABLE II

Pounds and Value of Commercial Fish Landings in California—1942-43

	19	9.42*	ī	1943		
Species	Pounds		Pounds	Value		
Sardine	969,747,099	\$10,369,736	972,249,015	\$10,781,440		
Yellowfin tuna	41,466,614	3,823,857	49,261,328	4,880,540		
Skipjack	38,735,228	3,334,608	28,893,784	2,582,850		
Albacore	11,091,699	2,107,209	21,384,864	3,477,417		
Bluefin tuna	12,844,564	1,158,514	10,178,768	967,562		
Shark	3,468,290	1,150,497	3,729,246	1,933,173		
Pacific mackerel	52,553,663	954,643	75,262,739	1,492,918		
Salmon	6,616,216	827,403	6,581,076	1,227,624		
Barracuda	3,454,537	332,757	3,775,278	656,372		
Crab	2,414,086	282,778	2,315,338	353,287		
Sole	3,155,757	228,635	4,782,379	265,203		
Yellowtail	2,726,269	192,631	4,934,879	368,724		
Spiny lobster	856,300	150,037	985,525	256,153		
Sablefish	1,972,270	129,974	3,206,074	267,671		
Bonita tuna	1,650,689	126,732	2,282,299	181,354		
Rockfish	1,423,290	104,172	2,762,192	185,541		
California halibut	756,065	102,422	1,121,673	238,670		
Horse mackerel	5,348,501	101,606	12,698,974	235,878		
Broadbill swordfish	445,908	94,217	336,386	102,430		
Shad	2,571,633	84,459	2,348,143	114,648		
White sea bass	553,726	78,995	500,183	122,797		
Black sea bass	378,780	46,808	700,855	157,298		
All others	8,291,042	427,356	19,489,950	1,101,219		
Totals	1,172,522,226	\$26,210,046	1,229,780,948	\$31,950,769		

<sup>\*</sup> Landings for 1942 include shipments to canneries from other States and foreign countries to the value of \$106,778.

<sup>3-52283</sup> 

The catch of fish sold in the fresh state was 30,092,000 pounds in 1942, and 37,683,000 pounds in 1943. The increase in 1943 occurred among a number of species, and probably reflects the adjustment of the industry to war conditions. Some of the fishing grounds which were closed in 1942 for defense purposes have again been opened. War emergency restrictions on the movements of boats into and out of ports have been somewhat relaxed, and readjustments in price ceilings have encouraged fishermen to again seek species which were formerly priced so low that it was unprofitable to bring them to port.

One of the most interesting developments of the biennium was the heavy catch of albacore during 1943. The catch of this species for this year was the highest recorded since 1925. The albacore fishery developed gradually after the last World War, along the California coast until in 1925 a catch of 22,206,923 pounds was landed. In 1926 the albacore catch fell to 2,469,385 pounds and did not again reach the 1925 figure until 1943. The catch in California is, now, only a part of the total present production of albacore on the coast, since a considerable fishery has developed off the coasts of Oregon and Washington in the last ten years.

COMMERCIAL FISHING LICENSES

The record of licensed commercial fishermen in California for the biennium, is shown in Table III.

TABLE III
Licensed Commercial Fishermen

l	1942-43 icensed hermen	1943-44 licensed fishermen
United States	5,346	7,893
Italy	1,197	1,374
Jugoslavia	940	909
Norway	461	360
Portugal	328	341
Great Britain	187	239
Sweden	85	94
Mexico	68	62
Spain	57	59
Denmark	45	61
Finland	45	56
Russia	45	64
Greece	44	51
Germany	34	55
Austria	29	
France	21	23
Netherlands		28
All others	111	134
Total	9,043	11,803

It is of interest to note that while the number of fishermen dropped in the 1942-43 season, from the previous season, the number of commercial fishing licenses sold in the 1943-44 season was the largest ever recorded for this State. The decrease in 1942-43 was due in part to the loss of the Japanese fishermen who were barred from operations off the coast. These were only partially replaced by the other nationalities.

Interest in the lucrative albacore and soupfin shark fisheries, which were successful in 1943, as well as high prices of all fish, encouraged large numbers of people to enter the industry. However, commercial licenses were also bought by some solely to qualify for the Coast Guard passes required for movement of boats in ocean waters. An unknown number of licenses must be classified as temporary, and do not represent a permanent increase in the number of commercial fishermen in the State.

Some idea of the distribution of the increase within the State may be obtained from Table IV which shows the number of commercial fishermen

registering as residents of the different ports.

TA	В	LE	IV
----	---	----	----

Region of Residence	1942-43	1943-44
Eureka	_ 213	315
Sacramento	_ 367	442
San Francisco	_ 927	1,347
Monterey		968
Santa Barbara		276
Los Angeles		6,536
San Diego		1,552
Alaska, Washington, and Oregon	_ 466	354
Mexico		13
Total	_ 9,043	11,803

#### SARDINES

The catch of sardines is reported on a seasonal basis, the season in northern California extending from August 1st to February 15th, and that in southern California from October 1st to March 1st. The details of the production are given in the catch circulars which are reprinted in the appendix. The total production of sardines and sardine products is shown in Table V for the two seasons of the biennium.

#### TABLE V

Sardines (seasonal record)	1942-43	1943-44
Total tons landed	501,341	473,522
Tons received for canning	271,703	231,527
Total cases of all size cans packed	3,743,373	3,160,701
Number of reduction permits issued	76	75
Permit tonnage granted		370,272
Number of tons used under permit	229,334	241,733
Tons of sardine meal produced	76,983	73,512
Gallons of sardine oil produced	13,148,783	13,783,011

A further increase in the number of reduction permits over the previous biennium is noted. Furthermore, a decrease in the total case pack from the record established in the 1941-42 season also occurred. The catch in both years was below that of 1941-42. During the 1941-42 season, an overall average of 9.2 cases of sardines were packed for every ton of sardines landed. In the 1942-43 season this case pack had fallen to 7.5 per ton; and decreased still further in 1943-44 to 6.7 cases per ton. While the decrease in catch of the last year of the biennium amounted to 5.5 per cent over the first year of the biennium, only 49 per cent of the last year's catch was received for canning, whereas 54 per cent of the first year's catch was received for this purpose. The decrease in pack was due not only to the decrease in catch. There is no doubt that the high prices

of meal and oil, as well as the shortage of cannery labor, also contributed to the diversion of a greater proportion of the fish into the reduction plants.

#### Allocation

The decrease in the canned pack of the last year of the biennium occurred in spite of the complete regulation of the fishing and utilization of sardines by the Federal Government under the so-called "allocation orders."

After the beginning of hostilities, and with the beginning of the 1942-43 season, it was apparent that some outside agency would have to enter the sardine picture in order to prevent a major loss in production. The sardine fishing fleet had been reduced in size by the loss of large numbers of the sardine boats to the Army and the Navy. Those plants owning boats naturally desiring to ensure their own catch prevented the sale of the catch of their boats to other plants and in other ports. As a result some plants at times had an excess of fish, most of which was diverted to reduction while other canners were unable to obtain enough fish to operate their plants. During periods of heavy fishing the boat loads were limited by the plants to keep the landings within the owner's production capacity.

An attempt was made by the California Division of Fish and Game to remedy this situation; and the first order of the commission was issued on August 22, 1942, to prevent the loss of boat production by imposition of catch limits and to distribute the fishing fleet between the three California sardine ports in proportion to their plant capacities. Several months were required to solve the numerous problems involved in the inauguration of such a radical departure from normal fishing operations; and the commission was unable to put allocation into operation before injunction proceedings, instituted by several sardine plant operators in the port of Monterey, forced the cessation of allocation activities. Allocation was then taken over by the War Production Board which had cooperated closely with the commission in its early attempts to organize the allocation program. The War Production Board carried through allocation to the end of the 1942-43 season.

During the period between the end of that season and the beginning of the 1943-44 season, the office of the Coordinator of Fisheries was established in the Department of the Interior within the personnel of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. This organization took over allocation at the beginning of the 1943-44 season. The imposition of limits on the boat catches was prohibited. Boats were licensed to fish in certain ports in an attempt to distribute an inadequate fleet equitably between the three fishing ports in California, to obtain the greatest possible production from them. Poor fishing experienced in the ports of Monterey and San Francisco during the months of October, November, and December, however, made it impossible to maintain the distribution of boats on an equitable basis and the canned pack fell to the lowest figure reached in the last three years.

## Sardine Investigations

Although sardine investigations have been curtailed due to lack of personnel, the essential phases are being continued. During each season the daily each has been sampled so that there will be no break in the

continuity of studies of size changes of fish. The age analysis of the eatch has been earried on through a cooperative study with the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. No sardines were tagged during this biennium, but tags were recovered from former releases. In the 1942-43 season, 1,328 tags were recovered in the California fishery, and eight in the Pacific northwest. In 1943-44, 648 tags were returned in California, and 52 in the Pacific northwest. These represent recoveries from groups released in previous years off the coast of Mexico, Southern California, Monterey and San Francisco. In addition, 78 recoveries were made in California of tags released off the mouth of the Columbia River by the Oregon Fish Commission, and three were taken which had been released by the Fisheries Research Board of Canada off the Washington coast.

Analyses have been continued of the fisherman's catch per unit of effort expended. These studies, together with the age readings and length measurements, indicate that at present the sardine population is in a comparatively healthy condition due to good spawning survival in 1937 and 1939. These two year-classes have been the main support of the fishery for the past three or four seasons.

Annual meetings with representatives of fisheries departments of Canada, Washington, Oregon, California, and the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service have been held to coordinate the sardine investigations of the fisheries staffs of Canada, and the Pacific Coast States.

### TUNA

The landings in pounds of tuna at California ports for the years 1940 through 1943 are as follows:

#### TABLE VI 1940 1941 1943 1943 3,885,000 2,747,000 10,621,000 21,385,000 Bonito \_\_\_\_\_ 5,291,000 10,177,000 1,651,000 2,282,000 Bluefin \_\_\_\_\_ 19.970,000 9.519.000 12.845,000 10,179,000 56,650,000 25,585,000 38,715,000 Skipjack \_\_\_\_\_ 28.894.000 76,702,000 Yellowfin \_\_\_\_\_ 113,760,000 41,167,000 49,261,000

### MACKEREL

124,730,000 104,999,000

112,001,000

Total \_\_\_\_\_ 199,556,000

The catch of mackerel in 1942 was 52,353,663 pounds. In 1943 it rose to 75,261,000 pounds. A shortage of fish in 1942 added to the difficulties of movement of the boats in and out of the harbors in Southern California, resulted in the lowest mackerel catch that has been recorded in 10 years. The canning season, which is determined only by the availability of fish, began in September, 1942; and extended for that season only to March, 1943. The latter part of this season was characterized by the presence of large numbers of fish which were too small for the canners to handle properly. In 1943-44 the return in large numbers of the 1942 yearlings resulted in a major increase in the catch. As two-year olds, these fish were satisfactory for eanning. The 1943-44 season started in August, and ended in February.

## Mackerel Investigations

The loss of the staff working on Central Valleys and salmon investigations required another shift in the personnel. It has been necessary to suspend most of the mackerel investigations and to shift that personnel to the salmon work. Sampling of the mackerel catch has been maintained at San Pedro and at Newport.

Mackerel tagging was greatly reduced. From November, 1942, to March, 1943, 2,474 fish were tagged at Catalina, 1,361 at Newport, and

2,536 in Santa Monica Bay.

The numbers of mackerel tags recovered from different sections of the coast during the biennium are shown in the table below.

TABLE VII				
	194	2-43	1943	-44
	Reco	vered	Recov	ered
	Central	Southern	Central	Southern
Released	Calif.	Calif.	Calif.	Calif.
Oregon				1
Central California *	อั	23		5
Southern California †	10	399	29	237
Mexico ‡	3	75	5	28
Total	18	497	34	271

<sup>\*</sup> Monterey to San Francisco

## CENTRAL VALLEYS AND SALMON INVESTIGATIONS

## Tagging

Salmon tagging with numbered celluloid button tags fastened below the dorsal fin was started off the coast of California in 1939 and was carried through the fall of 1942. A total of 1,765 fish were tagged from chartered trolling boats in 1942. Sufficient personnel was not available to expand the effort required to obtain quantitatively significant returns. Only 143 tags were recovered in 1942, and 31 in 1943. Salmon have been retaken that have borne their tags for three years.

### Migrant Counts

Counts of the adult salmon were made in 1942 on the following streams: Trinity River at Hoopa, American River at Folsom Dam, as well as at a rack established near Sacramento, the Tuolumne River at Modesto, and on the Mokelumne River at Woodbridge Dam. Estimates of numbers spawning in the San Joaquin River were made by surveys of the spawning areas below Friant Dam.

The 1942 estimates and counts made on these rivers are as follows:

Tuolumne River	44,494
American River (Folsom)	
Mokelumne River (Woodbridge)	12,119
Trinity River	1,137
San Joaquin (approximate)	6,000

None of these counts except that on the Tuolumne are considered to be accurate. The count on the Trinity depended upon the installation of a weir by the Indians at the Hoopa Indian Reservation. The weir was not installed until late in the year; and it washed out with the first large freshet. No estimate of the run that passed this point, either before or after the weir was in place, was obtained.

<sup>†</sup> Santa Barbara to San Diego ‡ West Coast Lower California

In 1943 no one was available to make a count on the Tuolumne River. An estimate of the number of fish that ran up the San Joaquin River and spawned below Friant was again made. Approximately 7,000 fish were eounted on the spawning beds in this area. From similar counts made in other streams where check counts at weirs were available indicate that this must be considered a minimum estimate of the numbers of fish present in the upper San Joaquin.

A trap was installed on the American River below the spawning grounds and the run in that stream was estimated by tagging fish at this trap and later comparing proportions of tagged and untagged fish on the spawning beds. Heavy spring and fall freshets preclude the possibility of obtaining complete counts of migrants remaining below Folsom Dam.

The 1943 run was estimated to total 7,000 fish by this method.

### Fyke Net Work

Fyke nets were operated to determine the numbers of salmon fry lost in various diversion canals. Nets were also run in the rivers near the intake of those canals tested to check the time and size of the downstream

migration.

From May 1st to June 9, 1943, nets were operated in the Saeramento River (near Chico), the Glenn-Colusa Canal, the Feather River (near Oroville), the Sutter-Butte Canal, and the Great Western Canal. The movement of young salmon was evidently early, and most of them had moved out of the rivers by the time the ditches began taking water.

Results obtained can not therefore be considered significant.

In 1944 the work was started earlier with an earlier start of the irrigation season. On the Feather River (near Gridley) nets were operated from January 23d to May 31st. The heaviest downstream movement of young salmon occurred during March and April. The Sutter-Butte Canal opened early in April and moderate numbers of salmon were taken in the eanal between mid-April and the end of May. The Great Western Canal opened in mid-April and took very few salmon. These catches were again affected by variations in stream flow and the difficulty of operating fyke nets in irrigation canals. They must be repeated to determine the significance of the results obtained. Both of these canals divert water from the Feather River.

On the San Joaquin River near Mendota the fyke nets were operated from January 19th to July 16th. Four large canals and one small one leave the river at this point. At least one canal diverts water at all

times. By March 7th of 1944 all canals were operating.

The migration of young salmon down the San Joaquin was heavy from January 27th through March, and reached its peak on February 24th. The canals diverting water at Mendota did no appreciable damage until February 11th, but from that time on the loss of young salmon was heavy. On February 18th one fyke net took 3,000 young salmon from one canal.

## Shasta Salvage Operations

In November of 1942 the fall run of adult salmon was blocked for the first time at Shasta Dam when construction reached the point where it was no longer possible to take the fish over. From that time on the salvage program of the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation was in full operation.

The salmon run reaching the vicinity of the Shasta Dam after November, 1942, was forced to spawn in the river below this point. The entire fall run in 1943 spawned in the river below Keswick and the spring runs of 1943 and 1944 were transferred into Deer Creek and Battle Creek. It is too early as yet to determine how successful the salmon maintenance program below Shasta is. This will only become evident when fish that have been produced by the runs blocked by Shasta Dam and have been handled by the salmon maintenance program operated by the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service for the Bureau of Reclamation, return again to the fishery and to the river areas in which they were produced. The first effects of the salvage operation should become evident in the fall of 1946; and the full effect of the salvage operations on the fall run will be felt in 1947.

#### SOUPFIN SHARK

The decrease in the production of soupfin shark, noted in the previous biennium, continued during the present biennium. Total landings for 1942 and 1943 were 3,468,290 and 3,722,729 respectively. This decline in landings occurred in spite of an increased fishing effort and the greater efficiency of the various types of nets used. The effort required to eatch one shark has increased 27 times from 1942 to 1944.

An investigation of the Vitamin A potency of the soupfin shark and its relationship to the biology of the species was continued. From July 1, 1942, to July 1, 1944, samples of 461 livers were collected and analyzed at Stanford University. During 1943 the fishery was observed; and samples of the catch were obtained along the entire coast of California. Data obtained is being analyzed, and a report is being prepared for publication.

The observations of the catch have indicated that in Southern California the fisherman's catch is composed almost entirely of female soupfin. In contrast to this, male soupfin appear to be concentrated in the northern waters of the State. It has been established that the vitamin potency of the soupfin shark increased with size, and in the female definite relationship of Vitamin A potency to the state of sexual maturity, as well as the sexual development of the individual, has been found. In both males and females immature individuals exhibit very low vitamin potencies and their total value in terms of Vitamin A is small. On attaining maturity, however, the vitamin potency increased rapidly with size. This change from low potency to high potency livers begins in males at a total length of about 155 centimeters, and in females at a total length of about 165 centimeters.

#### OYSTERS

After some years of trying to cultivate native oysters (Ostrea lurida), in Humboldt Bay, it has been finally demonstrated that it is impractical. Following the closure of the last company attempting this, the commission rescinded its previous regulations closing the bay to the introduction of Pacific oysters (Ostrea gigas). The bureau is planning to make some experimental plants of this large Pacific oyster to determine the possibility of inducing it to reproduce in this area.

Oyster cultivation was carried on successfully, especially at Morro Bay; but within the last year operations of the Army Engineers in

dredging channels through the bay indicate that it may be difficult to continue to maintain these beds unless these plans are held within the bounds of reason.

#### **ABALONES**

Early in the biennium most of the abalone divers had moved from Monterey to Southern California, south of Point Conception, where they worked on the collection of agar weed, or *Gelidium*. After this southern coast was opened to abalone diving by the 1943 Legislature, they fished for green abalones. The small size of this species and increasing difficulties in gathering *Gelidium* made the venture unprofitable. By the end of the biennium most of the divers had moved back to Morro Bay. The two years' rest given to the abalone beds in District 18 while the divers were in Southern California has resulted in a plentiful supply of large abalones.

STATISTICS

Continued shortage of personnel has required a modification of operations in the statistical system. Insofar as possible, the collection of basic material has been continued, although difficulty has been experienced in obtaining an adequate field check to maintain the accuracy of the records. The inadequate clerical help has made it necessary to drop most of the special analyses that were heretofore published annually. The basic records, however, have for the most part been obtained; and these analyses can be brought up to date as soon as the employment situation recovers.

## REPORT OF THE BUREAU OF ENGINEERING

By JOHN SPENCER, Chief

The activities of the bureau were, as in other lines, curtailed by the war. Routine inspections and investigations were carried on and consideration was also given to the future operations when more nearly

normal conditions will prevail.

Investigations and some construction were carried on where it was permitted by the Federal agencies. The major portion of this activity was for the Bureau of Game Conservation in connection with their administration of the Pittman-Robertson Projects. When what appears to be suitable projects are found, a preliminary survey is made, and the data thus obtained determines its possible benefits to game. Six surveys of this kind were made, the data worked up and submitted to the Bureau of Game Conservation. In time some of these will probably receive further consideration.

The Tehama Winter Deer Refuge in Tehama County, comprising 25,000 acres, was surveyed, specifications were issued for fencing the exterior boundaries, and the contract was awarded. The work, however, has been under the supervision of the Bureau of Game Conservation.

About 10 miles west of Gridley is the commission's Gray Lodge Waterfowl Refuge of 2540 acres. This refuge did have a system of levees but these were improved and made more effective, and substantial water control facilities and improvements were added. The levees, which are of sufficient width for vehicle travel, required the placing of 212,000 cubic yards of material and totaled 12½ miles in length. Some further work is to be done when present war restrictions will permit.

Several projects are under consideration in Lassen County. One purchase of 2,200 acres has been made for waterfowl purposes and surveys are now in progress, and the intent is to proceed with the needed and extensive improvements in 1945 if it is permitted under the war

regulations.

The bureau has not had the proper facilities for storing its construction equipment and supplies. When material for construction is available it is expected to provide these facilities on land that has been purchased in the City of Redding as that place will be equipment head-

quarters for central and northern sections of the State.

The regular inspection of fish screens, previously installed, has continued, the 1,500 inspections made have well demonstrated that fish screens, as designed and installed, do stop the fish from entering the water diversions and subsequently being destroyed, and at the same time the continued flow of water is not stopped or interfered with. No new fish screens have been installed.

Four new fishways over or around dams have been constructed by the owners of these dams. These installations were made due to commitments made by the owners to the writer in the preceding biennium. Over 250 inspections of dams and existing fishways were made to see if improvements or repairs were required. Twelve fish ladders were repaired. The bureau has recommended to the executive secretary the installation of a number of fishways or their repair.


Special matters requiring investigations numbered 104, while 160

maps or plans were prepared.

In the spring of 1941, the greater portion of the responsibility for the work in connection with fish screens and fish ladders was placed in other bureaus, and the obligations and responsibilities of the Chief of the Bureau of Engineering were not definitely defined and hence no further

report on these subjects is made.

The subject of fish screens, and to a somewhat lesser degree that of fish ladders, has been before the commission for many years. Some progress has been made though the rate is far from satisfactory. It does not appear that there is sufficient knowledge of the need for protecting fish from the water diversions of the State by the ones who should be interested in this subject and this apparent lack benefits those who are opposed to an active and definite program with respect to these two matters. The problem of fish protection is probably more acute in this State than in some other States due to limited rainfall in certain areas and the numerous and large diversions of water made for agricultural, industrial, domestic and power purposes.



A number of agencies diverting water, and others, profess to believe that the problem has no solution, and appear to be of the belief that some one fish screen, yet to be found, is necessary before the great loss of fish through these unprotected water diversions can be stopped. The fact that this commission has had installed a number of successful fish screens of different types for varying conditions is carefully ignored. The need is not for some visionary and undemonstrated fish screen but rather the application of the knowledge at hand and which has been

available for some years.

It should be kept in mind that fish screens and fish ladders concern themselves with water, a vital need in the State of California. A policy of dealing with the diverters of water and the owners of dams should be determined based on a broad understanding of the needs of these parties as well as a reasonable application of fish protection measures, looking toward cooperation with those with whom the commission must deal in the application of the Fish and Game Code in these subjects.

In order that a defined policy be effective and reasonable in its operation, it appears that the responsibility should be fixed in one person. Several people can not be engaged in this work and have it carried out

in an orderly and economical manner.

The subject of fish screens and fish ladders is a most important one in conservation work and it is recommended that conservationists give this subject their earnest and active consideration.

## REPORT OF THE BUREAU OF LICENSES

By H. R. DUNBAR, Chief

The biennium from July 1, 1942, to June 30, 1944, has presented many problems in the distribution and sale of licenses. Due to the various wartime restrictions, license sales have decreased and we have lost many of our license agencies as they were unable to obtain merchandise, or the lack of help caused them to discontinue handling licenses for the duration.

In past years, it has been difficult to maintain proper distribution of licenses in the northern counties of the State and at times the sportsmen found it difficult to purchase their licenses. In July of 1942, an office was established at Redding. This office served all cash agents in Trinity, Siskiyou, Shasta, Tehama, Modoc, and Lassen Counties. The office also serves as headquarters for the Patrol captain for the same territory.

In the distribution and sale of our licenses and tags, the license agents who conduct sporting goods, hardware, and various types of businesses, play an important part in assisting the division in making it possible for the sportsmen to obtain their licenses throughout the State. To simplify the work of the agents, the style of the license book was changed by eliminating the separate application form and incorporating it with the license stub. This has saved the agents considerable time and work, and they are well pleased with the change.

For various reasons as a result of the war, our license sales have decreased from the sale in 1941. Gasoline rationing and areas closed to hunting have been important factors in the decrease in sales. During the 1942 deer hunting season, all of Southern California and coastal areas were closed to hunting except the area north of San Francisco, which area was opened from August 1st to August 21st. In 1942, the deer tag sale dropped from a total of \$173,699 in 1941 to \$116,121. Hunting licenses dropped from \$643,700 in 1941 to \$522,985 in 1942. During the 1943 deer hunting season, the same areas were closed as in 1942 although in the month of December hunting was permitted in Southern California and the coastal area south of San Francisco. This resulted in an increase in deer tag sales from \$116,121 in 1942 to \$147,755 in 1943.

During the 1943 Session of the Legislature, a law was approved providing for a pheasant tag costing \$1. Due to priorities and other causes, it was not possible to obtain a suitable type of tag. A cardboard tag, similar to the deer tag, was finally adopted. Approximately \$121,000 in tags were sold.

The annual antelope drawing, as provided by Section 1346, was held at Sacramento on August 13, 1943. In all, 3,653 persons made application. Of the first 500 applicants who were entitled to a permit, 379 made application and were issued a permit. The 500th or last permit was issued to the applicant whose drawing number was 716.

The commission, in compliance with Section 1347, provided for an elk season in Inyo County in the fall of 1943. A drawing similar to that held for antelope was held at the Fish and Game office at Los Angeles on November 8, 1943. There were 2,837 applications received. Permits

were issued to the 75 applicants who qualified at the drawing.

## FINAL STATEMENT OF ANGLING LICENSE SALES, 1942 SERIES

Country   Steach   Society   Steach   Society   Societ							
Appine							Total
Appine	\$65.720	00	940	00	9575 00	220.00	ecc 200 00
Amador	200,700	00			\$919.00	\$99.00	\$66,392 00 511 00
Batte	1 670	00			7 00	0.00	
Calaveras         1,862 00         30 0         10 00         1 00           Contra         21,232 00         4\$ 8 00         205 00         18 00           Del Norte         3,194 00         258 00         5 00         18 00           El Dorado         4,696 00         144 00         10 00         5 00           Fresno         22,554 00         3 00         45 00         7 00           Agenta         22,554 00         3 00         230 00         18 50           Totals, Fresno         823,070 00         \$33 00         \$275 00         \$25 50           Glenn         1,082 00         6 00         5 00         2 00           Humboldt         12,696 00         87 00         115 00         2 00           Inyo         12,256 00         8 00         5 00         2 00           Imperial         2,752 00         3 00         170 00         21 50           Kern         11,225 00         6 00         5 00         2 50           Lasen         4,644 00         5 00         5 00         2 50           Las Angeles         20,588 00         174 00         160 00         76 50           Las Angeles Branch         564 00         120 00 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5 00</td> <td></td> <td>1,691 00</td>					5 00		1,691 00
Contra Costa	9,002	00	39	00		13 00	9,714 00
Contra Costa	1,862	00				3 00	1,865 00
Contra Costa	1,432	00			10 00	1 00	1,443 00
Del Norte   3,194 00   258 00   5 00   10 00   5 00     Fresno:	21,232	00	48	00			21,503 00
Fresno:			258	00	5 00		3,467 00
Fresno:	4 696	00	144	00		5 00	4,855 00
Ageuts	1,000	00	172	00	10 00	3 00	4,000 00
Fresno Branch	00 554	00		00	45.00	F 00	20,000,00
Totals, Fresno   \$23,070 00   \$33 00   \$275 00   \$25 50	22,554	00	3	00			22,609 00
Glenn	516	00	30	00	230 00	18 50	794 50
Column	\$23,070	00	\$33	00	\$275 00	\$25.50	\$23,403 50
Humboldt							1,095 00
Inyo	19.606	00	07	00	115 00	2 00	1,000 00
Inyo	2,000	00	01	00	110 00		12,830 00
Kern.         11,252 00         6 00         5 00         4 00           Kings         2,902 00         30 00         1 50           Lake         3,500 00         9 00         5 00         3 50           Los Angeles:         4,644 00         54 00         25 00         3 50           Los Angeles:         202,588 00         174 00         160 00         76 50           Agents         8203,238 00         8300 00         620 00         75 50           Terminal Island Branch         86 00         6 00         55 00         9 00           Totals, Los Angeles         \$203,238 00         \$300 00         \$835 00         \$161 00           Madera         3,892 00         9 00         5 00         6 00           Marin         10,094 00         3 00         100 00         7 00           Marin         10,094 00         3 00         100 00         7 00           Meroed         4,718 00         9 00         3 00         10 00           Meroed         4,718 00         9 00         3 00         10 00           Monterey         8,902 00         9 00         3 00         10 00           Monterey         8,902 00         9 00         3 50<	2,702	00				3 30	12,836 00 2,758 50
Kings	12,256	00					12,518 50
Kings	11,252	00	6	00	5 00	4 00	11,267 00
Lassen         4,644 00         54 00         25 00         3 50           Los Angeles:         202,588 00         174 00         160 00         76 50           Los Angeles Branch         564 00         120 00         620 00         75 50           Terminal Island Branch         86 00         6 00         55 00         9 00           Totals, Los Angeles         \$203,238 00         \$300 00         \$835 00         \$161 00           Madera         3,892 00         9 00         5 00         6 00           Marin         10,094 00         3 00         100 00         7 00           Marin         11,094 00         3 00         100 00         7 00           Marin         12,094 00         3 00         100 00         7 00           Marin         4,566 00         114 00         15 00         400           Merdocin         5,484 00         9 00         5 00         2 00           Merdocin         4,718 00         9 00         30 00         10 00           Motorery         4,718 00         9 00         310 00         12 50           Monterey         8,902 00         89 00         \$385 00         \$13 50           Nevala         5,972 00	2,902	00			30 00	1 50	2,933 50
Lassen         4,644 00         54 00         25 00         3 50           Los Angeles:         202,588 00         174 00         160 00         76 50           Los Angeles Branch         564 00         120 00         620 00         75 50           Terminal Island Branch         86 00         6 00         55 00         9 00           Totals, Los Angeles         \$203,238 00         \$300 00         \$835 00         \$161 00           Madera         3,892 00         9 00         5 00         6 00           Marin         10,094 00         3 00         100 00         7 00           Marin         11,094 00         3 00         100 00         7 00           Marin         12,094 00         3 00         100 00         7 00           Marin         4,566 00         114 00         15 00         400           Merdocin         5,484 00         9 00         5 00         2 00           Merdocin         4,718 00         9 00         30 00         10 00           Motorery         4,718 00         9 00         310 00         12 50           Monterey         8,902 00         89 00         \$385 00         \$13 50           Nevala         5,972 00	3.500	00	9	00	5 00	5 50	3,519 50
Los Angeles   Agents   202,588 00   174 00   160 00   76 50   Los Angeles Branch   564 00   120 00   620 00   75 50   Terminal Island Branch   86 00   6 00   55 00   9 00   Totals, Los Angeles   \$203,238 00   \$300 00   \$835 00   \$161 00   Madera   3,892 00   9 00   5 00   6 00   Marin   10,094 00   3 00   100 00   7 00   Mariposa   4,506 00   114 00   15 00   Mendocino   8,484 00   9 00   30 00   10 00   20 0   Merced   4,718 00   9 00   30 00   10 00   Mondocino   7,242 00   486 00   5 00   26 00   Monterey   Agents   8,902 00   9 00   310 00   13 50   Monterey Branch   75 00   21 00   Monterey Branch   75 00   21 00   Monterey Branch   75 00   21 00   Mondocino   7,242 00   89 00   3385 00   \$13 50   Monterey Branch   7,440 00   15 00   35 00   21 00   Mondocino   7,440 00   123 00   65 00   23 50   Mondocino   7,440 00   123 00   65 00   23 50   Mondocino   7,440 00   123 00   65 00   23 50   Mondocino   7,440 00   123 00   65 00   23 50   Mondocino   7,440 00   123 00   65 00   23 50   Mondocino   7,440 00   123 00   65 00   23 50   Mondocino   7,440 00   123 00   65 00   23 50   Mondocino   7,440 00   123 00   65 00   23 50   Mondocino   7,440 00   123 00   65 00   23 50   Mondocino   7,440 00   120 00   1,320 00   64 00   Mondocino   7,440 00   120 00   1,320 00   64 00   Mondocino   7,440 00   120 00   1,320 00   64 00   Mondocino   7,440 00   120 00   1,320 00   64 00   Mondocino   7,440 00   120 00   1,320 00   64 00   Mondocino   7,440 00   120 00   1,320 00   64 00   Mondocino   7,440 00   120 00   1,320 00   64 00   Mondocino   7,440 00   120 00   1,320 00   64 00   Mondocino   7,440 00   120 00   1,320 00   64 00   Mondocino   7,440 00   120 00   1,320 00   64 00   Mondocino   7,440 00   120 00   1,320 00   64 00   Mondocino   7,440 00   120 00   1,320 00   64 00   Mondocino   7,440 00   120 00   1,320 00   64 00   Mondocino   7,440 00   1,320 00   Mondocino   7,440 00   1	4.644	00			25 00	3 50	4,726 50
Agents.         202,588 00         174 00         160 00         76 50           Los Angeles Branch         564 00         120 00         620 00         75 50           Terminal Island Branch         86 00         6 00         55 00         9 00           Totals, Los Angeles         \$203,238 00         \$300 00         \$835 00         \$161 00           Madera.         3,892 00         9 00         5 00         6 00           Marin         10,094 00         3 00         110 00         7 00           Marin         11,094 00         3 00         100 00         7 00           Marin         4,506 00         114 00         15 00         20           Merded         4,718 00         9 00         5 00         2 00           Merced         4,718 00         9 00         30 00         10 00           Monterey         4,718 00         9 00         310 00         12 50           Monterey         8,902 00         486 00         5 00         26 00           Monterey         8,902 00         9 00         310 00         12 50           Agents         5,902 00         9 00         335 00         21 00           Nevada         5,972 00	1,011	50	94	00	20 00	0 00	1,120 00
Los Angeles Branch	000 500	ΛΛ	174	00	100.00	50.50	000 000 50
Terminal Island Branch	202,588	00	174	00	100 00		202,998 50
Terminal Island Branch					620 00	75 50	1,379 50
Madera         3,892 00         9 00         5 00         6 00           Marin         10,094 00         3 00         100 00         7 00           Mariposa         4,506 00         114 00         15 00           Mendocino         8,484 00         9 00         5 00         2 00           Merced         4,718 00         9 00         30 00         10 00           Modoe         2,826 00         153 00         2 50           Mono         7,242 00         486 00         5 00         25 00           Monterey:         Agents         8,902 00         9 00         310 00         13 50           Monterey Branch         8,902 00         9 00         3885 00         \$13 50           Totals, Monterey         \$8,902 00         \$9 00         \$385 00         \$13 50           Napa         9,040 00         15 00         30 00         21 00           Orange         20,114 00         24 00         30 00         21 00           Orange         20,114 00         24 00         30 0         21 00           Placer         6,218 00         90 00         10 00         5 00           Riverside         11,396 00         120 0         10 0	86	00	6	00	55 00	9 00	156 00
Madera         3,892 00         9 00         5 00         6 00           Marin         10,094 00         3 00         100 00         7 00           Mariposa         4,506 00         114 00         15 00           Mendocino         8,484 00         9 00         5 00         2 00           Merced         4,718 00         9 00         30 00         10 00           Modoe         2,826 00         153 00         2 50           Mono         7,242 00         486 00         5 00         25 00           Monterey:         Agents         8,902 00         9 00         310 00         13 50           Monterey Branch         8,902 00         9 00         3885 00         \$13 50           Totals, Monterey         \$8,902 00         \$9 00         \$385 00         \$13 50           Napa         9,040 00         15 00         30 00         21 00           Orange         20,114 00         24 00         30 00         21 00           Orange         20,114 00         24 00         30 0         21 00           Placer         6,218 00         90 00         10 00         5 00           Riverside         11,396 00         120 0         10 0							
Marin         10,094 00         3 00         100 00         7 00           Mariposa         4,506 00         114 00         15 00         2 00           Mendocino         8,484 00         9 00         5 00         2 00           Merced         4,718 00         9 00         30 00         10 00           Modoc         2,826 00         153 00         2 50           Monterey:         Agents         8,902 00         9 00         310 00         13 50           Monterey Branch         75 00         13 50         13 50           Totals, Monterey         \$8,902 00         \$9 00         \$385 00         \$13 50           Napa         9,040 00         15 00         30 00         9 00           Nevada         5,972 00         504 00         35 00         21 00           Orange         20,114 00         24 00         30 00         9 00           Plumas         7,440 00         123 00         65 00         23 50           Riverside         11,396 00         12 00         10 00         5 00           Sacramento         27,408 00         335 00         7 50           Sacramento         454 00         102 00         \$1,655 00         \$71	\$203,238	00	\$300	00	\$835 00	\$161 00	\$204,534 00
Marin         10,094 00         3 00         100 00         7 00           Mariposa         4,506 00         114 00         15 00         2 00           Mendocino         8,484 00         9 00         5 00         2 00           Merced         4,718 00         9 00         30 00         10 00           Modoc         2,826 00         153 00         2 50           Monterey:         Agents         8,902 00         9 00         310 00         13 50           Monterey Branch         75 00         13 50         13 50           Totals, Monterey         \$8,902 00         \$9 00         \$385 00         \$13 50           Napa         9,040 00         15 00         30 00         9 00           Nevada         5,972 00         504 00         35 00         21 00           Orange         20,114 00         24 00         30 00         9 00           Plumas         7,440 00         123 00         65 00         23 50           Riverside         11,396 00         12 00         10 00         5 00           Sacramento         27,408 00         335 00         7 50           Sacramento         454 00         102 00         \$1,655 00         \$71							
Marin         10,094 60         3 00         100 00         7 00           Mariposa         4,506 00         114 00         15 00         200           Mendocino         8,484 00         9 00         5 00         2 00           Merced         4,718 00         9 00         30 00         10 00           Modoc         2,826 00         153 00         2 50           Mono         7,242 00         486 00         5 00         26 00           Monterey:         Agents         8,902 00         9 00         310 00         13 50           Monterey Branch         75 00         13 50         13 50           Totals, Monterey         \$8,902 00         \$9 00         \$385 00         \$13 50           Napa         9,040 00         15 00         30 00         9 00           Nevada         5,972 00         504 00         35 00         21 00           Orange         20,114 00         24 00         30 00         90           Plumas         7,440 00         123 00         65 00         23 50           Riverside         11,396 00         12 00         10 00         8 00           Sacramento         454 00         10 20         1,352 00	3,892	00	9	00	5 00	6 00	3,912 00
Mariposa	10.094	00	3	00	100.00		10,204 00
Merced					10000	15 00	4,635 00
Merced	0.404	00			= 00		8,500 00
Modoc.         2,826 00         153 00         2 50           Mono         7,242 00         486 00         5 00         26 00           Monterey:         Agents         8,902 00         9 00         310 00         13 50           Monterey Branch         75 00         75 00         75 00         75 00           Totals, Monterey         \$8,902 00         \$9 00         \$385 00         \$13 50           Napa         9,040 00         15 00         30 00         9 00           Nevada         5,972 00         504 00         35 00         21 00           Orange         20,114 00         24 00         30 00         9 00           Placer         6,218 00         90 00         10 00         5 00           Riverside         11,396 00         12 00         10 00         8 00           Sacramento:         27,408 00         23 50         7 50           Agents         27,408 00         335 00         7 50           Sacramento Branch         454 00         102 00         \$1,655 00         \$71 50           San Benito         1,032 00         10 00         3 00           San Diego:         \$2,614 00         201 00         5 00         1 50						10.00	
Monterey: Agents	4,718	00			30 00		4,767 00
Monterey:         Agents         8,902 00         9 00         310 00         13 50           Totals, Monterey Branch							2,981 50
Agents         S,902 00         9 00         310 00         13 50           Monterey Branch         \$8,902 00         \$9 00         \$385 00         \$13 50           Napa         9,040 00         15 00         30 00         9 00           Nevada         5,972 00         504 00         35 00         21 00           Orange         20,114 00         24 00         35 00         21 00           Plumas         7,440 00         12 30         65 00         23 50           Riverside         11,396 00         12 00         10 00         8 00           Sacramento:         27,408 00          335 00         7 50           Agents         27,408 00          335 00         7 50           Sacramento Branch         454 00         102 00         \$1,655 00         \$7 50           Sacramento Branch         \$27,862 00         \$102 00         \$1,655 00         \$71 50           San Benito         1,032 00          10 00         3 00           San Benrardino         32,546 00         129 00         45 00         26 00           San Diego:         Agents         52,614 00         201 00         5 00         1 50	7,242	00	486	00	5 00	26 00	2,981 50 7,759 00
Monterey Branch         75 00           Totals, Monterey         \$8,902 00         \$9 00         \$385 00         \$13 50           Napa         9,040 00         15 00         30 00         9 00           Nevada         5,972 00         504 00         35 00         21 00           Orange         20,114 00         24 00         35 00         21 00           Placer         6,218 00         90 00         10 00         5 00           Plumas         7,440 00         123 00         65 00         23 50           Riverside         11,396 00         12 00         10 00         8 00           Sacramento         27,408 00         335 00         7 50           Agents         27,408 00         335 00         7 50           Sacramento Branch         454 00         102 00         1,320 00         64 00           Totals, Sacramento         \$27,862 00         \$102 00         \$1,655 00         \$71 50           San Benito         1,032 00         \$102 00         \$1,655 00         \$71 50           San Benardino         32,546 00         129 00         \$45 00         26 00           San Diego         \$52,614 00         201 00         \$5 00         \$1 50							
Monterey Branch	8,902	00	9	00	310 00	13 50	9,234 50
Totals, Monterey			l				75 00
Napa							
Nevada	\$8,902	00	\$9	00	\$385 00	\$13 50	\$9,309 50
Nevada         5,972 00         504 00         35 00         21 00           Orange         20,114 00         24 00         35 00         21 00           Placer         6,218 00         90 00         10 00         5 00           Plumas         7,440 00         123 00         65 00         23 50           Riverside         11,396 00         12 00         10 00         8 00           Sacramento         27,408 00         335 00         7 50           Sacramento Branch         454 00         102 00         1,320 00         64 00           Totals, Sacramento         \$27,862 00         \$102 00         \$1,655 00         .871 50           San Benito         1,032 00         10 00         3 00           San Bernardino         32,546 00         129 00         45 00         26 00           San Diego:         32,546 00         129 00         5 00         1 50           San Diego:         52,614 00         201 00         5 00         1 50           San Diego Branch         92 00         81 00         80 00         12 00           San Francisco:         Agents         58,166 00         12 00         530 00         22 50           San Francisco Branch							
Orange         29,114 00         24 00         3 00           Placer         6,218 00         90 00         10 00         5 00           Plumas         7,440 00         123 00         65 00         23 50           Riverside         11,396 00         12 00         10 00         8 00           Sacramento:         335 00         7 50         64 00         132 00         64 00           Agents         27,408 00         102 00         1,320 00         64 00         64 00           Totals, Sacramento         \$27,862 00         \$102 00         \$1,655 00         \$71 50           San Benito         1,032 00         10 00         3 00           San Benito         32,546 00         129 00         45 00         26 00           San Diego:         52,614 00         201 00         5 00         1 50           San Diego Branch         92 00         \$10 00         \$0 00         12 00           San Francisco:         852,706 00         \$282 00         \$85 00         \$13 50           San Francisco:         592 00         12 00         530 00         22 50           San Francisco Branch         592 00         12 00         530 00         22 50           San	9,040	00					9,094 00
Placer	5,972	00	504	00	35 00	21 00	6,532 00
Placer	20,114	00	24	00		3 00	20,141 00
Plumas         7,440 00         123 00         65 00         23 50           Riverside         11,396 00         12 00         10 00         8 00           Sacramento:         Agents         27,408 00         33 500         7 50           Sacramento Branch         454 00         102 00         1,320 00         64 00           Totals, Sacramento         \$27,862 00         \$102 00         \$1,655 00         .\$71 50           San Benito         1,032 00         10 00         3 00           San Diego:         32,546 00         129 00         45 00         26 00           San Diego:         52,614 00         201 00         5 00         1 50           San Diego Branch         92 00         81 00         80 00         12 00           Totals, San Diego         \$52,706 00         \$282 00         \$85 00         \$13 50           San Francisco:         Agents         58,166 00         12 00         530 00         22 50           San Francisco Branch         592 00         126 00         2,375 00         110 50           Totals, San Francisco         \$58,758 00         \$138 00         \$2,905 00         \$133 00           San Joaquin         21,328 00         12 00         15 00	6.218	00	90	00	10.00		6.323 00
Riverside 11,396 00 12 00 10 00 8 00 Sacramento: Agents 27,408 00 102 00 1,320 00 64 00  Totals, Sacramento \$27,862 00 \$102 00 \$1,655 00 \$7 50 64 00  Totals, Sacramento 32,7862 00 \$102 00 \$1,655 00 \$7 50 64 00  San Benito 10,000 10,0	7 440	00	123	00		23 50	7,651 50
Sacramento:         Agents.         27,408 00         335 00         7 50           Agents.         27,408 00         102 00         1,320 00         64 00           Totals, Sacramento         \$27,862 00         \$102 00         \$1,655 00         \$71 50           San Benito.         1,032 00         10 00         3 00           San Bernardino.         32,546 00         129 00         45 00         26 00           San Diego:         52,614 00         201 00         5 00         1 50           San Diego Branch         92 00         81 00         80 00         12 00           Totals, San Diego         \$52,706 00         \$282 00         \$85 00         \$13 50           San Francisco:         Agents         58,166 00         12 00         530 00         22 50           San Francisco Branch         58,758 00         \$138 00         \$2,905 00         \$133 00           Totals, San Francisco         \$58,758 00         \$138 00         \$2,905 00         \$133 00           San Joaquin         21,328 00         12 00         15 00         17 50           San Juis Obispo         9,078 00         3 00         10 00         17 50           San Mateo         9,254 00         45 00         8	11 206	00				20 00	11,426 00
Agents         27,408 00         335 00         7 50           Sacramento Branch         454 00         102 00         1,320 00         64 00           Totals, Sacramento         \$27,862 00         \$102 00         \$1,655 00         .\$71 50           San Benito         1,032 00         10 00         3 00           San Bernardino         32,546 00         129 00         45 00         26 00           San Diego:         Agenis         52,614 00         201 00         5 00         1 50           San Diego Branch         92 00         81 00         80 00         12 00           Totals, San Diego         \$52,706 00         \$282 00         \$85 00         \$13 50           San Francisco:         Agents         58,166 00         12 00         530 00         22 50           San Francisco Branch         592 00         126 00         2,375 00         110 50           Totals, San Francisco         \$58,758 00         \$138 00         \$2,905 00         \$133 00           San Joaquin         21,328 00         12 00         15 00         14 00           San Mateo         9,254 00         45 00         8 50           Santa Barbara         7,552 00         6 00         20 00         13 50	11,000	00	12	00	10 00	0 00	11,420 00
Sacramento Branch	07.400	00			007.00		
Totals, Saeramento   \$27,862 00   \$102 00   \$1,655 00   \$71 50	27,408	00					27,750 50
Totals, Saeramento   \$27,862 00   \$102 00   \$1,655 00   \$71 50	454	00	102	00	1,320 00	64 00	1,940 00
San Benito.         1,032 00         10 00         3 00           San Bernardino.         32,546 00         129 00         45 00         26 00           San Diego:         32,546 00         129 00         45 00         26 00           Agents.         52,614 00         201 00         5 00         1 50           San Diego Branch         92 00         81 00         80 00         12 00           Totals, San Diego.         \$52,706 00         \$282 00         \$85 00         \$13 50           San Francisco:         Agents.         58,166 00         12 00         530 00         22 50           San Francisco Branch         592 00         126 00         2,375 00         110 50           Totals, San Francisco         \$58,758 00         \$138 00         \$2,905 00         \$133 00           San Joaquin         21,328 00         12 00         15 00         14 00           San Jusic Obispo         9,078 00         3 00         10 00         17 50           San Mateo         9,254 00         45 00         8 50           Santa Barbara         7,552 00         6 00         20 00         13 50           Santa Clara         18,464 00         15 00         75 00         19 50      <							
San Benito.         1,032 00         10 00         3 00           San Bernardino.         32,546 00         129 00         45 00         26 00           San Diego:         32,546 00         129 00         45 00         26 00           Agents.         52,614 00         201 00         5 00         1 50           San Diego Branch         92 00         81 00         80 00         12 00           Totals, San Diego.         \$52,706 00         \$282 00         \$85 00         \$13 50           San Francisco:         Agents.         58,166 00         12 00         530 00         22 50           San Francisco Branch         592 00         126 00         2,375 00         110 50           Totals, San Francisco         \$58,758 00         \$138 00         \$2,905 00         \$133 00           San Joaquin         21,328 00         12 00         15 00         14 00           San Jusic Obispo         9,078 00         3 00         10 00         17 50           San Mateo         9,254 00         45 00         8 50           Santa Barbara         7,552 00         6 00         20 00         13 50           Santa Clara         18,464 00         15 00         75 00         19 50      <	\$27.862	00	\$102	00	\$1.655.00	.\$71.50	\$29,690 50
San Bernardino.       32,546 00       129 00       45 00       26 00         San Diego:       52,614 00       201 00       5 00       1 50         San Diego Branch       92 00       81 00       80 00       12 00         Totals, San Diego       \$52,706 00       \$282 00       \$85 00       \$13 50         San Francisco:       Agents       58,166 00       12 00       530 00       22 50         San Francisco Branch       592 00       126 00       2,375 00       110 50         Totals, San Francisco       \$58,758 00       \$138 00       \$2,905 00       \$133 00         San Joaquin       21,328 00       12 00       15 00       14 00         San Luis Obispo       9,078 00       3 00       10 00       17 50         San Mateo       9,254 00       45 00       8 50         Santa Barbara       7,552 00       6 00       20 00       13 50         Santa Clara       18,464 00       15 00       75 00       19 50         Santa Cruz       7,876 00       12 00       185 00       14 00	,				,		,
San Bernardino.       32,546 00       129 00       45 00       26 00         San Diego:       52,614 00       201 00       5 00       1 50         San Diego Branch       92 00       81 00       80 00       12 00         Totals, San Diego       \$52,706 00       \$282 00       \$85 00       \$13 50         San Francisco:       Agents       58,166 00       12 00       530 00       22 50         San Francisco Branch       592 00       126 00       2,375 00       110 50         Totals, San Francisco       \$58,758 00       \$138 00       \$2,905 00       \$133 00         San Joaquin       21,328 00       12 00       15 00       14 00         San Luis Obispo       9,078 00       3 00       10 00       17 50         San Mateo       9,254 00       45 00       8 50         Santa Barbara       7,552 00       6 00       20 00       13 50         Santa Clara       18,464 00       15 00       75 00       19 50         Santa Cruz       7,876 00       12 00       185 00       14 00	1.032	00			10.00	3.00	1,045 00
San Diego:         52,614 00         201 00         5 00         1 50           Agents         52,614 00         201 00         5 00         1 50           San Diego Branch         92 00         81 00         80 00         12 00           Totals, San Diego         \$52,706 00         \$282 00         \$85 00         \$13 50           San Francisco:         Agents         58,166 00         12 00         530 00         22 50           San Francisco Branch         592 00         126 00         2,375 00         110 50           Totals, San Francisco         \$58,758 00         \$138 00         \$2,905 00         \$133 00           San Joaquin         21,328 00         12 00         15 00         14 00           San Mateo         9,254 00         45 00         8 50           Santa Barbara         7,552 00         6 00         20 00         13 50           Santa Clara         18,454 00         15 00         75 00         19 50           Santa Cruz         7,876 00         12 00         185 00         14 00			190	00			32,746 00
Agents         52,614 00         201 00         5 00         1 50           San Diego Branch         92 00         81 00         80 00         12 00           Totals, San Diego         \$52,706 00         \$282 00         \$85 00         \$13 50           San Francisco:         Agents         58,166 00         12 00         530 00         22 50           San Francisco Branch         592 00         126 00         2,375 00         110 50           Totals, San Francisco         \$58,758 00         \$138 00         \$2,905 00         \$133 00           San Joaquin         21,328 00         12 00         15 00         14 00           San Luis Obispo         9,078 00         3 00         10 00         17 50           San Mateo         9,254 00         45 00         8 50           Santa Barbara         7,552 00         6 00         20 00         13 50           Santa Clara         18,464 00         15 00         75 00         19 50           Santa Cruz         7,876 00         12 00         185 00         14 00	52,540	UU	129	UU	40 00	20 00	32,740 00
Totals, San Diego. \$52,706 00 \$282 00 \$85 00 \$13 50 San Francisco: \$58,166 00 \$12 00 \$530 00 \$22 50 San Francisco Branch \$592 00 \$126 00 \$2,375 00 \$110 50 \$	<b>***</b> *** ***		004				
Totals, San Diego. \$52,706 00 \$282 00 \$85 00 \$13 50 San Francisco: \$58,166 00 \$12 00 \$530 00 \$22 50 San Francisco Branch \$592 00 \$126 00 \$2,375 00 \$110 50 \$							52,821 50
Totals, San Diego. \$52,706 00 \$282 00 \$85 00 \$13 50 San Francisco: \$58,166 00 \$12 00 \$530 00 \$22 50 San Francisco Branch \$592 00 \$126 00 \$2,375 00 \$110 50 \$	92	00	81	00	80 00	12 00	265 00
San Francisco:     Agents     58,166 00     12 00     530 00     22 50       San Francisco Branch     592 00     126 00     2,375 00     110 50       Totals, San Francisco     \$58,758 00     \$138 00     \$2,905 00     \$133 00       San Joaquin     21,328 00     12 00     15 00     14 00       San Luis Obispo     9,078 00     3 00     10 00     17 50       San Mateo     9,254 00     45 00     8 50       Santa Barbara     7,552 00     6 00     20 00     13 50       Santa Clara     18,454 00     15 00     75 00     19 50       Santa Cruz     7,876 00     12 00     185 00     14 00							
San Francisco:     Agents     58,166 00     12 00     530 00     22 50       San Francisco Branch     592 00     126 00     2,375 00     110 50       Totals, San Francisco     \$58,758 00     \$138 00     \$2,905 00     \$133 00       San Joaquin     21,328 00     12 00     15 00     14 00       San Luis Obispo     9,078 00     3 00     10 00     17 50       San Mateo     9,254 00     45 00     8 50       Santa Barbara     7,552 00     6 00     20 00     13 50       Santa Clara     18,454 00     15 00     75 00     19 50       Santa Cruz     7,876 00     12 00     185 00     14 00	\$52.706	00	\$989	nn	\$85.00	\$13.50	\$53,086 50
Agents.         58,166 00         12 00         530 00         22 50           San Francisco Branch         592 00         126 00         2,375 00         110 50           Totals, San Francisco         \$58,758 00         \$138 00         \$2,905 00         \$133 00           San Joaquin         21,328 00         12 00         15 00         14 00           San Luis Obispo         9,078 00         3 00         10 00         17 50           San Mateo         9,254 00         45 00         8 50           Santa Barbara         7,552 00         6 00         20 00         13 50           Santa Clara         18,434 00         15 00         75 00         19 50           Santa Cruz         7,876 00         12 00         185 00         14 00           Shasta:         7,876 00         12 00         185 00         14 00	402,100	00	9402	00	\$00.00	Ø10 00	\$50,000 00
San Francisco Branch         592 00         126 00         2,375 00         110 50           Totals, San Francisco         \$58,758 00         \$138 00         \$2,905 00         \$133 00           San Joaquin         21,328 00         12 00         15 00         14 00           San Luis Obispo         9,078 00         3 00         10 00         17 50           San Mateo         9,254 00         45 00         8 50           Santa Barbara         7,552 00         6 00         20 00         13 50           Santa Clara         18,454 00         15 00         75 00         19 50           Santa Cruz         7,876 00         12 00         185 00         14 00	E0 100	00	10	00	F20 00	00. 70	FO 730 FO
Totals, San Francisco \$58,758 00 \$138 00 \$2,905 00 \$133 00 \$  San Joaquin 21,328 00 12 00 15 00 14 00 San Luis Obispo 9,078 00 3 00 10 00 17 50 San Mateo 9,254 00 45 00 8 50 Santa Barbara 7,552 00 6 00 20 00 13 50 Santa Clara 18,454 00 15 00 75 00 19 50 Santa Clara 7,876 00 12 00 185 00 14 00 Santa Clara 7,876 00 12 00 185 00 14 00 Santa Clara 7,876 00 12 00 185 00 14 00 Santa Clara 18,454 00 15 00 75 00 19 50 Santa Clara 18,454 00 15 00 75 00 19 50 Santa Clara 18,454 00 15 00 185 00 14 00 Santa Clara 18,454 00 15 00 185 00 Santa Clara 18,454 00 15 00 Santa Clara 18,454							58,730 50
San Joaquin         21,328 00         12 00         15 00         14 00           San Luis Obispo         9,078 00         3 00         10 00         17 50           San Mateo         9,254 00         45 00         8 50           Santa Barbara         7,552 00         6 00         20 00         13 50           Santa Clara         18,454 00         15 00         75 00         19 50           Santa Cruz         7,876 00         12 00         185 00         14 00	592	00	126	00	2,375 00	110 50	3,203 50
San Joaquin         21,328 00         12 00         15 00         14 00           San Luis Obispo         9,078 00         3 00         10 00         17 50           San Mateo         9,254 00         45 00         8 50           Santa Barbara         7,552 00         6 00         20 00         13 50           Santa Clara         18,454 00         15 00         75 00         19 50           Santa Cruz         7,876 00         12 00         185 00         14 00							
San Luis Obispo     9,078 00     3 00     10 00     17 50       San Mateo     9,254 00     45 00     8 50       Santa Barbara     7,552 00     6 00     20 00     13 50       Santa Clara     18,454 00     15 00     75 00     19 50       Santa Cruz     7,876 00     12 00     185 00     14 00       Shasta:	\$58,758	00	\$138	00	\$2,905 00	\$133 00	\$61,934 00
San Luis Obispo     9,078 00     3 00     10 00     17 50       San Mateo     9,254 00     45 00     8 50       Santa Barbara     7,552 00     6 00     20 00     13 50       Santa Clara     18,454 00     15 00     75 00     19 50       Santa Cruz     7,876 00     12 00     185 00     14 00       Shasta:							
San Luis Obispo     9,078 00     3 00     10 00     17 50       San Mateo     9,254 00     45 00     8 50       Santa Barbara     7,552 00     6 00     20 00     13 50       Santa Clara     18,454 00     15 00     75 00     19 50       Santa Cruz     7,876 00     12 00     185 00     14 00       Shasta:	21.328	00	12	00	15 00	14 00	21,369 00
San Mateo.     9,254 00     45 00     8 50       Santa Barbara.     7,552 00     6 00     20 00     13 50       Santa Clara.     18,454 00     15 00     75 00     19 50       Santa Cruz     7,876 00     12 00     185 00     14 00       Shasta:     18,454 00     18 00     18 00     18 00			3	00			9,108 50
Santa Cruz	0.954	00	0	0.0			0.307.50
Santa Cruz				00		19 50	7 501 50
Santa Cruz	1,002	00					7,591 50
Santa Cruz	18,454	UU	15	00	75 00		18.563 50
Shasta:	7,876	00	12	00	185 00	14 00	8,087 00
Agents - Redding Braneh         11,744 00 20 00 9 00 5 00         15 00 30 00 4 00							
Redding Braneh 20 00 9 00 5 00 4 00	11.744	00	63	00	15 00	30 00	11,852 00
							38 00
Totals, Shasta \$11,764,00 \$79,00 \$20,00 \$34,00	\$11.764	00	879	00	\$20.00	\$34.00	\$11,890 00
Totals, Shasta		\$2 each \$65,730 328 1,678 9,662 1,862 1,862 1,862 1,862 1,862 1,862 1,862 1,862 1,862 1,862 1,1862 1,082 1,256 1,082 1,256 1,256 1,256 1,256 1,256 1,256 1,256 1,256 1,256 1,256 1,256 1,256 1,360 2,752 2,902 3,500 4,644 202,588 3,892 1,094 4,506 8,484 4,718 4,256 7,242 8,902 20,114 6,218 7,440 11,396 27,408 454 \$27,862 1,032 32,546 52,614 92 \$52,706 58,166 592 \$58,758 21,328 9,254 7,576 11,744	\$2 each  \$65,730 00 1,678 00 1,678 00 1,682 00 1,882 00 1,882 00 1,882 00 21,232 00 3,194 00 22,554 00 22,554 00 22,554 00 24,625 00 12,256 00 12,256 00 12,256 00 12,256 00 3,500 00 4,644 00 86 00  \$23,238 00 3,892 00 10,094 00 4,506 00 8,454 00 7,242 00 8,902 00  \$8,902 00  \$1,082 00 4,506 00 \$2,744 00 11,396 00 27,440 00 11,396 00 27,408 00 45,408 00 27,408 00 52,614 00 27,408 00 52,614 00 27,408 00 52,614 00 5	\$2 each \$3 each \$3 each \$328 oo \$183	\$2 each \$3 eac	\$2 each \$3 each \$5 each \$5 each \$65,730 00 \$448 00	\$2 cach \$65,730 00 328 00 183 00 1,678 00 328 00 1,678 00 30 00 30 00 1,832 00 1,332 00 1,332 00 1,332 00 1,332 00 1,332 00 1,332 00 1,3432 00 1,440 00 1,450 00 1,450 00 1,450 00 1,450 00 1,450 00 1,450 00 1,450 00 1,450 00 1,450 00 1,450 00 1,450 00 1,450 00 1,450 00 1,450 00 1,450 00 1,450 00 1,450 00 1,450 00 1,450 00 1,55

## FINAL STATEMENT OF ANGLING LICENSE SALES, 1942 SERIES—Continued

County	Citizen, \$2 each	Non-resident, \$3 cach	Alien, \$5 each	Duplicate, 50c each	Total
Sierta Siskiyou Solano Sonoma Stanislaus Sutter Tehama	\$1,394 00 9,358 00 21,914 00 17,872 00 11,954 00 2,518 00 2,940 00	\$15 00 369 00 12 00 18 00 24 00	\$25 00 310 00 160 00 60 00	\$2 00 9 50 58 00 22 00 18 00 7 50 5 50	\$1,411 00 9,761 50 22,294 00 18,072 00 12,056 00 2,525 50 2,951 50
Trinity Tulare Tuolumne Ventura Yolo	1,384 00 11,316 00 3,784 00 7,092 00 2,820 00	6 00 27 00	5 00 35 00 5 00	2 50 9 50 7 50 4 50 2 00	1,397 50 11,387 50 3,796 50 7,096 50 2,822 00
Yuba Out of State: Arizona Nevada Oregon	6,242 00 568 00 142 00	36 00 228 00 4,392 00 99 00	30 00	7 00	6,315 00 796 00 4,392 50 241 00
Totals Number	\$857,468 00 428,734	\$8,958 00 2,986	\$8,555 00 1,711	\$1,022 50 2,045	\$876,003 50

## FINAL STATEMENT OF MARKET FISHERMAN LICENSE SALES, 1942 SERIES

County	Licenses \$10 cach .	Total
Contra Costa		\$1,200 00
Del Norte		210 00
Tumboldt		2,240 00
os Angeles—T	erminal Island Branch	
fendocino		1,000 00
	h	11,110 00
acramento Bra	nch	1,350 00
	ch	
	ranch	12,000 00
anta Cruz		
onoma		
Total		\$91,720 00
Number		9,172

## FINAL STATEMENT OF ANGLING LICENSE SALES, 1943 SERIES

County	Citizen \$2 each		Non-resident, \$3 each	Alien, \$5 each	Duplicate, 50c cach	Total
Alameda	\$68,956	00	\$45 00	\$800 00	844.50	000 045 50
Alpine	260	00	204 00	\$800.00	\$44 50	\$69,845 50 464 00
Amador	1,714	00	3 00		2 50	1,719 50
Butte	10,152	00	57 00	30 00	27 50	10.266 50
CalaverasColusa	2,094		3 00	5 00	50	2,102 50 1,357 50
Contra Costa	1,346 23,988	00	3 00 27 00	5 00 260 00	3 50 25 50	24,300 50
Contra Costa  Del Norte	2,380	00	294 00	200 00	6 50	2,680 50
El Dolado	4,336	00	114 00	10 00	5 00	4,465 00
Fresno:						
Agents	21,442		15 00	005.00	6 00	21,463 00
Fresno Branch	706	00	39 00	235 00	25 50	1,005 50
Totals, Fresno	\$22,148	00	\$54 00	\$235 00	\$31 50	\$22,468 50
Glenn	1,458		9 00	10 00	2 50	1,479 50
Humboldt	12,490	00	51 00	60 00	27 50	12,628 50
Imperial	2,282	00	3 00		50	2,285 50
Inyo Kern	10,522	00	219 00	80 00	26 00	10,847 00
Kings	11,388 3,012	00		35 00	3 50 1 00	11,391 50 3,048 00
Lake	5,608	00	9 00	5 00	6 50	5,628 50
Lassen	4,510	00	39 00	45 00	5 00	4,599 00
Los Angeles:						
Agents	193,988	00	117 00	90 00	56 00	194,251 00
Los Angeles Branch	614		90 00	435 00	43 00	1,182 00
Terminal Island Branch	186	00	6 00	30 00	6 50	228 50
Totals, Los Angeles	\$194,788	00	\$213 00	\$555 00	\$105 50	\$195,661 50
Madera	3,578	00			3 00	3,581 00
Marin	12,736	00	3 00	75 00	18 50	3,581 00 12,832 50
Mariposa	2,532	00	69 00	5 00	6 50	2.612.50
Mendocino Merced	7,122	00	15 00	05.00	5 50	7,142 50
Merced	5,082 2,718	00	9 00 159 00	25 00	9 50 6 00	5,125 50
Modoc Mono	5,556	00	561 00	5 00 5 00	15 50	2,888 00 6,137 50
Monterey:	0,000	00	201 00	3 00	10 00	0,101 00
Agents	10,342		3 00 6 00	405 00 135 00	18 00	10,768 00 141 00
Totals, Monterey	\$10,342	00	\$9 00	\$540 00	\$18 00	\$10,909 00
Napa	0.460	00	0.00	90.00	14.50	0.500.50
	9,460 4,940		6 00 555 00	20 00 65 00	14 50 11 00	9,500 50 5,571 00
Nevada Orange	19,068		9 00	00 00	3 50	19,080 50
Placer	5,864		48 00	25 00	4 50	5,941 50
Plumas	6,666	00	171 00	65 00	16 50	6,918 50
Kiverside	12,522	00	12 00	10 00	14 50	12,558 50
Sacramento:	00.710	00		0.07 00	11.00	00 000 00
Agents Sacramento Branch	29,710 468	00	90 00	665 00 855 00	11 00 55 00	30,386 00 1,468 00
Totals, Sacramento	\$30,178	00	\$90 00	\$1,520 00	\$66 00	\$31,854 00
San Benito	1,180	00	3 00	20 00	8 00	1,211 00
San Bernardino	41,074		51 00	50 00	33 50	41,208 50
San Diego:						
Agents San Diego Branch	59,314 114		204 00 48 00	95 00	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 00 \\ 24 & 50 \end{bmatrix}$	59,521 00 281 50
Totals, San Diego	\$59,428	00	\$252 00	\$95 00	\$27 50	\$59,802 50
San Francisco:						
Agents	60,022	00	6 00	615 00	24 00	60,667 00
San Francisco Branch	726	00	117 00	1,390 00	74 50	2,307 50
Totals, San Francisco	\$60,748	00	\$123 00	\$2,005 00	\$98 50	\$62,974 50
Can Tanania	00.000	00		115.00	01.00	04 110 60
San Joaquin	23,980		9.00	115 00	21 00	24,116 00
San Luis Obispo San Mateo	11,944 8,636	00	3 00 6 00	40 00 45 00	23 00 5 00	12,010 00 8,692 00
Santa Barbara	7,510		6 00	70 00	9 50	7.595 50
Santa Clara	19,402	00		175 00	16 50	19,593 50
Santa Cruz	6,788		9 00	385 00	19 00	7,201 00
Shasta:					10.55	
Agents Padding Branch	10,302		57 00	45 00	10 50	10,414 50
Redding Branch	182	00	27 00	55 00	7 50	271 50
Totals, Shasta	\$10,484	00	\$84 00	\$100 00	\$18 00	\$10,686 00

## FINAL STATEMENT OF ANGLING LICENSE SALES, 1943 SERIES-Continued

County	Citizen, \$2 each	Non-resident, \$3 each	Alien, \$5 each	Duplicate, 50c cach	Total
Sierra Siskiyou Solano Solano Staniskaus Staniskaus Sutter Tehama Trinity Tulare Tuolumne Ventura Yolo Yuba	\$1,262 00 10,542 00 24,990 00 18,426 00 15,372 00 2,594 00 2,968 00 1,400 00 11,110 00 3,454 00 8,662 00 3,120 00 4,532 00	\$21 00 513 00 9 00 15 00 12 00 	\$180 00 570 00 225 00 90 00 10 00	\$1 50 9 50 56 00 29 50 14 50 3 50 4 50 3 50 5 00 7 00 3 50 6 00 6 00	\$1,284 50 11,244 50 25,625 00 18,695 50 15,488 50 2,597 50 11,406 50 11,121 00 3,485 00 8,668 50 3,161 00 4,544 00
Out of State:	378 00	279 00 3,732 00 78 00 \$8,331 00 2,777	\$8,675 00 1,735		657 00 3,732 00 106 50 \$899,782 00

## FINAL STATEMENT OF MARKET FISHERMAN LICENSE SALES, 1943 SERIES

County	\$10 each	Total
Contra Costa		\$2,040 00
Del Norte		120 00
Humboldt		2.130 00
T 4 . 1		68,490 00
3.6 1 1		1,000 00
3.5		9,650 00
Cl.		1,110 00
0 . D		15,440 00
		17,020 00
Santa Cruz		830 00
CI 1		250 00
Total		\$118,080 00
Number		11.808

FINAL STATEMENT OF HUNTING, DEER TAGS, AND TRAPPING LICENSE SALES, 1942 SERIES

Trapping licenses	Alien Total \$2 each Trapping	00 58	\$34 00	00 67	849 00
Trappin	Citizen A \$! each \$2	834 00	\$34 00	49 00	\$49.00
Deer tags	\$1 each	\$7,594 00 72 00 790 00 3,514 00 662 00 1,095 00 2,952 00 1,222 00 1,41.41 00		1,197 00 3,721 00 28 00 1,456 00 2,666 00 1,568 00 2,547 00 7,525 00 9,9 00 5,000	\$7.694.00
	Total Hunting	\$30,397 00 188 00 2,051 00 13,558 00 1,509 50 4,740 50 11,501 00 987 50 2,914 00 18,784 00	\$19,579 00	4,481 00 9,755 50 5,092 50 3,811 00 13,155 50 4,300 00 5,828 50 76,690 50 934 00 4,350 50 4,350 50 4,350 50	677 669 00
	Duplicate 50e each	\$33 00 10 2 10 00 10 2 00 11 2 50 11 2 60 12 5 00 13 60 15 60 15 60 15 60		1114 1150 1150 1150 1150 1150 1150 1150	
	Alien \$25 each	\$50 00	\$50 00	225 00	00 1000
Hunting licenses	Declarant alien \$10 each	\$20 00	\$170 00	230 00	0000
E .	Non- resident \$10 each	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00		10 00 10 00 10 00	1 0
	Junior \$1 each	\$1,102 00 1,075 00 1,075 00 410 00 46 00 183 00 1,315 00	\$1,370 00	2,519 00	
	Citizen \$2 each	\$29,232 00 1,908 00 1,410 00 4,550 00 11,022 00 2,728 00 17,464 00	\$17,958 00	4,048 00 9,174 00 4,718 00 12,352 00 12,352 00 3,100 00 5,428 00 74,186 00 25,250 00	00 10
	County	Alameda Alameda Almador Almador Butte- Calaveras Colusa Colusa Del Norte El Dorado Agents	Fresno Branch Totals, Fresno	Glenn. Humboldt Humboldt Innyeral. Innyeral. Innyeral. Indyeral.	Terminal Island Dianon

		210 00	00 0179	00 098	\$860.00	26 00
		8 00 8 8 00 8 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		24 00	\$24 00	
		202 00		836 00	\$836 00	26 00 \$26 00
900 00 1,491 00 309 00 3,633 00 1,173 00 2,673 00 470 00	\$1,159 00 2,623 00 1,543 00 596 00 1,828 00 2,272 00 274 00	4,737 00 128 00 84 865 00	556 00 515 00 48I 00 3 00	\$484 00 6,300 00 180 00	\$6,480 00 2,969 00 583 00 1,539 00 3,795 00 1,324 00	3,903 00 21 00 83,924 00
3,069 50 4,433 50 734 50 734 50 7,425 50 8,334 60 5,041 50 1,113 50 3,000	\$5,946 50 6,683 50 4,286 00 5,152 50 6,243 50 4,631 50 6,503 50	25,447 00 2,006 50 897 453 50		\$13,625 50 26,812 50 2,164 00	\$28,976 50 14,763 50 4,923 50 6,491 50 4,081 00 13,089 00 4,598 50	9,352 50 130 00 89,482 50
4 50 3 50 5 50 6 00 4 50 8 50	88 88 88 89 89 89 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	S 00 61 50 869 50		\$13 50 5 50 49 00	854 50 7 50 4 50 4 50 0 50 0 50 0 50	15 50 4 00 \$19 50
	50 00	25 00 475 00 8500 00		425 00	\$425 00	25 00 \$25 00
30 00	\$30 00	770 00	00 01	\$10 00 50 00 1,040 00	\$1,090 00	\$50 00
740 00 30 00	130 00	180 00	10 00	\$10 00	\$160 00	30 00 10 00 \$40 00
169 00 252 00 44 00 448 00 770 00 253 00 29 00 440 00	\$440 00 535 00 249 00 412 00 514 00 516 00 527 00	1,590 00 40 00 81,630 00	157 00 586 00 750 00 2 00	\$752 00 535 00 76 00	\$611 00 858 00 361 00 379 00 346 00 908 00 369 00	3x9 00 1 00 \$390 00
2,896 00 4,228 00 6,90 00 6,922 00 7,548 00 1,054 00 5,468 00	\$5,468 00 6,140 00 3,862 00 4,738 00 5,714 00 4,246 00 5,972 00	23,824 00 480 00 524.304 00	1,488 00 8,352 00 12,808 00 32 00	\$12,840 00 26,222 00 414 00	\$26,636 00 13,898 00 4,558 00 5,906 00 3,730 00 112,116 00 4,220 00	8,918 00 40 00 88,958 00
Madera. Marin. Marin. Marinosa. Matdosino. Merced. Modoc. Modoc. Monterey: Agents.	Totals, Montercy  Napa  Nevada  Orange Plumas  Riverside	Agents	San Benito. San Benito. San Diego: Agents San Diego Branch	Totals, San Diego San Francisco: Agents San Francisco Branch	Totals, San Francisco San Joaquin San Luis Obispo Sant Auto Santa Barbara Santa Char.	Shasta: Agents. Redding Branch.

FINAL STATEMENT OF HUNTING DEFRITAGS AND TRADBING LICENSE SALES 1942 SEDIES Consission

FINAL STATEMENT OF MISCELLANEOUS LICENSE SALES BY BRANCH OFFICE AND AGENTS, 1942 SERIES

Commercial hunting club: Citizen, \$25 cach. Commercial hunting club: Commercial hunting club cyper. \$25 cach. Alien, \$25 cach. Totals.  Game tags, 3 cents cach					Francisco	Island			
ch \$1 98 8		1			\$725 00			\$725 00	29
ch \$1 98 sach \$45 00			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 (	230 00		1	230 00	946 2
ch \$1 98 8		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		\$280 00	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$280 00	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
ach 45 00	96		\$24 54	1	76 80	2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	223 98	7,466
Fish packer and shellfish dealer: Citizen, \$5 each	00		150 00		245 00	1 1 2 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,170 00	468
				875 00	00 002	\$165 00		940 00	188
Fish importers, \$5 each			1	1	00 06		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	00 06	18
Fish party boat permits, \$1 each	00 68			2 00	152 00	135 00		298 00	298
Fish breeder, \$5 each				1	325 00		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	325 00	65
Fish tags, 1 cent each 40 00	00				3,681 00		\$30 66	3,751 66	375,166
Kelp license, \$10 each	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1		00 09	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 2 1 3 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	00 09	9
Game management: License, \$10 each Tags, 3 cents each			- 1 1		180 00			180 00 5 58	18
Antelope permits, \$5 each			2,500 00				- 1	2,500 00	200

FINAL STATEMENT OF HUNTING, DEER TAGS, AND TRAPPING LICENSE SALES, 1943 SERIES

200	Total, trapping	\$533 00	\$54 00
Trapping licenses	Alien, \$2 each		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1	Citizen, \$1 each	\$53.00	\$54 00
Deer tags	\$1 each	86,688 00-68 00-68 00-68 00-68 00-68 00-731	\$24,169 00
	Total hunting	\$32,035 50 2.268 00 14,194 50 1,821 00 4,846 50 11,612 00 3,568 00 1,464 00 8,21,677 00 8,21,677 00 8,21,677 00 1,464 00 1,464 00 1,232 50 1,202 50 1,212 50 1,212 50 1,212 50 1,212 50	\$92,555 50
	Duplicate, 50e each	\$50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	877 50
	Alien, \$25 cach	\$175 00 \$175 00 \$150 00	\$150 00
Hunting licenses	Declarant alien, \$10 each	\$10 00 50 00 8160 00 170 00	\$170 00
#	Non- resident, \$10 each	\$70 00 100 00 \$20 00 \$20 00 130 00	\$170 00
	Junior, \$1 each	\$1,009 00 125 00 144 00 144 00 147 00 147 00 140 00 140 00 141 00 141 00 142 00 142 00 142 00 142 00 142 00 143 00 144 00 145 00	\$2,616 00
	Citizen, \$2 each	\$30,875 6 00 115 00 00 115 00	\$89,372 00
	County	Alameda Alpinie Amador Amador Butte Calaveras Contra Contra Del Norte BE Dovado Fresno: Fresno Fresno Fresno Agents Fresno Glenn Humboldt Humboldt Humboldt Lassen Lasker Lasker Los Angeless Branch Los Angeless Los Angeles	Totals, Los Angeles

		THIRTY-I	sign	T11	BIE	N N IA	L 1	KEPOK	1			•)
			\$306 00	\$306 00				\$1,067 00	\$1,067 00		\$75 00	\$75 00
			\$12 00	\$12 00				\$20 00	\$20 00			
		1	\$294 00	\$294 00				\$1,047 00	\$1,047 00		\$75 00	875 00
\$1,185 00 735 00 390 00 807 00 1,451 00 482 00 2,341 00	\$2,341 00	\$1,050 00 2,219 00 1,627 00 2,704 00 2,396 00 1,901 00	6,339 00 329 00	\$6,668 00	\$565 00 3,540 00	4,513 00 86 00	\$4,599 00	\$4,453 00 242 00	\$4,695 00	\$4,262 00 702 00 1,156 00 1,266 00 3,057 00 1,195 00	4,717 00 91 00	\$4,808 00
\$3,499 50 4.184 50 837 50 3,714 00 7,714 00 4,830 50 1,047 50 7,532 50 45 00	\$7,577 50	\$5,169 50 5,037 50 6,906 50 7,090 50 5,071 50 8,023 50	25,636 00 2,904 50	\$28,540 50	\$1,874 00 12,042 50	17,374 50 193 00	\$17,567 50	\$29,486 00 2,662 00	\$32,148 00	\$17,398 50 4,293 50 6,740 00 4,634 00 12,878 50 4,496 00	10,148 50 348 50	\$10,497 00
\$1 50 5 50 2 50 2 7 50 20 50 6 50 6 50	\$13 50	\$13 50 11 50 41 50 12 50 12 50 14 50	$\frac{22}{71} \frac{00}{50}$	893 50	\$4 00 25 50	$\frac{4}{12} \frac{50}{00}$	\$16 50	\$8 00 41 00	\$49 00	\$22 50 11 50 15 00 5 00 11 50 11 00	19 50 8 50	\$28 00
\$25 00	\$25 00	\$25 00	700 00	\$700 00	2 I 0 0 1 1 1 1 2 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			\$650 00	\$650 00	\$75 00	50 00	\$50 00
\$50 00 20 00	870 00	\$10 00 50 00 20 00	80 00 460 00	\$540 00		\$10 00	\$10 00	\$10 00 990 00	\$1,000 00	\$140 00 20 00 80 00 30 00	30 00 50 00	\$80 00
\$640 00 110 00		\$240 00	170 00	\$170 00	\$10 00	10 00	\$10 00	\$120 00	\$120 00	\$30.00	80 00 09	\$140 00
\$168 00 147 00 39 00 187 00 591 00 28 00 451 00	\$451 00	\$370 00 274 00 386 00 486 00 256 00 513 00	1,508 00	\$1,589 00	\$13S 00 655 00	768 00	\$781 00	\$670 00 95 00	\$765 00	\$772 00 242 00 368 00 287 00 795 00 372 00	453 00 12 00	\$465 00
\$3,330 00 4,032 00 7982 00 2,982 00 7,096 00 3,890 00 3,590 00 7,7018 00	\$7,018 00	\$4,776 00 4,462 00 6,516 00 6,572 00 4,698 00 7,496 00	24,026 00 1,422 00	\$25,448 00	\$1,732 00 11,352 00	16,602 00 148 00	\$16,750 00	\$28,798 00 766 00	\$29,564 00	\$16,604 00 4,040 00 6,142 00 4,282 00 11,992 00 4,058 00	9,566 00 168 00	\$9,734 00
Madera. Marin. Marinosa. Mendocino. Merodo Modoc Mondo Mono Monterey: Monterey: Monterey: Monterey: Monterey: Monterey:	Totals, Monterey	Napa Nevada Orange Plorer Plumas Riverside	Sacramento: Agents Sacramento Branch	Totals, Sacramento	San Benito	San Diego: Agents	Totals, San Diego	San Francisco: AgentsSan Francisco Branch	Totals, San Francisco	San Joaquin San Luis Obispo San Matco Santa Barbara Santa Clara Santa Cruz	Agents.	Totals, Shasta

FINAL STATEMENT OF HUNTING, DEER TAGS, AND TRAPPING LICENSE SALES, 1943 SERIES-Continued

S	Total, trapping		\$1,555 00
Trapping licenses	Alien, \$2 each		\$32 00 16
	Citizen, \$1 each		\$1,523 00 1,523
Deer tags	\$1 each	\$418 00 2,579 00 2,418 00 1,411 00 1,411 00 1,787 00 1,787 00 1,787 00 1,685 00 2,200 00 1,682 00 1,682 00 1,682 00 8,513 00 530 00	\$147,795 00 147,795
	Total hunting	\$753 00 116.853 00 116.853 00 116.853 00 8.329 00 8.329 00 1.321 0	\$557,254 00
	Duplicate, 50c each	88 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8	\$990 00
	Alien, \$25 each		\$1,900 00
Hunting licenses	Declarant alien, \$10 each	\$20 00 70 00 70 00 10 00 40 00	\$2,720 00
Ī	Non- resident, \$10 each	\$3,739 00 10 00 20 00 \$4,880 00 6,800 00	\$17,660 00
	Junior, \$1 each	\$55 00 1765 00 1765 00 1712 00 1712 00 1712 00 1712 00 1712 00 1712 00 1713 00	\$26,568 00 26,568
	Citizen, \$2 cach	\$735 00 12,374 00 12,374 00 7,624 00 7,624 00 3,075 00 1,224 00 1,224 00 1,224 00 1,224 00 1,224 00 1,234 00 1,	\$507,416 00 253,708
	County	Sierra Siskiyou Siskiyou Somona. Somona. Seranishus. Sutter Telanna. Telanna. Telanna. Tuburne. Ventura. Ventura. Ventura. Arizona. Arizona.	TotalsNumber

FINAL STATEMENT OF MISCELLANEOUS LICENSE SALES BY BRANCH OFFICE AND AGENTS, 1943 SERIES

- A C	Fresno	Los Angeles	Monterey	Redding	Sacramento	San Diego	San Francisco	Terminal Island	Agents	Total	Number
Commercial hunting club: Citizen, \$25 each Alien, \$100 each.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		3		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		\$750 00	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$750 00	30
Commercial hunting chub operator: Citaca, \$5 cach			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	1		205 00			205 00	41
Fish packer and shellfish dealer: Citizen, \$5 each Afren, \$20 each						\$85 00	695 00 20 00	\$210 00		990 00	198
Fish tags, I cent cach		\$130 00	1	1		1	3,665 00	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$12 63	3,807 63	380,763
Came tags, 3 cents each	\$1 02	87 69	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$16.74		19 83		1	125 28	4,176
Fish importer, \$5 each	1	1			1	1	85 00	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		85 00	17
Fish party boat permits, \$1 each.	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$5 00	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	131 00	150 00		286 00	286
Fish breeder, \$5 each	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				1	275 00			275 00	55
Game breeder: \$2.50 (prior to August 4, 1943) \$5.00 (from August 4, 1943)	\$100 00	\$607 50 230 00	1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	1 J 1 0 0 2 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$75 00 5 00		\$145 00 20 00			\$927 50 255 00	371
Totals, game breeder	\$100 00	\$837.50			\$80 00		\$165 00		1	\$1,182 50	422
Kelp license, \$10 each							20 00			20 00	24
Game management: Licenses, \$10 each Tags, 3 cents each	\$0.24	\$3.51			06 08		\$160 00 0 78		4 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$160 00 5 43	181
Autelope permits, \$5 each		750 00			2,500 00					750 00	25

## FINAL STATEMENT OF PHEASANT TAG SALES, 1943 SERIES

County \$1.00 each	Total
Alameda	\$10,671 00
Alpine	326 00
Butte	5,669 00
Calaveras	242 00
Colusa	2,100 00
Contra Costa	4,261 00.
Del Norte	20 00
El Dorado	432 00
Fresno: Agents	4,000 00
Fresno Branch	433 00
Total, Fresno	4,433 00
Glenn	2,152 00
Humboldt	318 00
Imperial	746 00
Inyo	849 00
Kern.	1,790 00
Aings	867 00
Lake	669 00
Lassen	1,270 00
Los Angeles: Agents	6,782 00
Los Angeles Branch	132 00
Terminal Island Branch	14 00
Total, Los Angeles	6,928 00
Madera	769 00
Marin	1,138 00
Mariposa	116 00
Mendocino	695 00
Merced	3,016 00 606 00
Modoc	51 00
Mono Monterey	962 00
Napa	1,903 00
Nevada	1,060 00
Orange	745 00
Placer	2,229 00
Plumas	641 00
Riverside	551 00
Sacramento:	10,088 00
Agents Sacramento Branch	1,116 00
Total, Sacramento	11,204 00
San Benito	265 00
San Bernardino	1,129 00
San Diego: Agents	665 00
San Diego Branch	8 00
Total, San Diego	673 00
San Francisco:	
Agents	8,810 00
	8,810 00 372 00
Agents	
Agents San Francisco Branch Total, San Francisco Branch	9,182 00
Agents San Francisco Branch  Total, San Francisco Branch  San Joaquin	9,182 00 5,911 00
Agents San Francisco Branch Total, San Francisco Branch San Joaquin San Lnis Obispo	9,182 00 5,911 00 142 00
Agents San Francisco Branch Total, San Francisco Branch San Joaquin. San Lnis Obispo San Mateo	9,182 00 5,911 00 142 00 1,960 00 265 00
Agents. San Francisco Branch.  Total, San Francisco Branch.  San Joaquin. San Lnis Obispo. San Mateo. Santa Barbara. Santa Clara.	5,911 00 142 00 1,960 00 265 00 3,515 00
Agents San Francisco Branch  Total, San Francisco Branch  San Joaquin. San Lnis Obispo. San Mateo Santa Barbara. Santa Clara Santa Clara	9,182 00 9,182 00 5,911 00 142 00 1,960 00
Agents San Francisco Branch Total, San Francisco Branch San Joaquin San Luis Obispo San Mateo Santa Barbara Santa Clara Santa Cruz Santa Cruz Shasta:	372 00 9,182 00 5,911 00 142 00 1,960 00 265 00 3,515 00 975 00
Agents San Francisco Branch  Total, San Francisco Branch  San Joaquin. San Lnis Obispo. San Mateo Santa Barbara. Santa Clara Santa Clara	5,911 00 142 00 1,960 00 265 00 3,515 00

## FINAL STATEMENT OF PHEASANT TAG SALES, 1943 SERIES-Continued

County	\$1.00 each	Total
Sierra		\$92 00
		2,190 00
Solano		4,535 00
		4 107 00
		1.004.00
		1,439 00
Trinity		11 00
		2,242 00
		356 00
		3,310 00
		2,415 00
Out of State:		
		150 00
		217 00
Totals		\$121,186 00
Number		121,186

## DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES, DIVISION OF FISH AND GAME, RECORD OF FISH DISTRIBUTION RECAPITULATION—1942

The state of the s		
Trout		
	12,908,387	
Steelhead	3,064,253	Rainb
Golden	13,138	Steelh
Black Spotted	419,000	Cutth
Cutthroat	735	Cutti
Loch Leven	3,712,350	Т
Eastern Brook	2,907,425	
Total	23,025,289	
		King
Salmon		Silver
King	3,189,790	Т
Silver	78,907	1
Total	3,268,697	
Color Broad		Small
Spiny Rayed		Large Stripe
Smallmouth Black Bass	36,614	Sturge
Largemouth Black Bass	195	Sacra
Total	36,809	Crapp
		Squar

GENERAL FISH RESCUE	
Rainbow Trout Steelhead Cutthroat	5,950 856,114 48
Total	862,112
Salmon	
King	232,668
Silver	18,490
Total	251,158
Spiny Rayed	685,362
Largemouth Black Bass	1,418,269
Striped Bass	9,634
Sturgeon	2
Sacramento Perch	3,500
Crappie	88,383
Squaretail Catfish	821,208
Forkedtail Catfish	1,468,162
Bluegill Sunfish	214,672 397,330
Green Sunfish	41,890
Shad	231
Total	5,148,643

## DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES, DIVISION OF FISH

Hatchery	County	Total from hatchery by county	Rainbow	Steelhead
ALPINE	Alpine	607,000	112,000	
ARROWHEAD LAKE	San Bernardino	20,600	20,600	
BASIN CREEK	Alpine Calaveras Tuolumne	80,557 316,030 659,105	40,557 174,030 428,325	
BEAR RIVER PLANTING BASE	Nevada Placer Sierra	865,151 372,253 84,807	382,101 278,738 84,807	
NOAH BEERY PURCHASED FISH	Los Angeles San Bernardino	52,122 34,604	52,122 34,604	
BLACK ROCK SPRINGS	Inyo	231,070 32,174 10,000	231,070 32,174 10,000	
BROOKDALE	Alameda Marin Monterey San Benito San Mateo Santa Clara Santa Cruz Solano	7,046 59,349 94,842 9,225 84,564 98,450 617,194 19,360	7,046 59,349 36,008 9,225 9,138 98,450 16,177 19,360	41,070 75,426 618,777
BURNEY CREEK.	Lassen Modoc Shasta Siskiyou	185,000 526,000 754,700 30,000	140,000 365,000 455,800	
COY FLAT	Tulare	51,230	51,230	
EXPERIMENTAL	Siskiyou	15,535	15,181	
FALL CREEK	Siskiyou	3,838,490		648,700
FEATHER RIVER	Plumas Sierra	535,000 169,395	283,300 69,995	
FILLMORE	Los Angeles Riverside San Bernardino San Diego San Luis Obispo Santa Barbara Ventura	73,532 16,800 193,415 41,500 30,200 15,300 111,830	73,532 16,800 193,415 41,500 30,200 15,300 111,830	
FISH SLOUGH	Inyo Madera Mono	94,994 1,001 6,048	37,977 6,048	
FORT SEWARD.	Humboldt Mendocino Trinity	537,056 129,650 34,680		537,056 129,650 34,680
HOT CREEK	Inyo Madera Mono	61,960 27,000 1,293,100	61,960 27,000 758,561	
HUNTINGTON LAKE	Fresno	111,525	111,525	
KAWEAH	Tulare	648,214	287,307	
KERN	Kern Tulare	49,107 242,388	23,864 214,638	
KINGS RIVER	Fresno	906,668.	737,030	
LAKE ALMANOR	Butte Lasse n Plumas Shasta Tehama	20,000 285,202 726,300 124,000 75,000	173,802 500,000 100,000 15,000	

## AND GAME, RECORD OF FISH DISTRIBUTION 1942

									1
Golden	Black Spotted	Cutthroat	Loch Leven	Eastern Brook	King Salmon	Silver Salmon	Miscel- laneous	Miscel- laneous	Total
				20.000					607,000
	419,000			76,000					
									20,600
			140,000	40,000					
			142,000 139,100	91,680					1,055,692
				301.272					
			181,778 57,600	301,272 35,915					1,322,211
									1,022,211
									86,726
									070 044
									273,244
									990,030
									990,030
			45 000						
			45,000 120,000	41,200					
			229,900	69,000 30,000					1,495,900
				30,000					
									51,230
			173	181					15,535
					3,189,790				3,838,490
			144,200 50,000	107,500 49,400					
			50,000	49,400					704,395
									482,577
2,047			54,970						
2,047 1,001									102,043
									102,040
									701,386
									1 0 0 0 0 0
10,090			376,429	148,020					1,382,060
									111,525
			180,482	180,425					648,214
			25,243						291,495
			25,243 27,750						
			110,265	59,373					906,668
		~	15,000 130,000	20,000 96,400 22,000 24,000 30,000		7/ 000			
				24,000		74,300			
			30,000	30,000					1,230,502

## DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES, DIVISION OF FISH

Hatchery	County	Total from hatchery by county	Rainbow	Steelhead
MADERA	Madera	487,526	227,738	
MOUNT SHASTA	AlpineAmador	74,000 148,500	69,000 116,000	
	Butte El Dorado Lassen	448,000 468,500 40,000	287,000 333,000 40,000	
	ModocPlumas	16,570 25,000	15,000 25,000	
	Shasta Siskiyou	582,720 900,558	426,720 646,263	
	Tehama Trinity Yuba	255,000 466,000 10,000	200,000 158,000 10,000	160,000
MOUNT WHITNEY	Fresno Invo	80,750 571,638	73,760 185,026	
	Madera	118,080 282,207	118,080 108,587	
PLASKETT MEADOWS PLANTING BASE	ColusaGlenn	6,000 25,000	6,000 25,000	
PRAIRIE CREEK	Del Norte Humboldt Marin	229,910 553,402 600		229,910 548,660
DELINING DESTRICTES	Trinity	40,320	20.000	40,320
REARING RESERVOIRS	Los Angeles Orange Riverside San Bernardino	62,296 4,000 5,120 87,107	62,296 4,000 5,120 87,107	
	San Diego	10,452	10,452	
SEQUOIA	Fresno Tulare	59,706 39,041	59,706 39,041	
ТАНОЕ	Alpine El Dorado Nevada	10,000 514,700 81,280	86,000	
	Placer	291,185	66,560	
TALLAC	El Dorado	1,138,690 150,240 60,000	1,138,690 150,240 60,000	
YOSEMITE	Mariposa Tuolumne	719,400 463,000	438,300 377,400	
YUBA RIVER	NevadaSierra	85,915 363,700	209,625	
Grand totals		26,293,636	12,908,387	3,064,253

## AND GAME, RECORD OF FISH DISTRIBUTION-1942-Continued

Golden	Black Spotted	Cutthroat	Loch Leven	Eastern Brook	King Salmon	Silver Salmon	Miscel- laneous	Miscel- laneous	Total
			144,643	115,145					487,526
			15,000 151,000 90,000	5,000 17,500 10,000 45,500					
			1,570 142,000 76,195	14,000 178,100					
			55,000	148,000					3,434,848
			242,992	16,990 143,620 173,970					1,053,025
									31,000
		135 600				4,607			824,232
									168,975
			47,000	10,000 381,700 81,280					
			179,625	81,280 45,000					897,165
			281,100 85,600						1,348,930
			65,925 74,810	19,990 79,265					449,615
13,138	419,000	735	3,712,350	2,907,426	3,189,790	78,907			26,293,986

## CENTRAL VALLEYS BASS HATCHERY

Source	County	Small- mouth Black Bass	Large- mouth Black Bass	Ken- tucky Bass	Striped Bass
CENTRAL VALLEYS BASS HATCHERY	Santa Cruz		125		

## SALMON AND TROUT RESCUE

Source	County	Rainbow	Steelhead
CENTRAL VALLEYS FISH RESCUE	SacramentoYolo		
NORTH COAST FISH RESCUE	Del Norte Humboldt Mendocino		256 349,138
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA FISH RESCUE	Riverside San Bernardino San Luis Obispo Santa Barbara Ventura	2,300	16,000 406,300 3,800
UPPER EEL RIVER FISH RESCUE	Lake		196,438
UPPER EEL RIVER FISH RESCUE	Sonoma		26,743
TOTAL TROUT AND SALMON RESCUE		5,950	998,675

#### RECAPITULATION-1942

Calico Bass	Sacra- ments Perch	Crappie	Square- tail Catfish	Forked- tail Catfish	Bluegill Sunfish	Mixed Sunfish	Miscel- laneous	Miscel- laneous	Total
			30			40			195

#### RECAPTULATION-1942

Golden	Black Spotted	Cutthroat	Loch Leven	Eastern Brook	King Salmon	Silver Salmon	Miseel- laneous	Miscel- laneous	Total
					50,600 165,130				50,600 165,130
		48			16,938	18,034 456			35,276 456 349,138
									3,650 2,300
									16,000 406,300 3,800
									196,438 26,743
		48			232,668	18,490			1,255,831

# DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES, DIVISION OF FISH AND GAME, RECORD OF FISH DISTRIBUTION RECAPITULATION—1943

Trout	
Rainbow	13,784,642
Steelhead	1,974,801
Black Spotted	53,883
Loch Leven	1,569,530
Eastern Brook.	1,722,200
Total	19,105,056
Salmon	
King	3,503,320
KingSilver	105,325
Total	3,608,645

GENERAL FISH RESCUE Trout	
Rainbow Steelhead Cutthroat Loch Leven	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 1,333,939 \\ 48 \\ 23 \end{array}$
Total	1,334,014
Salmon	
King	239,820
Silver	32,362
Total	272,182
Spiny Rayed	
Smallmouth Black Bass	322,597
Largemouth Black Bass	752,999
Sacramento Perch	100,000
Crappie	32,561
Squaretail Catfish	406,155
Forkedtail Catfish	314,419
Bluegill Sunfish	134,854
Green Sunfish	29,821
Warmouth Bass Sturgeon	16,644 3
Total	2,110,053

## DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES, DIVISION OF FISH

Hatchery	County	Total from hatehery by county	Rainbow	Steelhead
ALPINE	Alpine	63,160	40,200	
	AmadorCalaveras	3,200 308,980	201,980	
	Tuolumne	708,200	565,680	
BLACK ROCK	Alpine	2,240		
	Inyo	$258,854 \\ 37,421$	249,615 12,384	
	Mono Tulare	4,760	4,760	
BROOKDALE	Alameda	1,080	1,080	
	Marin	43,009 88,436	43,009 34,188	54,248
	Monterey San Benito	9,154	9,154	
	San Mateo Santa Clara	82,177 134,064	9,029 134,064	73,148
	Santa Cruz	362,040	48,717	313,323
BURNEY CREEK	Lassen	154,000	144,000	
	ModocShasta	339,000 834,375	258,000 648,500	
	ShastaSiskiyou	30,000		
COY FLAT	Tulare	107,608	107,608	
FALL CREEK	Siskiyou	3,646,480	58,350	576,730
FEATHER RIVER	Plumas Sierra	331,850 125,800	266,100 89,200	
FILLMORE	Los Angeles	203,320	203,320	
	Orange Riverside	15,650 39,800	15,650 39,800	
	San Bernardino	391,600	391,600 25,025	
	San Diego San Lms Obispo	25,025 5,850	5,850	
	Santa Barbara Ventura	21,600 121,515	21,600 121,515	
HOT CREEK	Alpine	7,900	7,900	
	Fresno	53,800	53,800 21,620	
	Inyo Madera Mono	40,220 71,335	71,335	
	Mono	1,133,264	811,827	
KAWEAH	Tulare	182,370	10,020	
KERN	Kern Tulare	73,862 214,688	73,862 214,688	
KINGS RIVER	Fresno	608,638	605,305	
LAKE ALMANOR	Lassen	174,440	174,000	
	Plumas Shasta	642,706	478,206 10,000	
	Tehama	26,000 75,000	75,000	
MADERA	FresnoMadera	5,550 434,161	270,106	
MOUNT SHASTA	Alpine	80,000	75,000	
	AmadorButte	170,000 400,000	120,000 337,000	
	El Dorado	432,000 20,000	402,000 20,000	
	Glenn Lake	5.000	5 600	
	Modoc Nevada	9,002 706,000	9,002 561,000	
	Placer	453,000	309,000	
	Plumas Shasta	45,000 613,764	45,000 585,764	
	Sierra	40,000	40,000	
	Siskiyou Tehama	1,060,992 328,000	851,010 273,000	
	Trinity	437,390 10,000	402,200 10,000	
	Yuba	10,000	10,000	

# AND GAME, RECORD OF FISH DISTRIBUTION 1943

									-
Golden	Black Spotted	Cutthroat	Loch Leven	Eastern Brook	King Salmon	Silver Salmon	Miscel- laneous	Miscel- laneous	Total
				22.000					
				22,960 3,200					
			107,000 85,800	56,720					1,083,540
			85,800	56,720					1,080,040
	2,240 9,239 25,037								
	9,239 25,037								
									303,275
									719,960
									110,000
			10,000 40,000 88,525	41.000					
			40,000 88,525	97.350					
				41,000 97,350 30,000					1,357,375
									107,608
					3,011,400				3,646,480
				65.750					
				65,750 36,600					457,650
									824,360
				~~~~~					021,000
			15,200	3,400					
									1,306,519
	17,367		109,200	194,870					1,300,519
				172,350					182,370
									288,550
				3,333					608,638
				440 68,100 16,000		96,400			
				16,000					
									918,146
				5,550 76,080					
			87,975	76,080					439,711
				5.000					
			15,000	35,000					
			15,000 53,000 20,000	5,000 35,000 10,000 10,000					
			50,000 134,000	95,000 10,000					
			134,000	10,000					
			25,000	3,000					
			1						
			55,900 55,000	154,082					
				35,190					
									4,810,148

# DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES, DIVISION OF FISH

Hatchery	County	Total from hatchery by county	Rainbow	Steelhead
MOUNT WHITNEY	Fresno Inyo Mono Tulare	21,990 395,189 310 50,990	15,990 152,559 310 50,990	
PRAIRIE CREEK	Del Norte Humboldt	766,840 761,705	10,000 60,348	264,920 692,432
SEQUOIA	Fresno Tulare	101,899 319,818	101,899 319,818	
TAHOE	El Dorado	667,910 239,420	305,020 196,280	
TALLAC	El Dorado Nevada Placer	804,965 32,650 102,150	804,965 32,650 102,150	
YOSEMITE	Mariposa Tuolumne	787,270 228,940	489,770 151,140	
YUBA RIVER	SierraYuba	378,135 18,380	283,750 18,380	
Totals		22,732,891	13,784,642	1,974,801

# AND GAME, RECORD OF FISH DISTRIBUTION-1943-Continued

Golden	Black Spotted	Cutthroat	Loch Leven	Eastern Brook	King Salmon	Silver Salmon	Miscel- laneous	Miscel- laneous	Total
			242,630	6,000					
					491,920	8,925			1,528,545
									421,717
				362,890 43,140					907,330
									939,765
			297,500 77,800						1,016,210
				94,385					396,515
	53,883		1,569,530	1,757,390	3,503,320	105,325			22,732,891

# SPINY RAYED FISH RESCUE

Source	County	Small- mouth Black Bass	Large- mouth Black Bass	Ken- tucky Bass	Striped Bass
CENTRAL VALLEYS FISH RESCUE	Contra Costa Fresno Kern Kings Merced Napa Sacramento San Francisco San Mateo Solano Stanislaus Sutter Yolo	3,000 	180 14,228 250 800 598 171,500 542,249 6,608 		
COAST FISH RESCUE	Santa Clara				
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA FISH RESCUE_	Kern Los Angeles Riverside San Bernardino San Diego San Luis Obispo Ventura		150 350 2,485 1,130 280		
${\tt TOTALFISHRESCUE(SPINYRAYED)}$		322,597	752,999		

# RECAPITULATION-1943

Calico Bass	Sacra- mento Perch	Crappie	Square- tail Catfish	Forked- tail Catfish	Bluegill Sunfish	Green Sunfish	War- mouth Bass	Sturgeon	Total
		9,014 275	11,093 475 800 49	55 	450 6,151	280	71		630 43,821 1,000 1,600 4,755
	100,000	12,560	392,334	130,047	75,894	940	15,880	1	171,500 1,269,905 6,608
		247	2 240	80,500 150	1,622	2,010	190		253,556 390 52,795
		444 14 50	1.000	2,367 36,100 61,450	1,730	16 24,000 2,500	413	2	6,171 60,127 174,872
			92		116				208
		2,500 2,680 1,840			8,000 13,940 9,710				10,650 16,970 14,035
		1,940 390	9		7,586 7,000 900				9,125 9,220 1,290
	100,000	32,561	406,155	314,419	134,854	29,821	16,644	3	2,110,053

# TROUT AND SALMON RESCUE

Source	County	Rainbow	Steelhead
CENTRAL VALLEYS FISH RESCUE	Placer	4	
NORTH COAST FISH RESCUE	Del Norte Humboldt Lake Mendocino Santa Clara		10,063 206,872 311,700 4
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA FISH RESCUE	Santa Barbara Ventura		778,100 27,200
TOTAL TROUT AND SALMON RESCUE.		4	1,333,939

#### RECAPITULATION-1943

Golden	Black Spotted	Cutthroat	Loch Leven	Eastern Brook	King Salmon	Silver Salmon	Miscel- laneous	Miscel- laneous	Total
			23		93,800 70,300 69,060	ì			93,80 70,30 69,06
		48			6,660	23,402 8,960			40,17 8,96 206,87 311,70
		48	23		239,820	32,362			778,10 27,20

# PREDATORY ANIMAL CATCH BY COUNTIES

	July	July 1, 1942 to June 30, 1943 July 1, 19					, 1943 to June 30, 1944		
	Coyote	Bobcat	Other predators	Total	Coyote	Bobeat	Other predators	Total	fo bie niu
Alpine					15			15	
Amador	29	5	7	41	51	2	5	58	
		9		12	91		9		
Calaveras	2		10			1		1	
El Dorado	26	2	74	102	55	7	26	88	1
resno	70	2	11	83	79	5	10	94	1
lenn	6	3	23	32	46	26	56	128	1
nyo	65	6	6	77	116	20	28	164	
Čern	156	38	29	223	77	14	9	100	5
ake	100	30	2.5	220	i i	1.1	3	1	
	169	15	23	207	311	19	53	383	
assen									
os Angeles	95	12	31	138	125	49	37	211	- 5
Marin						1	1	2	
fariposa	17	28	35	80	43	28	72	143	4
Iodoc	145	6	52	203	118	3	65	186	
fono	119	9	10	138		_			
Ionterev	87	54	137	278	137	50	98	285	
					39	6		338	
Vevada	24	2	130	156	39	0	293	338	
Placer	44	6	31	81					,
lumas	9		21	30	18	1	49	68	
iverside	149	34	123	306	183	51	244	478	1 7
an Benito	162	87	171	420	210	100	155	465	8
an Bernardino	58	20	11	89	145	28	114	287	- 5
an Diego	370	S5	360	815	110		111	201	8
		87			113	37	87	237	
an Luis Obispo	236		127	450					
anta Barbara	338	97	76	511	445	96	78	619	1,1
anta Clara	13	1	27	41					
anta Cruz	4	6	31	41					
hasta	60	5	45	110	23	6	21	50	1
iskiyou	1		1.0	1	60	17		77	
tanislaus	71	26	87	184	58	1 -	14	79	- 2
						,	14		4
ehama	9	2	10	21	13			13	
rinity	78	16	19	113	38	8	12	58	] ]
'ulare	94	19	125	238	73	10	117	200	4
uolumne	80	21	97	198	93	9	89	191	1 5
entura	94	20	25	139	8		00	8	li
uba	5	20	17	22	0			0	
upa	0		17						
Totals	2,885	714	1,981	5,580	2,693	601	1,733	5,027	10,6

	1942-43	1943-44
Average number of trappers	21	22
Miles of trapline	182,147	214,285
Number of sets	253,865	264,465
Number of days	6,696	6,546

# ARRESTS AND CONVICTIONS RECAPITULATION

	Number of arrests	Fines imposed	Jail sentences (days)
Fish cases, 1942-1943	1035 1076	\$31,692 50 41,497 50	100 238
Totals, 1942-1943	2111	\$73,190 00	338
Fish cases, 1943-1944 Game cases, 1943-1944	1079 1108	\$28,768 00 50,550 00	197 180
Totals, 1943-1944	2187	\$79,318 00	377
Recapitulation: 1942-1943 - 1943-1944 -	2111 2187	\$73,190 00 79,318 00	338 377
Totals	4298	\$152,508 00	715

#### TOTAL ARRESTS FOR A PERIOD OF FORTY-TWO YEARS

1902-1904	550
1904-1906	774
1906-1908	
1908-1910	1,771
1910-1912	2,063
1912-1914	1,993
1914-1916	0.00**
1916-1918	1 705
1918-1920	1,891
1920-1922	2,258
1922-1924	2,715
1924-1926.	3,207
926-1928	4,390
1928-1930	E 900
1930-1932	E 027
932-1934	3,795
1934-1936	4,535
936-1938	6,382
1938-1940	7.444
1940-1942	7.262
1942-1944	4.906

# SEIZURES OF FISH AND GAME

Fish	July 1, 1942, to June 30, 1943	July 1, 1943, to June 30, 1944	Total
Abalones	121	234	0.55
Abalones, red	626	735	355
			1,361
Abalones, green	128	23	151
Abalones, black	126	202	328
Barraeuda	57 160	104	57
		164	324
Bass, striped	44	25	69
Bass, pounds	6,077		6,077
Bass, striped, pounds	853	1,577	2,430
Bluegill		53	53
Bluegill, pounds	9		9
Clams		528	528
Clams, Pismo	489	108	597
Clams, Cockles	102	101	203
Clams, Horseneck	50		50
Catfish	19	23	42
Catfish, pounds	16	400	416
Crappie	76	48	124
Crappie, pounds	5		5
ish spear	1		1
rogs	10		10
Gill nets	2		2
obster, pounds	21		21
Lobster, traps	16	153	169
obster, receiver	10	1	1
Lobsters, spiney	8	72	80
Lobsters	7	23	30
Perch	i	4	5
Perch, saltwater, pounds	1	50	50
Salmon	95	52	147
Salmon, pounds	30	520	520
Salmon, net	1	320	
	1	25	1
Salmon, King			25
Salmon, Silver, pounds	014	45	45
Scallops	214		214
Shad, pounds		102	102
turgeon, pounds	421/2		421/
unfish	388	175	563
unfish, pounds	4		4
Sunperch	25	18	43
wordfish, Marlin, pounds		3,000	3,000
Frammel nets, pieces		11	11
Frout, Easternbrook		. 62	62
Frout, pounds	11/4	22	231/
Frout, steelhead.	244	69	313
Frout, steelhead, pounds		21/2	$2\frac{1}{2}$
Frout, rainbow	277	856	1,133
Frout, rainbow, pounds		35	35
Frout, Loch Leven	7		7
Frout	820	1,028	1.848
Γuna	15,300	1,020	15,300
	10,000		20,000

# SEIZURE OF FISH AND GAME-Continued

Game	July 1, 1942, to June 30, 1943	July 1, 1943, to June 30, 1944	Total
	1		1
Intelope	1	60	60
Deer	39	96	135
Deer meat, pounds	1,623	1,6421/2	3,265
Deer meat, canned, jars	32	1,042/2	32
Doe	2		2
Ooe meat, pounds	60		60
	303	377	680
DovesDucks	653	754	1,407
111	000	104	1,407
	20	69	89
	1 1	0.5	1
acksnipe Gilldeer	2		2
Aleadowlark	1		1
Pheasants	219	366	585
	1	300	2
igeons	39	13	52
uail	28	17	45
labbits, brush	200	14	2
labbits, jack	74		$74^{-2}$
labbits, cottontail	14	13	13
quirrel, tree		2	2
quirrel, grey	5	2 2	2
agehens	5	4	- 1
horebirds	1	12	12
parrows		3	17
wans	14	3	5
White erowned sparrows	5 7		10
Vooddueks	2	3	2
Vilson smpe	2		2

# FISH CASES

Offense	July 1,	1942, to June	30, 1943	July 1, 1943, to June 30, 1944			
Onense	Arrests	Fines	Jail	Arrests	Fines	Jail	
Abalones: Undersize, overlimit, out of shell, no license, closed season, remove from shell below high tide, fail to show license on demand, no commercial license.  Angling: No license, closed season, closed area, within 150 feet of dam, not holding rod, false statement in securing license, set lines after sundown, twopoles, fish gaff 300 feet of stream, fishing in fish ladder,	211	\$5,283 00		246	\$6,592 50		
closed waters, lending license to another, illegally taken fish, using trout roe for bait, back dating angling license, operating set line	214 3	3,743 50 30 00	10	292	5,250 50	140	
overlimit, possess for sale, fail return bass to water taken in shad net, buying striped bassBass, black: No license	115 32	2,558 00 860 00	16	132 23	2,855 00 635 00		
Catfish: Selling, undersize, closed season, use of net to take catfish, closed waters.  Clams: Undersize, clam forks in preserve, take clams	4	105 00		4	135 00		
in preserve, out of shell, overlimit, no license, closed season	90 2	1,832 50 50 00	29	69	1,460 00	15	
Commercial: Operating net and taking tuna in closed season, gill net in closed waters, no heense, failure give fishermen copy at delivery, operating round whole net in District 20, operating net Stanislaus River, operating purse seine net and taking bluefin tuna in closed area, failure to keep record of fresh							
fish purchased and from whomCrabs: Undersize, closed season, overlimit	68	4,340 00 50 00		36	2,770 00		
Crappie: Selling	16 8	425 00 100 00	5	2	70 00		
Frogs: Overlimit, closed se ason, no license	4	125 00					
Game fish: Taken illegally Gill net: Closed area, meshes over ¾'' in length Halibut: Closed season.	33	655 00 150 00					
Halibut: Closed season	2	100 00		6	220 06		
Lobsters: Closed season, undersize, overlimit  No party boat permit	8 2	432 50 25 00			220 00		
Operating fish trap	1	50 00		2 3	200 00		
Perch: Closed season, no license Pollution	3 47	60 00 6,250 00	5	6	85 00 975 00		
River otter: Closed season				1	10 00		
Salmon: Undersize, taken illegally, other than angling, at night, at fish screen, no license, spearing, night spearing.	38	810 00	35	79	2,735 00	30	
Spearing: Spearing in prohibited area, 300 feet of stream, using gaff hook. Steelhead: Taken illegally, overlimit, no license,	5	125 00		4	80 00		
possess 34 tagged steelhead, District 112	5	135 00					
Sturgeon: PossessionSunfish: No license, closed season, overlimit	2 46	50 00 950 00		15	422 50	12	
Trout: Overlimit, closed area, not using hook and line, sale, more than one pole, closed area, closed season, snagging with "spanish liver," taking steel-	40	950 00				12	
Tuna: Closed area, selling, closed lake, without	66	1,798 00 550 00		154	4,022 50		
a commercial license Taking marine life within marine refuge	1	25 00					
Use nonnative minnows as bait				1	25 00		
Use and possess otter board trawl	1	25 00		4	225 00		
Totals	1,035	\$31,692 50	100	1,079	\$28,768 00	197	

# GAME CASES

	OAML O	4363					
Offcuse	July 1,	1942, to June	30, 1943	July 1, 1943, to June 30, 1944			
Onties	Arrests	Fines	Jail	Arrests	Fines	Jail	
Antelope: Closed season	2	\$160 00					
Bear: Closed season, refuge Beaver: No commercial license	2	100 00		3	\$100 00		
Coots: Shooting with 22 rifle	1 2	75 00 25 00					
Deer: Night hunting, refuge, take forked horn deer							
in District 134, transfer deer tags, overlimit, closed season, doe, no tags, failure to have deer tag vali-							
dated, spike buck, fawn, altering deer tags, fawn,							
two deer in a one deer district, firearms, spotlight- ing, unplugged gun, early and late shooting, allow-							
ing hounds to run deer during closed season	179	9,617 00	54	301	18,780 00	95	
Deer meat: Closed season, female, unstamped, no permit, illegally taken	69	5,432 00	74	76	5,090 00		
Doves: Closed season, shooting from auto, no license,		0,102 00	, ,	, ,	0,000 00		
overlimit, taking by trap, use license of another, unplugged gun	49	1,375 00		36	1,710 00	30	
Ducks: Closed season, early and late shooting, over-	10	1,575 00			1,710 00	00	
limit, no license, unplugged gun, no duck stamp, failure to show game on demand, taking young							
from nest, shore bird possess baby dueks	273	9,046 00		182	8,077 50	55	
Elk: Overlimit, cow	25	575 00		2 5	200 00 85 00		
Fox, grey: Closed season	1	10 00	i				
Game birds: Closed season, selling domesticated game birds, no license	2	50 00		5	400 00		
Geese: Overlimit, unplugged gun, refuge, no license,	_						
harrying geese with ear, after sunset————————————————————————————————————	8	205 00		28	852 50		
transfer of license, hunting in refuge, falsifying in							
order to seeure eitizen's lieense, at might, use							
lieense of another, spotlighting, refuse to show lieense on demand	94	1,992 00		121	3,230 00		
Jacksnipe	1	12 50 25 00					
MeadowlarkMudhens: No lieense	i	35 00		1	10 00		
Muskrats: Trapping for profit, no license Mountain Sheep: Kill and possess	2	200 00		3	20 00		
Nongame birds.	15	310 00		7	200 00		
Pheasants: Closed season, hen, no license, set lines							
to take pheasants, failing to tag, trapping hen, no tags, shooting from auto	180	8,448 50	105	270	9,940 00		
Pigeons: Closed season	30	50 00 650 00		18	50 00 585 00		
Quail: Closed season, no license Rabbits: Closed season, no license	46	694 50	5	4	100 00		
Robins and flickers	1 5	70 00		4	150 00		
Sagehens: Closed season Seized evidence destroyed	9	325 00		1	10 00		
Shooting from auto	15	405 00		25 2	540 00 20 00		
Shorebirds Squirrels, tree	$\frac{7}{2}$	155 00 55 00		3	200 00		
Swans	2	125 00		3	$\begin{array}{c} 60 & 00 \\ 25 & 00 \end{array}$		
Taking birds with trap————————————————————————————————————				1	25 00		
ping for profit, no license	1	10 00		5 1	105 00 10 00		
Trespassing Waterfowl: Closed season, early and late shooting, no	2	75 00		1	10 00		
lieense, overlimit, no duek stamp	46	945 00					
WillitsWilson snipe	. 1	$\begin{array}{ccc} 25 & 00 \\ 25 & 00 \end{array}$					
Woodducks	8	195 00					
Totals	1,076	\$41,497 50	238	1,108	\$50,550 00	180	
	1,0.0			1			







# CALIFORNIA FRESH FISHERY PRODUCTS FOR YEAR 1942

Compiled by Division of Fish and Game, Bureau of Marine Fisheries

Species of fish	Eureka region	Sacramento region	San Francisco region	Monterey region	Santa Barbara region
Albacore Anchovy Barracuda	11,616		8,286 5,400	284,684 149,076	577,893 75 256,281
BonitoCabezoneCabrilla		39,968	504	1,788	33,727 12
Carp Catfish Cultus, Pacific Eel	200,828	170,580	42,261	68,230	484 103
Eel Flounder Flying Fish Grouper	265,255		89,101	15,168	60 66
Hake_ Halibut, California_ Halibut, Northern Hardhead_	233,462	92,822	2,991 6,140	38,920 12,226	275,673
Herring, Pacific  Kingfish  Mackerel, Horse	22,042	02,022	109,022 1,617	59,730 89,305 323,886	21
Mackerel, Facility Mackerel, Spanish Mullet	04.110		122,230	802,896	1,086,595
Perch Pike Pompano, California Rock Bass	24,113	173	14,498	5,578 79	3,144 2 38,980
Rockfish. Sablefish Salmon. Sand Dab. Sardine	269,134 1,625,819 2,255,862 162,671 179	2,552,944	70,019 29,512 1,642,051 112,555 165,896,261	655,899 290,874 164,931 67,309 332,491,022	83,767 2,382 462 7 10,234,078
Sculpin			142	34	221 4,940
Sea-bass, White Shad Shark	5,714 38 800,563	2,571,595	14,440	115,138 312,371	77,485 407,936
Sheepshead Skate Skipjack	12,938		54,410	19,162	35,178 11,242
SmeltSoleSplit-tail	37,877 2,066,856	164 15,391	166,927 589,773	108,048 378,673	11,327 100,863
Sucker Swordfish, Broadbill Tomcod Tuna, Bluefin Tuna, Yellowfin	145	95	159		246,011 122,640
Whitebait	3,095 151,022 94		3,001 8,227	21 9	25 439 26,751
Whitefish, Ocean	24,349		16,743	13,677	115 28,295
Total fish, in pounds Crustacean:	8,173,697	50,234,432	170,162,029	336,468,734	13,667,280
Crab Shrimp Spiny Lobster	694,486		1,638,944 800,958	80,656	71,441
Mollusk:			257	3,675	160,787
Clam, Cockle			760	3,000	90,613
OctopusOvster, Eastern	9,848 2,226		1,015 92,426	4,140	
Oyster, Japanese Oyster, Native Squid	4,070		92,426 317,216 1,612	875,732	292,017
Total shellfish, in pounds	710,630		2,926,332	967,203	614,858
Grand total, in pounds	8,884,327	50,234,432	173,088,361	337,435,937	14,282,138

Note: All amounts shown in pounds. This record does not include albacore shipped from Oregon and Washington

# CALIFORNIA FRESH FISHERY PRODUCTS FOR YEAR 1942—Continued

Compiled by Division of Fish and Game, Bureau of Marine Fisheries

Total landings in California, including fish from west coast south of the International Boundary brought in	10,621,193 1,694,290 3,448,711				369,630 2,806	128,594			284,225 5.348,641	52,526,585	50,017	9 349	3,042	1,410,414 1,965,580	6,616,250 353,540 969,746,099
South of International Boundary brought into San Diego	2,502,650	413,581	107,583		3   1   2   3   4   5   5   6   6   6   6   6   6   6   6	67,247	94,133		26	21,131	100	00	17,315	145,114 120	102
South of International Boundary brought into Los Angeles	121,250	375,267	117,783			61,347	86,451		24	8.364	1006		3,197	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Total taken in state waters and off the coast of California	7,997,293	861,841	72,618	313,442	369,630	41,981	574,756 233,462	92,822	5.348.501	52,505,454	50,017	173	90,586	1,965,460	969,745,997
San Diego region	2,173,140 405 260,926	255,408		482		1	64,349		2,294	1,786,228	34,843		22,371	22,323	4,949,002
Los Angeles region	4,941,674 1,539,334 1,725,956	572,706	32,650	1,157	2,740	45	216,368		190,929	48,707,505	15,174	3.961	29,235	16,809	411,384,755
Species of fish	Abacore Anchovy. Barraeuda	Doubly Caberone Cabrilla	Carp	Cultus, Pacific Eci	Flounder Flying Fish	Grouper Hake Tall Care	Hallout, Northern	Herring, Pacific	Kingtish Mackerel, Horse	Mackerel, Faeine Mackerel, Spanish	Mullet. Pereh	Pike Pompano, California	Rock Bass Rockfish	Sablefish Salmon	Sand Dab Sardine

44,332 377,396	553,595 9 571 633	2,477,555 3,477,555 50,258 105,376 38,715,182 443,939 3,145,027 15,301	10,051 445,908 145,145 12,844,564 41,167,441 6,571	159,258 35,986 2,725,955 112,909	1,165,438,465	2,414,166 800,958 769,621	164,462 257 257 184,592 73,114 19,548 17,588 17,588 17,588 17,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588 18,588	6,076,328	1,171,514,793
136,809	91,207	8,266 2,189 29,708,934 717	29,477 596,568 28,867,383	2,517 1,583,406 377	64,604,986	591,556	4 045	595,601	65,200,587
227,050	105,890	384 566 225 8,788,410	1,916,490	1,390 1,087,532 2,102	26,203,191	9,424	90,979	100,403	26,303,594
44,092 13,537		2,401,035 47,503 47,503 105,151 217,838 443,222 3,145,027 1,53,91	10,331,506 10,331,506 1,315 6,571	159,258 32,079 55,017 110,430	1,074,630,288	2,414,166 800,958 168,641	164,462 257 750 83,613 73,144 9,848 9,848 92,436 609,233 609,233 609,233 609,233	5,380,324	1,080,010,612
1,588	14,424	186,605 3,903 195 15,983 1,389 1,389	33,262 748,196 597	41,098 229	10,681,183	42,608	88.7.68	76,374	10,757,557
42,283 3,746	129,297	545,609 8,422 7,204 201,855 117,490 8,841	9,460,511 15,158 15,158	4,859 13,804 27,137	485,242,933	. 80	15	84,927	485,327,860
Seulpin. See-Lass, Black. Son-base Short-fin	Sca-bass, White	Shark. Sheepshead. Skapae. Skapaek. Smelt. Smelt. Sole.	Sucker Swordfal, Braudvill Swordfal, Buefin Tuna, Bluefin Tuna, Zellowfin	Whitehait. Whicksh, Ocean. Whicksh, Ocean. Miscellaneous Fish.	Total fish, in pounds	Crustaceau: Crab. Shrimp. Spiuy Lobster	Mollusk: Abdonic Cham, Cookle Cham, Capter Clam, Sayler Clam, Pistic Clam, Pistic Clam, Washington Octopus Octopus Oyster, Jastere Oyster, Native	Total shellfish, in pounds.	Grand total, in pounds.

# CANNED, CURED AND MANUFACTURED FISHERY PRODUCTS OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE YEAR OF 1942

		Ounic				
Kind of fish or fishery product	Size of cans	San Francisco district, cases	Monterey district, cases	San Pedro district, cases	San Diego district, cases	Total,
Albacore	12-lb.		6,257	3,199 124,948	177 103,966 435	3,376 235,171 435
Anchovies Barracuda Bonito	14-lb. 100's 14-lb. 100's 12-lb. 1-lb.		261	523 242 4,676		523 503 4,676
Clams	No. 10, 6's			17,369	276 13,897	1,022 31,266 110
Clam juice	1 No. 10, 6 S			7,926 579,053	443	1,944 7,926 443
Sardine	1/2-lb,			8,815 664	17,456 3,220	601,048 12,035 664
	No. 10, 6's	1 104.432	638,343 498,946	619,881 1,342,030	63	1,106 63 1,422,676 2,006,561
	½-lb ½-lb. 96's ½-lb. filet		0 909	1,707 84,069	1,222	9,990 121,324 75,386
	5-oz. 100's 1/4-lb. sq. 100's 3-oz. paste	22,049	72,706 19,129	90,672 2,918		185,427 22,047 2,205
Shad Shad Roe Squid	1-lb. 1/2-lb. 1-lb. tail	4,887	941			20,058 4,887 941
Tuna, Bluefin	1-lb. 12½ oz. ½-lb.			8,749	28,236	8,749 179 249,566
Tuna, Striped	1/4-lb. 100's			1,507 18,920 4,018	7,438	1,684 18,920 11,456
Th. X.11 C	½-lb. ¼-lb. ¼-lb. 100's			98,427 8,539 17,996	486,671 93,315 3,170	585,098 101,854 21,166
Tuna, Yellowfin	4-lb., 12's 1-lb			123 7,939 1,919	16,567	123 24,506 1,919
Tuna flakes	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> -lb. <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub> -lb. <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub> -lb. 100's			192,413 3,410 8,587	498,707 29,424 819	691,120 32,834 9,406
Tuna, "tonno" style	1-lb. ½-lb. ½-lb. ½-lb. 100's			3,613 36,267 383 23,096	1,948 109,148	5,561 145,415 383
Yellowtail	1/2-lh			23,096 2,079 14,348 197,403	20S 20,757	23,096 2,287 35,105
Totals	WISC, 81268	378,014	1,363,152	3,762,737	1,437,740	6,941,643

Note: Forty-eight cans to the case unless otherwise specified. San Francisco District includes all area north of Monterey. San Pedro District includes Orange County.

#### Cured and Manufactured

Fishery product	Size or quantity	San Francisco district	Monterey district	San Pedro district	San Diego district	Total
Anchovies, salted Mixed fish, dried	Pounds Pounds	47,002	5,500			5,500 47,002
Mixed fish, salted	Pounds				41,248	41,248
Sablefish, kippered	Pounds	236,863 72,000				236,863 72,000
Sablefish, saltedSalmon, smoked	Pounds	104,206				104,206
Sardine, salted	Pounds	2,091	190,920			190,920 2,091
Shrimp, driedShrimp, meal	Pounds Pounds	5,120				5,120
Stickwater residuum	Tons		195		4.470	195
Fish, meal	Tons Gallons	17,748 4.183,492	25,170 5,219,441	31,633 3,146,680	4,452 137,027	79,003 12,686,640
Shark liver oil	Gallons	148,043	49,097	63,795		260,935

#### Miscellaneous Data

	San Francisco district	Monterey district	San Pedro district	San Diego district	Total
Estimated value of pack	\$8,812,250	\$12,570,434	\$27,042,118	\$19,007,887	\$67,432,689
	1,665	3,380	5,172	2,033	12,250
	\$3,578,273	\$3,923,808	\$4,418,812	\$1,626,931	\$13.547,824

# REPORT OF SARDINE CANNING AND REDUCTION PLANTS, SEASON 1942-1943

Compiled by S. H. DADO

Prior to the opening of the season fishermen and plant operators in San Francisco and Monterey with the aid of the OPA agreed on a price of \$22 per ton if the insurance was paid by the plants or \$22.50 per ton if the insurance was paid by the boat owners. In Monterey fishing operations were delayed a few days after August 1st adjusting cannery workers pay and differences between the C. I. O. and A. F. L. fishermen's unions. In San Francisco the first load of sardines came in on August 8th. In Monterey the first deliveries were made on August 12th. In southern California fishing started promptly on October 1st and was exceptionally heavy for the first two weeks of the season. Landings were above average for the first three months of the season.

Hearings were held June 25th by the Commission on 76 applications for permits to use sardines by a reduction or extraction process. At the Board meeting held on July 11th 75 permits for 4,750 tons each were granted. On October 17th two more permits were granted to Associated Sardine Canners, Inc. and Del Mar Canning Company, Plant No. 2, both in Monterey. The permit was issued to Del Mar Canning Company since it was declared ready to operate but no permit was issued to the Associated Sardine Canners, Inc. as their plant was not completed and ready to operate.

On January 8, 1943, additional permits for 1,000 tons each were granted and issued to 13 canning plants in the San Pedro district and on February 11th additional permits for 1,000 tons each were granted and issued to four canning plants in Monterey. On March 5th, a permit was granted and issued to Van Camp Sea Food Co., Inc. "I. P.-A. T." Plant to use 634 tons to cover fish taken in excess of permits the plant held.

Permits were issued for the season as shown in the following table:

District	Number of Plants	Tons
San Francisco	33	156,750
Monterey	23	113,250
San Pedro	16	89,634
San Diego	4	19,000
Totals	76	378,634

A transfer of 577 tons was made from the San Francisco district to be run in a Monterey plant.

boats

Only 54.6 per cent of the reduction permit tonnage granted was received in the San Francisco district, 68.3 per cent in the Monterey district, 70.8 per cent in the San Pedro district, and 15 per cent in the San Diego district. In the four districts combined 60.6 per cent of the tonnage granted was received leaving an unused tonnage of 149,302 tons to be canceled at the close of the season.

The permits granted on July 11th provided that not more than one-third of the tonnage granted for the season could be taken in one calendar month. In the San Francisco and Monterey districts 700 tons and in southern California 950 tons was set as the minimum amount that could be taken during any one month during the season. These rulings on maximum and minimum monthly allotments were canceled on October 16th.

During the season 208 boats engaged in fishing sardines were operated on the following basis:

Port of operations	Number of
San Francisco only	19
Monterey only	
San Pedro only	
San Francisco and Monterey	20
San Francisco and San Pedro	
Monterey and San Pedro	36
San Francisco, Monterey and San Pedro	12
Total	208

Of the total boats, 197 were purse seiners and 11 were small lampara type boats using ring nets. During the season five purse seiners were lost during storms, two at San Pedro and three at Monterey.

This report does not include sardines taken for fresh fish markets, bait, quarter oil pack, or fish packed after March 31st, in square cans of less than 10 ounces in weight.

The following plants operated during the season:

#### SAN FRANCISCO DISTRICT

Alaska Salmon Co., Richmond
American Sardine Co., Benicia
Benicia Fisheries (2 plants), Benicia
F. E. Booth Co., Inc. (2 plants), Pittsburg
California Fish Products Co., Richmond
Carquinez Fishery, Ltd., Richmond
Cypress Fisheries, San Francisco
East Bay Fisheries, Richmond
Edible Fish Meals & Oils, Richmond
Farallone Packing Co., Div. of Borden Co. (2 plants), San Francisco
Fish-Dee-Lish Corp., Richmond
Fish Packers, McNears Point

Gardenia Packing Co., Richmond Golden State Fisheries, Inc., Benicia Hofmann Packing Co., McNears Point Lansing Fisheries, San Francisco Martinez Food Canners, Ltd., Martinez McGovern and McGovern, Richmond Northern Packing Corp., San Francisco Old Capitol Packers, Inc., McNears Point Ozol Packing Co., Martinez Pittsburg Canners, Inc., Richmond Point Edith Fisheries, Ltd., Richmond Polarine Fisheries, Inc., Richmond Red Rock Fisheries, Inc. (2 Plants), Richmond Redondo Fish Products Co., Richmond Richmond Fisheries, Inc., Richmond San Pablo Fisheries, Richmond Tamalpais Fishing and Packing Co., Richmond

#### MONTEREY DISTRICT

California Packing Corp., Monterey Carmel Canning Co., Monterey Custom House Packing Corp., Monterey Del Mar Canning Co. (2 plants), Monterey Edgewater Packing Co., Monterey E. B. Gross Canning Co. (2 plants), Monterey Hovden Food Products Corp. (2 plants), Monterey Hovden Food Products Corp. (2 plants), Moss Landing M. A. Leonis, Moss Landing Lucido Fisheries, Monterey Monterey Canning Co., Monterey Monterey Fish Products, Inc. (2 plants), Monterey Oxnard Canners, Inc., Monterey Port Costa Packing Co., Moss Landing San Carlos Canning Co., Monterey San Xavier Fish Packing Co., Monterey Santa Inez Fisheries, Inc., Moss Landing Sea Pride Packing Corp., Ltd., Monterey

#### SAN PEDRO DISTRICT

California Marine Curing & Packing Co., Terminal Island
California Sea Food Co., Long Beach
Coast Fishing Co., Wilmington
Franco Italian Packing Co., Terminal Island
French Sardine Co. of California, Inc. (2 plants), Terminal Island
K & M Fisheries, Inc., Terminal Island
Sardamack Fisheries, Inc., Wilmington
Sea Pride Packing Corp., Ltd., Terminal Island

Plant No. 2 burned Jan. 2, 1943.
Plant burned Jan. 2, 1943.

South Coast Fisheries, Inc., Terminal Island 3 South Pacific Canning Co., Inc., Long Beach Southern California Fish Corp., Terminal Island Van Camp Sea Food Co., Inc. (3 plants), Terminal Island West Coast Packing Corp., Long Beach

#### SAN DIEGO DISTRICT

American Fisheries Co., San Diego 4 High Seas Tuna Packing Co., Inc., San Diego Sun Harbor Packing Co., San Diego Westgate Sea Products Co., San Diego 4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Plant burned Jan. 2, 1943. <sup>4</sup> Permit issued, no sardines received.

# PRODUCTION OF SARDINE PLANTS

August	1,	1942,	to	March	31,	1943

District	Sardines received, tons	Used for canning, tons	Cannery fish overage used for meal and oil, tons	Used for meal and oil under permit, tons
San Francisco Monterey. San Pedro San Diego	115,586 183,158 199,750 2,847	20,657 71,482 93,092	9,344 33,968 43,160	85,585 77,404 63,498 2,847
Totals	501,341	<sup>1</sup> 185,231 86,472 271,703	86,472	229,334

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  The law requires that  $13\frac{1}{2}$  cases of 1-lb, oval cans be canned from each ton of sardines received for canning purposes, but in calculating the amount of fish actually used in canning, a basis of 20 cases per ton is used.

District	Cannery offal, tons	1-lb. ovals packed, cases	Other size cans packed, cases	Other size cans reduced to equivalent of 1-lb. ovals, cases	Cases, per ton
San Francisco Monterey San Pedro San Diego	10,327 35,770 46,546	203,287 705,317 491,690	215,560 750,376 1,377,143	209,878 724,335 1,370,383	13.8 13.5 13.6
Totals	92,643	1,400,294	2,343,079	2,304,596	

District	Sardine meal, tons	Ratio per ton of meal	Sardine oil, gallons	Gallons of oil per ton of fish and offal
San Francisco	19,114 28,255 29,015 599 76,983	5.5 5.2 5.3 4.8	4,467,454 5,590,335 3,049,132 41,862 13,148,783	42.4 38.0 19.9 14.7

District .	Permits issued, tons	Unused permit tonnage cancelled tons	Used for other purposes, tons
San Francisco Monterey San Pedro San Diego.	156,750 113,250 89,634 19,000	<sup>2</sup> 70,588 <sup>2</sup> 36,423 26,136 16,153	1304
Totals	378,634	149,300	1304

 $<sup>^{1}\,304</sup>$  tons for salting.  $^{2}\,577$  tons transferred San Francisco to Monterey.

#### COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF SARDINE PLANT OPERATIONS, SEASONS 1941-1942 AND 1942-1943

#### San Francisco District

	Season 1941-42	Season 1942-43	Increase
Tons of sardines received for canning. Tons of sardines received under permit for meal and oil. Tons of sardines received for pet food	63,279 121,381 1,261	30,001 85,585	*33,278 *35,796 *1,261
Total tons of sardines received for all purposes	185,921	115,586	*70,335
Cases of 1-lb, oval cans packed Cases of other size cans packed Other size cans reduced to equivalent cases of 1-lb, ovals Meal, tons Oil, gallons	449,589 427,566 405,120 29,935 7,162,343	203,287 215,560 209,878 19,114 4,467,454	*246,302 *212,006 *195,242 *10,821 *2,694,889

<sup>\*</sup> Decrease.

#### Monterey District

	Season 1941-42	Season 1942-43	Increase
Tons of sardines received for canning Tons of sardines received under permit for meal and oil Tons of sardines received for salting	179,549	105,450	*74,099
	70,139	77,404	7,265
	29	304	275
Total tons of sardines received for all purposes	249,717	183,158	*66,559
Cases of 1-lb. oval cans packed. Cases of other size cans packed Other size cans reduced to equivalent cases of 1-lb. ovals. Meal, tons. Oil, gallons.	1,098,747	705,317	*393,430
	1,413,846	750,376	*663,470
	1,331,057	724,335	*606,722
	36,309	28,255	*8,054
	7,222,683	5,590,335	*1,632,348

<sup>\*</sup> Decrease.

#### San Pedro District

	Season 1941-42	Season 1942-43	Increase
Tons of sardines received for canning. Tons of sardines received under permit for meal and oil Tons of sardines received for pet food	123,396 18,633 4,256	136,252 63,498	12,856 44,865 *4,256
Total tons of sardines received for all purposes	146,285	199,750	53,465
Cases of 1-lb. oval cans packed. Cases of other size cans packed Other size cans reduced to equivalent cases of 1-lb. ovals. Meal, tons. Oil, gallons.	633,298 1,244,910 1,236,037 18,590 2,088,695	491,690 1,377,143 1,370,383 29,015 3,049,132	*141,608 132,233 134,346 10,425 960,437

<sup>\*</sup> Decrease.

# San Diego District

	Season 1941-42	Season 1942-43	Increase
Tons of sardines received for canning	68 1,472	2,847	*68 1,375
Total tons of sardines received for all purposes	1,540	2,847	1,307
Cases of 1-lb. oval cans packed. Cases of other size cans packed Other size cans reduced to equivalent cases of 1-lb. ovals. Meal, tons Oil, gallons.	1,266 1,266 269 25,244	599 • 41,862	*1,266 *1,266 330 16,618

<sup>\*</sup> Decrease.

#### California, All Districts Combined

	Season 1941-42	Season 1942-43	Increase
Tons of sardines received for canning	366,292 211,625 5,546	271,703 229,334 304	*94,589 17,709 *5,242
Total tons of sardines received for all purposes.	583,463	501,341	*82,122
Cases of 1-lb. oval cans packed	$\begin{array}{c} 2,181,634 \\ 3,087,588 \\ 2,973,480 \\ 85,103 \\ 16,498,965 \end{array}$	$\substack{1,400,294\\2,343,079\\2,304,596\\76,983\\13,148,783}$	*781,340 *744,509 *668,884 *8,120 *3,350,182

<sup>\*</sup> Decrease.

# THIRTY-EIGHTH BIENNIAL REPORT

# SARDINE CATCH BY MONTHS, SEASON 1942-43

	San Francisco			
Month	Canning	Reduction	Other purposes	Total
August, 1942. September October November January, 1943. February. March	4,628 7,395 4,766 4,268 4,118 4,447 379	27,640 20,502 14,015 12,553 5,252 5,502 121		32,268 27,897 18,781 16,821 9,370 9,949 500
Totals	30,001	85,585		115,580

	Monterey			
Month	Canning	Reduction	Other purposes	Total
August, 1942 September October November December January, 1943 February March	14,430 28,989 7,268 13,185 16,921 9,636 14,294 727	16,202 19,717 4,098 12,889 10,477 4,532 9,489	41 167 2 44 50	30,673 48,873 11,368 26,118 27,398 14,168 23,833 727
Totals	105,450	77,404	304	183,158

	San Pedro			
Month	Canning	Reduction	Other purposes	Total
October, 1942 November December January, 1943 February March 1	35,929 32,720 35,639 19,308 12,590 66	22,200 20,371 13,084 7,554 289		58,129 53,091 48,723 26,862 12,879 66
Totals	136,252	63,498		199,750

	San Diego						
Month	Canning	Reduction	Other purposes	Total			
October, 1942		331 827 1,042 647		33 82 1,04 64			
Totals		2,847		2,84			

#### PACK OF 1-LB. OVALS BY MONTHS, SEASON 1942-43

Month	San Francisco, cases	Montercy, cases	Sán Pedro, cases	San Diego, cases	Total, cases
August, 1942 September October November December January, 1943 February March Totals	19,397 42,971 39,245 27,201 28,414 41,808 4,251	97,298 199,350 47,169 84,668 115,715 65,209 95,908	128,534 115,252 117,891 70,277 58,989 747 491,690		116,695 242,321 214,948 227,121 262,020 177,294 159,148 747 1,400,294

# PACK OF OTHER SIZE CANS REDUCED TO EQUIVALENTS OF 1-LB. OVALS, BY MONTHS, SEASON 1942-43

Month	San Francisco, cases	Monterey,	San Pedro, cases	San Diego, cases	Total, cases
August, 1942. September October November December January, 1943 February March	43,079 56,902 30,541 30,450 29,589 18,220 1,097	97,561 192,132 52,197 93,394 112,820 66,523 98,089 11,619	356,534 326,487 363,234 190,445 133,168 515		140,640 249,034 439,272 450,331 505,643 275,188 232,354 12,134
Totals	209,878	724,335	1,370,383		2,304,596

#### SARDINE MEAL PRODUCTION BY MONTHS, SEASON 1942-43

Month	San Francisco, tons	Monterey, tons	San Pedro,	San Diego, tons	Total, tons
August, 1942	5,370 4,598 3,130 2,888 1,422 1,639 67	4,644 7,355 1,669 4,160 4,246 2,156 3,937 88	8,173 7,847 7,274 4,111 1,602	60 152 261 126	10,014 11,953 13,032 15,047 13,203 8,032 5,606 96
Totals	19,114	28,255	29,015	599	76,983

# SARDINE OIL PRODUCTION BY MONTHS, SEASON 1942-43

Month	San Francisco, gallons	Monterey, gallons	San Pedro, gallons	San Diego, gallons	Total, gallons
August, 1942 September Octobe November December January, 1943 February March	1,325,287 1,165,268 768,976 640,630 280,465 281,511 5,317	1,098,094 1,713,064 354,523 881,961 802,864 313,276 422,854 3,699	1,216,837 946,778 605,502 235,902 43,908 205	9,362 14,548 14,218 3,734	2,423,381 2,878,332 2,349,698 2,483,917 1,703,049 834,423 472,079 3,904
Totals	4,467,454	5,590,335	3,049,132	41,862	13,148,783

# CALIFORNIA FRESH FISHERY PRODUCTS FOR YEAR 1943 Compiled by Division of Fish and Game, Bureau of Marine Fisheries

Species of fish	Eureka region	Sacramento region	San Francisco region	Monterey region	Santa Barbara region
Albacore Anchovy Barracuda	488,361		474,332 78,793	300,549 198,432 60	294,675 190,540
BonitoCabezoneCabrilla			140	7,379	367,637 13
Carp		16,735 209,485	506 40		
Corbina, Mexican Cultus, Pacific Flounder Flying Fish	478,755 311,135		151,410 160,003	84,802 14,279	1,857 18,043
Grouper	6,427		3,057	1,015	
Halibut, California————————————————————————————————————	269,991	0.000	10,869 1,070	15,511	352,263
Hardhead Herring, Pacific Kingfish	125,584	2,096	495,132 4,829	9,190 205,547	452 368
Mackerel, Horse Mackerel, Pacific Mackerel, Spanish		155,500 231,500	150,048	162,793 4,430,090	92,780
Perch	24,525	273	28,694	13,879	20,244
Pike Pompano, California Rock Bass		213	198	396	15,784
RockfishSablefishSalmon	1,375,468 1,907,664 2,176,182	700 1,295,424	202,781 36,901 2,021,123	684,754 1,221,784 1,101,934	85,130 3,409
Sand Dab	280,395 462	73,645,540	143,862 171,029,377	75,023 439,702,334	362 1,680 1,832
Sea-bass, Black Sea-bass, White	3,114	2,347,902	13,948 17 1,064,882	62,897 145 326,768	55,837 79 478,980
SharkSheepsheadSkate	1,070,856 16,696		34,116	16,777	96,653 4,292
Skipjack Smelt Sole	66,851 3,449,278		965,644 996,257	292,011 86,134	10,107 232,647
Split-tail Swordfish, Broadbill	72	10,925			134,835 275,899
Tuna, Bluefin Tuna, Yellowfin Turbot	6,401		43,182	705	17,745
Whitebait	137,880		3,487		22,412
Miscellaneous Fish	49,150		26,117	2,169	13 19,966
Total fish, in pounds	12,245,247	77,916,080	178,154,054	449,017,469	2,796,534
Crustacean: Crab	229,058		2,021,556 253,215	64,724 43	125,528
Mollusk:					120,028
Abalone Clam Clam, Pismo	728		5,891 120	100	631,558
Octopus	3,404		46,557 4,962	9,582	
Oyster, Eastern Oyster, Japanese Oyster, Native			79,878 301,454		439,651
Squid			30,448 39,060	9,065,688	
Total shellfish, in pounds	233,190		2,783,141	9,141,896	1,240,848
Grand total, in pounds	12,478,437	77,916,080	180,937,195	458,159,365	4,037,382

Note: All amounts shown in pounds. This record does not include albacore shipped from Oregon and Washington or fish imported from South America or the Gulf of California. This record is the catch made in or off the regions show a in the tables.

CALIFORNIA FRESH FISHERY PRODUCTS FOR YEAR 1943—Continued Compiled by Division of Fish and Game, Bureau of Marine Fisheries

Total landings in California, including fish from west coast south of the International Boundary brought in by boat	21,384,864 1,570,803 3,774,428 2,281,884	233,036 17,941	209,525 5,106	719,318 505,399	2,271	10,499 10,118,744	2/1,001 2,096	050,555 396,524 19,698,974	75,261,000 18,652	73,281 112,963 273	5,168 181,447 2,759,630 3,206,074 6,504,663	972,249,015 42,550
South of International Boundary brought into San Diego	8,398,156 402,428 522,079	46,106	30	190	43 115	201,846		27	11,939	7,218	25,067 177,473 1,469	525
South of International Boundary brought into Los Angeles.	1,100,893 989,116 958,270	186,930	8.038	5	98 779	206,004			250 6.043	213	12,177	
Total taken in State waters and off the coast of California	11,885,815 1,570,803 2,382,884 801,535	17 941	209,525	719,128	2,271	710,894	2,096		75,248,811	66,063 112,750	2,555,902 3,204,605	972,248,490 35,983
San Diego region	3,762,153 85 356,504 32,944			1,031		35,155	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2,219	645,838	66,063	25 21,500 11,395	5,160,375
Los Angeles region	6,565,745 1,293,493 1,835,780 400,842			1,273	2,271	297,096		183,534	69,698,555	25,408	4,549 106,919 196,374 34,147	6,058 282,710,040 29,372
Species of fish	Albacore Andbovy Barracuda Bonito.	Cabrilla	(24th) (24th) (Sathin Mexicon	Cultur, Pacific Cultus, Pacific	Flying Fish	Zrouper Hake Halibut, California	Hailbut, Northern. Hardhead.	Kingfal Hame	Markerel, Pacific Markerel, Stanish	Mather of parameters of the pa	Pompano, California. Rock Bass. Rockish. Sablefish.	Sand Dab. Sardine Settlini

700,202 500,098	2,546,145 3,722,729 147,547	28,893,784 1,562,011 4,782,379	336,386 10,178,170 49,261,328 38,047	141,367 96,012 4,934,879 160,577	1,214,827,369	2,315,338 253,258 985,525	680,274 848 611 634	46,557 18,020 79,878	741,105 30,448 9,164,361	14,927,248	1,229,754,615
250,419 51,512	3,038 20,822	21,884,505 776 35	18,758 296,372 30,200,382	49,096 882,924 882,924	63,516,407	508,246	908 015			717,163	64,233,568
430,512 69,408	2,891	6,991,375 151 167	2,217,911	4,901 4,018,596 1,177	36,328,203	178,902	356 840		) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	535,751	36,863,954
19,271 379,178	3,716,800	17,904 1,561,084 4,782,177	317,628 7,663,887 2,058 38,047	141,367 42,015 33,359 158,476	1,114,982,759	2,315,338 253,258 298,377	680,274 848 45,870	46,557 18,020 79,878	741,105 30,448 9,164,361	13,674,334	1,128,657,093
10,159 28,138	203,627	2,331	8,231 581,316 1,673	1,741 16,303	10,957,367	52,368	1		32,400	84,768	11,042,135
7,280	571,687 25,608	2,025 15,573 226,168 17,856	174,562 6,763,490 385	17,862 17,043 61,072	383,896,008	120,481	42,725	72	27,213	190,491	384,086,499
Sea-bass, Black Sea-bass, White	Dinad. Shark Sheepshead	Karke. Skipjack Snelt. Sole.	Swordish, Broadbill. Tuna, Bluefin. Tuna, Kellowfin. Turbot.	Whitchait. Whitchait Ocean. Yliteffish, Ocean. Miscellaneous Fish.	Total fish, in pounds	Crustacean: Crab. Strimp. Spiny Lobster.	Mollusk: Abalone. Clam.	Claim, Soft-shell. Octopus. Oyster, Eastern	Oyster, Japanese Oyster, Native Squid.	Total shellfish, in pounds	Grand total, in pounds

CANNED, CURED AND MANUFACTURED FISHERY PRODUCTS OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE YEAR 1943

Canned

Kind of fish or fishery product	Size of cans	San Fraucisco district, cases	Monterey district, cases	San Pedro district, cases	San Diego district, cases	Total,
Albacore	1-lb ½-lb ¼-lb		11,342	2,280 184,772	17,435 209,906	19,715 406,020 6
Anchovy Barracuda Bouito	14-lb., sq. 100's - 12-lb		87	389 352		87 389 352
Clams	12-lb. 14-lb. 12-lb.			28,463 10.225	6,457 353	34,920 353 10,225
Clam juice Mackerel Sardine	No. 10, 6's 1-lb No. 10, 6's		7,997	906 827,040	4,255	906 839,312 32,523
barding	1-lb. ovals 1 lb. tall 10½ oz., E. O	270,005 89,966 3,514	775,446 742,968	400,504 846,314	697	1,445,955 1,679,945 3,514
	1/2-lb. sq	2.934	25,526 53,537 44,935	44,516		28,460 99,559 44,935
Salmon	5-oz. 100's ¼-lb, sq. 100's ¼-lb, 100's	10,104	10,176 16,193	26,507 2,235		46,787 18,428 1,475
Shad Roe Skipjack	1-lb. 100 s	11,516 6,252		1.981		11,516 6,252 5,837
Skipjack	1/2-lb. 1/4-lb. 1/4-lb. 100's			84,592 403 1,227	285,236 5,872	369,828 6,275
SquidTuna, Bluefin	1-lb,		112,175 6,982	1,227 80 2,159	100	1,227 $112,255$ $6,982$ $2,321$
Tuna, Yellowfin	1-lb. ½-lb. 1-lb.			160,685 23,952	162 11,859 41,505	172,544 65,4 <b>5</b> 7
Tuna flakes	½-lb. ¼-lb. ¼-lb. 100's			268,513 11,416 214	350,609	619,122 11,416 214
Tuna, "tonno" style	1-lb, ½-lb, ½-lb, ¼-lb, 100's			4,624 115,924 1,041	381,788	4,803 497,712 1,041
Yellowtail	1-lb 1-g-lb			16,198 4,530 63,250	5,488	16,198 4,530 68,738
Totals		429,815	1,807,364	3,135,292	1,325,663	6,698,134

Note: Forty-eight cans to the case unless otherwise specified. San Francisco District includes all area north of Monterey. San Pedro District includes Orange County.

#### Cured and Manufactured

Fishery product	Size or quantity	San Francisco district	Monterey district	San Pedro district	San Diego district	Total
Anchovy, salted Mackerel, salted Mixed fish, dried Mixed fish, smoked Sablefish, kippered Salmon, mild cure Salmon, smoked Sardine, salted Shad, mild cure Shrimp, dried Shrimp, meal Fish, meal <sup>1</sup> Fish, oil Liver oil	Pounds	64,037 12,553 230,241 226 86,650 				4,000 34,000 64,037 12,553 230,241 226 86,650 135,350 92,800 2,075 4,500 86,151 14,016,179 357,274

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes 4,160 tons of residuum from stickwater.

#### Miscellaneous Data

	San Francisco district	Monterey district	San Pedro district	San Diego district	Total
Estimated value of pack	\$10,608,935 1,906 \$3,933,244	\$17,048,271 3,308 \$4,368,836	\$27,180,215 5,158 \$5,324,824	\$15,658,679 1,771 \$2,416,886	\$70,496,100 12,143 \$16,043,790

# REPORT OF SARDINE CANNING AND REDUCTION PLANTS, SEASON 1943-1944

Compiled by S. H. Dado

Price for sardines was set by the O. P. A., prior to the opening of the season, at \$22 per ton plus insurance.

Fish were delivered in the San Francisco District on August 5 and in the Monterey District on August 2. In southern California deliveries started on the opening day of the season, October 1. Hearings on 82 applications for permits to use sardines by a reduction process were held on June 2 in Los Angeles, and permits were granted to 82 applicants to be issued when the plant was equipped and ready to operate. Prior to the opening of the season, 74 permits for 4,750 tons each were issued; and one additional plant in the San Francisco District qualified and was issued a permit in October for 3,270 tons, a deduction from the basic tonnage being made as the plant was not ready to operate on opening of the season. On December 15 an additional grant of 1,000 tons was made to such plants as could show need for such additional tonnage to continue operations. Thirteen plants in the Monterey District, and three plants in the San Pedro District were issued additional permits for 1,000 tons each.

Permits were issued for the season, as shown in the following table:

District	$No.\ of\ plants$	$Tons \ issued$	Tons $used$	Tons $unused$
San Francisco Monterey San Pedro	22	$160,020 \\ 117,002 \\ 79,000$	$\begin{array}{c} 95,332 \\ 96,826 \\ 46,912 \end{array}$	$64,688 \\ 20,176 \\ 32,088$
San Diego		$\frac{14,250}{370,272}$	$\frac{2,663}{241,733}$	$\frac{11,587}{128,539}$

In the Monterey district 498 tons of permit tonnage was revoked, and has been deducted in the above table.

Of the permit tonnage issued, only 59.6% was used in the San Francisco District, 82.7% in the Monterey District, 59.4% in the San Pedro District, and 18.7% in the San Diego District. For the entire State, 65.3% of the permit tonnage issued was used.

The permits were issued without any limitation on the amount of fish that could be received monthly.

During the season there were 206 boats engaged in fishing sardines, of which 197 were purse seine boats, and 9 were lampara type boats, using ring nets. These boats were operated during the season, as follows:

Port of operation	$No.\ of\ boats$
San Francisco, exclusively	10
Monterey, exclusively	59
San Pedro, exclusively	41
San Francisco and Monterey	
San Francisco and San Pedro	38
Monterey and San Pedro	
San Francisco, Monterey, and San Pedro	6
Total	206

This report does not include sardines taken for fresh fish markets, bait or fish packed after March 31st, in square cans of less than 10 ounces in weight.

The following plants operated during the season:

#### SAN FRANCISCO DISTRICT

Alaska Salmon Co., Richmond American Sardine Co., Benicia Benicia Fisheries (2 plants), Pittsburg F. E. Booth Co., Inc. (2 plants), Pittsburg California Fish Products Co., Richmond Carquinez Fishery, Ltd., Richmond Cypress Fisheries, San Francisco East Bay Fisheries, Richmond Edible Fish Meals & Oils, Richmond Farallone Packing Co., Div. of Borden Co. (2 plants), San Francisco Fish-Dee-Lish Corp., Richmond Fish Packers, McNears Point Gardenia Packing Co., Richmond Golden State Fisheries, Benicia Hofmann Packing Co., McNears Point Lansing Fisheries, San Francisco Martinez Food Canners, Ltd., Martinez

Northern Packing Corp., San Francisco
Old Capitol Packers, McNears Point
Ozol Packing Co., Martinez
Pittsburg Canners, Inc., Richmond
Point Edith Fisheries, Ltd., Richmond
Polarine Fisheries, Inc., Richmond
Red Rock Fisheries, Inc. (2 plants), Richmond
Redondo Fish Products Co., Richmond
Richmond Fisheries, Inc., Richmond
San Pablo Fisheries, Richmond

Tamalpais Fishing and Packing Co., Richmond

McLaughlin, Harold, Richmond Monitor Fisheries, Richmond

#### MONTEREY DISTRICT

California Packing Corp., Monterey Carmel Canning Co., Monterey Custom House Packing Corp., Monterey Del Mar Canning Co., Monterey Edgewater Packing Co., Monterey Hovden Food Products Corp. (2 plants), Monterey Hovden Food Products Corp., Moss Landing Lone Wolf Packing Co., Moss Landing Lucido Fisheries, Monterey Monterey Canning Co., Monterey Monterey Fish Products, Inc. (2 plants), Monterey Oxnard Canners, Inc., Monterey Peninsula Packing Co. (2 plants), Monterey Port Costa Packing Co., Moss Landing San Carlos Canning Co., Monterey San Xavier Fish Packing Co., Monterey Santa Inez Fisheries, Inc., Moss Landing Sea Pride Packing Co., Monterey Western Fish Products Co., Moss Landing

# SAN PEDRO DISTRICT

California Marine Curing & Packing Co., Terminal Island
California Sea Food Co., Long Beach
Coast Fishing Co., Wilmington
Franco Italian Packing Co., Terminal Island
French Sardine Co. of California, Inc. (2 plants), Terminal Island
Golden State Sea Food Co., Long Beach
K & M Fisheries, Inc., Terminal Island
Sardamack Fisheries, Wilmington
South Coast Fisheries, Inc., Terminal Island
South Pacific Canning Co., Inc., Long Beach
Southern California Fish Corp., Terminal Island
Terminal Island Sea Foods, Ltd., Terminal Island
Van Camp Sea Food Co., Inc. (3 plants), Terminal Island
West Coast Packing Corp., Long Beach
West Coast Packing Corp., Newport Beach

#### SAN DIEGO DISTRICT

High Seas Tuna Packing Co., Inc., San Diego Sun Harbor Packing Co., San Diego Westgate Sea Products Co., San Diego

# PRODUCTION OF SARDINE PLANTS August 1, 1943, to March 31, 1944

District	Sardines received, tons	Used for canning, tons	Cannery fish overage used for meal and oil, tons	Used for meal and oil under permit, tons
San Francisco Monterey San Pedro San Diego	126,132 212,383 132,317 2,690	21,269 78,147 58,047 27	9,531 37,148 27,358	95,332 96,826 46,912 2,663
Totals	473,522	1157,490 74,037 231,527	74,037	241,733

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The law requires that 13½ cases of 1-lb, oval cans be canned from each ton of sardines received for canning purposes, but in calculating the amount of fish actually used in canning, a basis of 20 cases per ton is used.

District	Cannery offal, tons	1-lb. ovals packed, cases	Other size cans packed, cases	Other size cans reduced to equivalent of 1-lb. ovals, cases	Cases, per ton
San Francisco Monterey San Pedro San Diego	10,631 39,076 29,019 14	277,724 747,978 377,420	153,866 821,082 781,934 697	147,763 815,058 783,633 697	13.8 13.5 13.6 25.8
Totals	78,740	1,403,122	1,757,579	1,747,151	

District	Sardine meal, tons	Ratio of wet material per ton of meal	Sardine oil, gallons	Gallons of oil per ton of fish and offal
San Francisco. Monterey. San Pedro. San Diego. Totals.	20,064 32,840 20,081 527 73,512	5.7 5.2 5.1 5.1	5,018,816 6,578,416 2,135,162 50,617	43.5 38.0 20.7 18.9

District	Permits issued, tons	Unused permit tonnage cancelled, tons	Used for other purposes, tons
San Francisco	160,020 117,002 79,000 14,250	64,688 20,176 32,088 11,587	1262
Totals	370,272	128,539	1262

<sup>1 262</sup> tons for salting.

#### COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF SARDINE PLANT OPERATIONS, SEASONS 1942-43 AND 1943-44

#### San Francisco District

	Season 1942-43	Season 1943-44	Increase
Tons of sardines received for canning		30,800 95,332	799 9,747
Total tons of sardines received for all purposes	115,586	126,132	10,546
Cases of 1-lb, oval cans packed Cases of other size cans packed Other size cans reduced to equivalent of 1-lb, ovals Meal, tons Oil, gallons	203,287 215,560 209,878 19,114 4,467,454	277,724 153,866 147,763 20,064 5,018,816	74,437 *61,694 *62,115 950 551,362

<sup>\*</sup> Decrease.

#### Monterey District

	Season 1942-43	Season 1943-44	Increase
Tons of sardines received for canning Tons of sardines received under permit for meal and oil Tons of sardines received for salting	105,450	115,295	9,845
	77,404	96,826	19,422
	304	262	*42
Total tons of sardines received for all purposes	183,158	212,383	29,225
Cases of 1-lb. ovals packed	705,317	747,978	42,661
Cases of other size cans packed	750,376	821,082	70,706
Other size cans reduced to equivalent of 1-lb. ovals	724,335	815,058	90,723
Meal, tons	28,255	32,840	4,585
Oil, gallons	5,590,335	6,578,416	988,081

<sup>\*</sup> Decrease.

#### San Pedro District

	Season 1942-43	Season 1943-44	Increase
Tons of sardines received for canning	136,252 63,498	85,405 46,912	*50,847 *16,586
Totals	199,750	132,317	*67,433
Cases of 1-lb. oval cans packed. Cases of other size cans packed. Other size cans reduced to equivalent cases of 1-lb. ovals. Meal, tons. Oil, gallons.	$\substack{491,690\\1,377,143\\1,370,383\\29,015\\3,049,132}$	377,420 781,934 783,633 20,081 2,135,162	*114,270 *595,209 *586,750 *8,934 *913,970

<sup>\*</sup> Decrease.

# San Diego District

	Season 1942-43	Season 1943-44	Increase
Tons of sardines received for canning	2,847	27 2,663	27 *184
Total tons of sardines received for all purposes.	2,847	2,690	*157
Cases of 1-lb. oval cans packed. Cases of other size cans packed Other size cans reduced to equivalent cases of 1-lb. ovals. Meal, tons. Oil, gallons.	599 41,862	697 697 527 50,617	697 697 *72 8,755

<sup>\*</sup> Decrease.

# California, All Districts Combined

	Season 1942-43	Season 1943-44	Increase
Tons of sardines received for canning Tons of sardines received under permit for meal and oil Tons of sardines received for salting	271,703	231,527	*40,176
	229,334	241,733	12,399
	304	262	*42
Total tons of sardines received for all purposes	501,341	473,522	*27,819
Cases of 1-lb. oval cans packed. Cases of other size cans packed Other size cans reduced to equivalent cases of 1-lb. ovals. Meal, tons Oil, gallons	1,400,294	1,403,122	2,828
	2,343,079	1,757,579	*585,500
	2,304,596	1,747,151	*557,445
	76,983	73,512	*3,471
	13,148,783	13,783,011	634,228

<sup>\*</sup> Decrease.

# SARDINE CATCH BY MONTHS, SEASON 1943-44

	San Francisco			
Month	Canning	Reduction	Other purposes	Total
August, 1943 September October November December January, 1944 February	4,515 10,813 2,956 4,710 1,674 5,968	16,432 62,644 2,445 4,834 389 8,333		20,947 73,457 5,401 9,544 2,063 14,301
Totals	30,800	95,332		126,132

	Monterey			
Month	Canning	Reduction	Other purposes	Total
August, 1943 September October November December January, 1944 February March	19,040 22,810 14,171 18,643 20,873 13,733 5,989	7,609 23,092 9,683 21,772 22,015 10,821 1,834	25 50 74 64 49	26,649 45,902 23,879 40,465 42,962 24,618 7,872 36
Totals	115,295	96,826	262	212,383

	San Pedro				
Month	Canning	Reduction	Other purposes	Total	
October, 1943. November December January, 1944. February and March 1	31,430 14,055 17,921 16,410 5,589	17,754 6,104 11,623 9,971 1,460		49,184 20,159 29,544 26,381 7,049	
Totals	85,405	46,912		132,317	

	San Diego				
Month	Canning	Reduction	Other purposes	Total	
October, 1943 November- December January, 1944	14 13	631 831 424 768		631 845 437 768	
February Totals	27	2,663		2,690	

#### PACK OF 1-LB. OVALS BY MONTHS, SEASON 1943-44

Month	San Francisco, cases	Montercy, cases	San Pedro, cases	San Diego,	Total, cases
August, 1943 September October November December January, 1944 February March	40,708 97,418 31,247 40,348 12,143 55,472 388	124,945 144,589 83,509 121,154 140,160 91,911 41,710	119,903 69,505 81,084 75,053 31,875		165,653 242,007 234,659 231,007 233,387 222,436 73,973
Totals	277,724	747,978	377,420		1,403,122

#### PACK OF OTHER SIZE CANS REDUCED TO EQUIVALENTS OF 1-LB. OVALS, BY MONTHS, SEASON 1943-44

Month	San Francisco, cases	Monterey, cases	San Pedro, cases	San Diego, cases	Total, cases
August, 1943 September October November December January, 1944 February March	20,252 48,601 9,518 23,720 10,969 32,281 2,422	135,729 163,436 107,867 130,652 142,123 93,761 40,995 495	310,141 121,478 161,092 146,881 44,041	357 340	155,981 212,037 427,526 276,207 314,529 272,928 87,458 498
Totals	147,763	815,058	783,633	697	1,747,151

#### SARDINE MEAL PRODUCTION BY MONTHS, SEASON 1943-44

Month	San Francisco, tons	Monterey, tons	San Pedro, tons	San Diego, tons	Total, tons
August, 1943 September October November December January, 1944 February March Totals	3,239 12,015 789 1,297 309 2,344 71	3,558 6,940 3,668 6,491 7,002 3,921 1,255 5	7,219 3,147 4,586 4,150 979	117 154 97 157 2	6,797 18,955 11,793 11,089 11,994 10,572 2,307 5

#### SARDINE OIL PRODUCTION BY MONTHS, SEASON 1943-44

Month	San Francisco, gallons	Monterey, gallons	San Pedro, gallons	San Diego, gallons	Total, gallons
August, 1943 September October November December January, 1944 February March Totals	\$11,233 3,247,398 224,920 353,538 50,100 322,338 9,289	779,287 1,715,873 749,362 1,411,859 1,254,368 539,941 127,681 45	1,075,203 373,732 436,781 212,325 37,121	16,397 19,638 6,603 7,939 40	1,590,520 4,963,271 2,065,882 2,158,767 1,747,825 1,082,543 174,131 45

# SARDINE CATCH, CASE PACK, MEAL AND OIL PRODUCTION For Sardine Packing Seasons Sardine Catch, Tons

Season	San Francisco district	Monterey district	San Pedro district	San Diego district	Total
1925-26	248	69,011	61.992	5,214	136,465
1926-27		76,690	64,216	0,	143,559
1927-28		98,678	67,459	3,973	181.176
1928-29		119,102	119,180	1.394	252,433
1929-30		159,434	140,432	2,079	322,600
1930-31	24,468	108,953	38,580		172,001
1931-32		68,825	42,557		131.320
1932-33		89,257	83,492		190,166
1933-34		151,937	124,950	1,488	313,842
934-35	67,140	229,992	178,755	4,859	480,746
935-36	74,231	184,113	138,333	10,489	407,166
1936-37		206,229	137,914	4.569	488,141
1937-38		104,464	109,015	107	345.834
938-39		180,090	145,335	2,790	528.576
939-40		227,231	93,081	95	531.878
940-41		165,145	170,559	1.188	454,709
941-42		249,717	146,285	1.540	583,463
942-43		183,158	199,750	2,847	501,341
943-44		212,383	132,317	2,690	473,522

#### Sardines, 1-Lb. Ovals, Cases

Season	San Francisco district	Monterey district	San Pedro district	San Diego district	Total
1925-26	3,892	937.014	968,495	66,074	1,975,475
1926-27		1,150,859	986,858	00,011	2,189,374
1927-28		1,363,251	878,175	39,380	2,391,717
1928-29		1,405,746	1.140.488	12.383	2,673,063
1929-30		1.797.566	1,493,615	16,551	3,514,210
1930-31		1,069,627	403,041		1,739,266
1931-32		720,518	470,796		1,460,900
1932-33		253,000	321,794		732,263
1933-34	221,798	748,706	526,540		1,497,044
1934-35		629,779	591,759		1,486,343
1935-36		919,497	680,103		1,936,154
1936-37 1937-38	198,621	818,909	629,802		1,647,332
1937-38	127,214	502,194	553,306		1,182,714
1938-39	172,454	687,287	630,998		1,490,739
1939-40		1,092,981	545,182		1,834,174
1940-41		622,219	672,780		1,463,699
1941-42		1,098,747	633,298		2,181,634
1942-43		705,317	491,690		1,400,294
943-44	277,724	747,978	377,420		1,403,122

#### Other Size Cans Reduced to Equivalents of 1-Lb. Ovals, Cases

Season	San Francisco district	Monterey district	San Pedro district	San Diego district	Total
1925-26		35,956 21,673	16,361 63,264	13,065	65,382 84,937
1927-28	40,825	14,160 45,778	145,143	31,995 10,368	232,123 299,572
1928-29 1929-30		90,238	173,540 458,416	12,552	640,430
1930-31	69,932	176,384	170,388		416,704
1931-32		43,816	159,066		211,263
1932-33		10,815 $113,842$	75,775 331,631	5,396	91,719 $460,715$
1933-34 1934-35		142,535	222,661	13,058	390,279
1935-36		594,191	627,117	19,856	1,280,761
1936-37	42,986	469,296	819,859	9,573	1,341,714
1937-38		326,543	756,369	1,040	1,117,715
1938-39	51,658	376,076	655,303		1,083,037
1939-40	90,628 $119.713$	670,420 597,627	539,666 934,975	80 452	1,300,79 <b>4</b> 1,652,767
1940-41 1941-42	405,120	1,331,057	1,236,037	1,266	2,973,480
1942-43	209,878	724,335	1,370,383	1,200	2,304,596
1943-44	147,763	815,058	783,633	697	1,747,151

# Sardine Meal, Tons

Season	San Francisco district	Montcrey district	San Pedro district	San Diego district	Total	
1925-26 1926-27 1927-28 1928-29 1929-30 1930-31 1931-32 1932-33 1932-33 1932-34 1934-35 1935-36 1936-37 1937-38 1938-39 1939-40 1940-41 1941-42 1942-43 1943-44	2,297 5,073 10,571 11,604 23,686 23,058 34,751 36,324 20,541 29,935	6,393 6,447 9,355 12,395 16,671 11,490 7,825 14,370 22,206 36,393 31,867 15,383 34,568 25,805 36,309 28,255 32,840	5,962 5,962 7,128 14,802 16,258 4,317 4,911 14,060 19,166 29,836 19,422 18,735 14,525 22,066 12,145 24,560 18,590 29,015 20,081	467 184 140 251 262 848 1,945 827 15 537 16 216 269 599	12,842 12,637 17,850 28,724 35,462 18,523 15,039 30,727 76,651 59,904 75,115 52,981 86,213 83,053 71,122 85,103 76,983 73,512	

#### Sardine Oil, Gallons

Sauthe off, Garons							
Season	San Francisco district	Monterey district	San Pedro district	San Diego district	Total		
1925-26. 1926-27. 1927-28. 1929-29. 1929-30. 1930-31. 1931-32. 1932-33. 1932-34. 1933-34. 1934-35. 1935-36. 1936-37. 1937-38. 1938-39. 1939-40. 1940-41. 1941-42. 1942-43. 1942-43. 1942-43.	60,967 257,989 288,055 474,530 763,643 612,181 574,958 1,175,401 25,14,588 3,196,286 5,509,905 4,659,147 7,804,909 4,809,853 7,162,343 4,467,454	1,110,983 1,501,384 1,601,993 2,651,524 3,887,472 3,761,387 4,819,900 9,379,239 6,854,372 6,814,184 3,067,587 5,462,066 7,090,963 5,197,570 7,222,683 5,590,335 6,578,416	658,817 652,796 711,579 2,178,815 1,986,704 630,011 702,701 2,161,476 3,242,899 4,865,486 1,898,134 1,447,631 2,197,757 984,851 2,369,360 2,088,695 3,049,132 2,135,162	43,995 10,253 6,857 11,071 24,303 111,252 210,171 77,700 912 37,325 472 21,587 25,244 41,862 50,617	1,816,424 2,245,147 2,581,814 5,125,221 6,359,777 4,757,546 3,517,983 6,497,821 9,262,503 16,870,565 13,200,652 14,299,923 9,175,277 15,302,057 17,389,992 12,398,310 16,498,965 13,148,783 13,783,011		

# Sardine Oil Production, Gallons per Ton

Season	San Francisco district	Monterey district	San Pedro district	San Diego district
1930-31 1931-32 1932-33 1933-34 1934-35 1935-36 1936-37 1936-37 1937-38 1938-39 1939-40 1940-41 1941-42 1941-43	47.5 47.1 43.0 39.6 41.7 49.9 41.3 36.3 40.2 45.5 43.8 43.8	43.2 43.1 45.6 37.0 44.5 46.8 39.1 36.6 35.6 38.7 38.6 38.2	26.3 28.5 29.1 31.3 30.7 27.9 18.9 19.3 19.8 15.5 18.7 21.9	17 24 21.( 17 11 13 5 18 16.

# CASE PACK, MEAL AND OIL PRODUCTION FOR CALENDAR YEARS 1928-1944 Sardines, 1-Lb. Ovals, Cases

Year	San Francisco district	Monterey district	San Pedro district	San Diego district	Total
928	109,198	1,402,237	945,676	39,755	2,496,966
929	204,878	1,834,648	1,438,159	12,225	3,489,910
930	237,159	1,342,249	863,254	15,500	2,458,165
931		696,640	498,996		1,503,21
932	125,737	334,019	415,874		875,63
933		598,616	365,750		1,204,28
934		798,942	531,619		1,622,77
935		825,011	615,808		1,742,27
936	225,185	864,498	586,038		1,675,72
937	101,912	577,405	761,776		1,441,09
938	164,559	556,477	600,532		1,321,56
939	225,462	1,023,285	627,524		1,876,27
940	178,316	755,639	664,241		1,598,19
941.	459,454	1,142,052	689,210		2,290,71
942	164,452	638,343	619,881		1,422,67
943	270,005	775,446	400,504		1,445,95
944	228,561	822,836	434,414		1,485,81

#### Sardines, Other Size Cans, Cases

Year	San Francisco district	Monterey district	San Pedro district	San Diego district	Total
1928. 1929. 1930. 1931. 1932. 1933. 1934. 1935. 1936. 1937. 1938. 1939. 1940. 1941. 1942.	79,108 17,929 4,366 10,226 8,505 28,383 66,421 18,290 45,059 96,331 138,571	49,022 77,340 160,293 77,785 23,719 81,458 125,740 477,103 505,865 411,817 385,580 647,743 664,801 1,424,558 712,811 893,335	153,376 286,135 346,472 192,641 90,489 116,301 254,241 273,5679 1,099,513 683,000 705,588 786,484 1,240,748 1,521,396 919,572	33,759 16,528 25,489 3,425 7,641 10,592 10,463 11,487 22,863 12,491 19,056 229 37 7,77 1,285 697	318,364 451,549 611,362 291,780 126,215 218,577 428,949 790,488 1,144,828 1,542,111 1,142,695 1,489,893 3,104,568 2,424,109

#### Fish Meal, Tons

Year	San Francisco district	Monterey district	San Pedro district	San Diego district	Total
1928 1929 1930 1931 1931 1932 1933 1933 1935 1936 1937 1938 1940 1941 1941 1942 1942	2,576 3,375 3,597 2,435 4,941 11,138 12,994 24,593 22,916 31,773 43,369 21,256 32,773 17,748	10,986 16,640 13,752 8,416 12,560 18,869 34,492 27,966 30,431 21,118 25,202 33,238 28,004 38,875 25,170 33,626	12,923 20,040 13,653 7,600 9,846 18,249 27,236 31,163 23,588 29,184 24,209 21,858 29,542 25,832 31,633 24,383	2,367 4,859 2,827 2,659 4,310 4,858 6,572 7,655 8,300 6,732 6,704 7,335 5,332 4,452 4,300	27,865 42,821 35,639 22,440 27,500 46,369 77,724 78,695 86,267 81,518 87,916 105,169 86,137 102,812 79,003 81,191

Fish Oil, Gallons

Year	San Francisco district	Monterey district	San Pedro district	San Diego district	Total
1928 1929 1930 1931 1932 1933 1933 1935 1935 1937 1938 1939 1940 1941 1942 1943 1944	454,726 747,931 726,514 426,831 933,696 2,490,156 3,106,785 5,626,422 4,431,668 7,032,792 10,395,398 4,831,500 7,429,493 4,153,492 4,979,798	2,174,673 3,750,392 3,769,950 2,372,303 3,378,929 4,209,366 6,734,305 6,734,305 6,756,541 4,122,817 4,122,817 7,43,120 5,743,120 5,743,120 5,743,120 5,743,120 5,743,120 5,7537,870 5,219,441 5,559,678	1,268,518 2,280,991 1,282,893 818,364 1,293,961 4,221,447 3,821,566 2,534,887 2,575,600 1,594,122 2,599,291 2,627,959 3,146,680 2,262,889 2,262,889 2,262,889	24,068 62,017 41,989 7,511 25,673 54,525 261,482 260,059 191,757 130,606 96,806 129,079 81,876 137,027 120,777	3,749,302 6,548,126 5,842,763 3,924,692 5,125,399 7,787,704 16,128,208 13,924,138 15,477,909 11,324,842 14,043,219 18,980,527 13,214,990 17,677,198 12,686,640 14,016,179 18,269,785

0

Includes oil produced from sardines and other species of fish, except vitamin oil from livers.



